As you may know, the James Webb Space Telescope has become the biggest overrun in the history of science, which—given the Large Hardon Collider and other famous boondoggles—is saying a lot. Actually, Webb isn't feeling much pain, since he died in 1992. I don't even know why the telescope is named after him, since he wasn't a scientist. He was a lawyer and Marine who worked for the State Department under Truman, helped start the Korean War, worked for Kerr-McGee Oil, then was appointed to NASA in 1961 by Kennedy for no apparent reason. Probably because the Kennedys and Webbs are related. Webb was involved in the N1 rocket boondoggle, so maybe that is why they named this one for him. The N1 project acted as a money pit from 1959 to 1976, when it was finally cancelled without positive result. We aren't told how much taxpayer money was blown there, but we may assume that was its main purpose. It is also the main purpose of the James Webb Space Telescope.

Wikipedia's page is mainly a long advertisement for the Webb, but there is one graphic worth studying. That is the planned launch and cost graph. The project began in 1997 on a $500 million budget and a 2007 planned launch. As of this week, the budget has swelled to over $10 billion and the launch has been pushed back for the 13th time, to 2021. That means the project is 14 years overdue and counting, and 1,900% or 20 times overbudget. Nature described the Webb as “the telescope that ate astronomy”, but that was in 2010 when the budget was still at about $6 billion. So it is quickly becoming the telescope that ate astronomy. . . twice. Oh, and who is paying for all this? You are. It is paid for out of your federal taxes.

Think that sounds bad? It gets worse. Unlike Hubble, the Webb is not planned for near-Earth orbit. It will be parked at L2, way beyond the Moon's orbit, part of the way to Mars. At that distance, it cannot be repaired, not even by robots. If anything goes wrong, it becomes $10 billion worth of space junk. And, as The Atlantic reported in June, the Webb will travel folded, having to unfurl upon arrival in a complex process of about 180 steps. This process can survive only about 6 glitches, which—given NASA's track record not only in this project but in previous projects—is almost a guarantee of failure. If NASA had been given only 6 glitches per each 180 steps back in January of 1997, the project would have been dead by February. So the odds are not good, to say the least.

Remember, five different space shuttles had to visit the Hubble in the first 19 years to make repairs. According to hubblesite.org:

Because the Hubble Space Telescope was designed for periodic servicing, the items being replaced are easily accessible. Ranging in size from a shoebox to a telephone booth, most of these items can be removed or installed using special wrenches and power tools.

I don't know how believable that sounds, but assuming it is true of Hubble, it isn't true of Webb. Not only can it not be worked on due to its position, it isn't designed to be worked on even if we got someone out there. It couldn't be worked on by friendly aliens. No doubt they would have the wrong
wrenches anyway.

To help cover this latest debacle with the Webb, the Hubble is releasing “new photos” this week. See for example this photo of Saturn, which is supposed to be new. It was just released 12 hours ago. Problem is, I’m pretty sure I’ve seen that before. I remember the black line around the Saturn, at about 10 to 11 o’clock, between the planet and the rings. That looks very strange to me. Why would there be a black line there? I think the image was created in Photoshop. The photo of Neptune released at the same time also looks very fake. Of course Elon Musk would tell me that the faker it looks, the realer it is!

This should also make you feel cosy:

And during an acoustics test, which examines whether hardware can survive the loud sounds of launch, the fasteners designed to hold the sun shield together came loose. The incident scattered 70 bolts, and engineers scrambled to find them. They’re still looking for a few. “We’re really close to finding every one of the pieces,” Zerbuchen said.

You’ve got to be kidding me. The fact that the mainstream is allowed to report stuff like this is another bad sign. I see it as prepping the audience for failure. They are telling us beforehand to kiss our $10 billion goodbye, so that when it happens we won't be so surprised.

More indication of a planned fail are the contradictions in the history. We are told that the Webb was 90-95% complete in 2011, then it was complete in 2016 and ready for testing. So it took five years to finish the final 10%? And testing will take another five years from 2016? I guess they need two or three years and several billion to find those pesky bolts.

Here’s a fact to shatter any remaining confidence, and I post it specifically for readers of my other website, who are experts on the genealogies and histories of the top US families. The head scientist of the Webb project is named John C. Mather.

Did I accidentally stretch that photo in the transfer? No, his face and nose really are that long. Do you want to guess what the C. stands for? Take your time.

Cromwell. John Cromwell Mather. He is a Big Banger who won the Nobel for his work on the COBE satellite. Even that is a towering red flag, as my science readers know. COBE was retired in 1993 and replaced by WMAP and Planck satellites, which have far better resolution. They ended up destroying a
lot of the assumptions of COBE, including the Big Bang work of Mather and Smoot. See my 2013 paper on the Planck probe's CBR map, where I show the data isn't mapping a Big Bang, but the local charge field.

But back to Mather's name. If you haven't spent anytime on my other website, you may not understand why his name is a red flag as well. Well, the Mathers have been involved in major hoaxes in US history back to the beginning. Remember Cotton and Increase Mather, involved in the fake Salem Witch trials? I have shown this was a seminal event in US history, even beyond what we are told—and for reasons we are never told. Almost all the top families in the US are closely related, and their genealogies almost all lead through Salem and back to the peerage in England and Scotland. Of course the Cromwells are another such family, leading us back to Oliver Cromwell. Mainstream historians deny or hide these links, but I have shown they are simply lying. The links are there and can be traced by anyone who wishes to do so online, at mainstream sites like Geni.com and thepeerage.com. If you don't want to do all the work, I have done it for you. This links people like John Mather not only to the Mathers of Boston and the peerage, it links him to more recent spooks like Marshall Mathers, AKA Eminem.

John Mather has a page at Geni.com, but though we find his mother is a Cromwell, she is scrubbed beyond that. I guess we are supposed to believe John doesn't know who his maternal grandparents are. His paternal grandparents are also scrubbed, with no grandmother given and his grandfather listed only as Mr. Mather. Another Nobel laureate with no known ancestry. If I am wrong and John Mather isn't related to any of these people, then why is his ancestry scrubbed?

Also interesting is that Congress nearly cancelled the Webb in 2011, and it was saved in some mysterious way. The House Committee on Science voted to cancel the project, stating that it was plagued by poor management. That would be Mather, who was head scientist. He was managing the project. Somehow, in November of that year, this decision was reversed, though we aren't told how. We may assume Congress was simply overruled by someone, probably Intelligence at the request of the bankers. This is to be expected, since Congress doesn't actually do anything or make any decisions. They only exist as a front, and to siphon more money out of the treasury via their bloated salaries. Since they don't have the gumption or power to stop a major run on the treasury like the Webb, they are worse than useless.

Speaking of, the main supporter of the Webb telescope in Congress was Barbara Mikulski (retired 2017). Her mother's name was Kutz, which means . . . yep, she is Jewish. Which would lead any betting man to wager Mikulski has some ties to Northrup Grumman or the other military contractors working on Webb. That's how it normally works, isn't it? In fact, we find exactly that on a search. Northrup Grumman's legislative liaisons/lobbyists are listed here, and the first thing we learn is that the CEO of NG is Wes Bush. I guess I don't have to circle that red flag. A search on the page for “Mikulski” shows us that Kali Matalon is listed as working for NG as an “Innovative Federal Strategies” planner. She was also Professional Staff on the Senate Appropriations Committee under Barbara Mikulski. Just business as usual on Capitol Hill, I will be told. Yes, precisely.

Although no useful genealogy is available on Barbara Mikulski, she may be related to Generals Charles Willauer Kutz and Harry Russell Kutz, and through them to General Lewis Tenney Ross. This would be a good place to start if any of my readers want to do more work on this. Also of interest is something I tripped over in James Webb's genealogy. His paternal grandmother was named Catherine Russell, which may link us to the Kutz family via Harry Russell Kutz. Webb was also a Pullen, and if we follow them back a couple of generations in his genealogy we hit Fitzhugh's. That is interesting to
my regular readers, since we just saw yesterday that Tom Hanks is a Fitzhugh. What are the odds? Webb's oldest living ancestor in that line listed at Geni is John Major Fitzhugh of Virginia. With more research on other sites, we discover that should be Major John *Marmion* Fitzhugh, of the Fitzhughes of Bedford, related to the Kings, Westmorelands, etc. These are the Fitzhughes of Wilton Castle, and this does indeed take us back to the Fitzhughes of the peerage, tying us to the Greys, Nevilles, Montagus, Willoughbys, Dacres, deBurghs, and so on. This links us to several kings, to the FitzAlans (Stewarts/Stuarts), to Tom Hanks, and to William the Conqueror. It also confirms what I said about Webb being related to the Kennedys. He really is. And, like Tom Hanks, he is closely related to George Washington. Hanks is related through the Balls, and Webb is related through the Montagus.

I want to tack on some brief comments about Elon Musk here, since it isn't worth a separate paper. Many readers are writing in to tell me I was right about Musk in this 2015 paper. Just five days ago the *New York Post* published an article with the title “Elon Musk is a Total Fraud”. I had to check to see if they had borrowed that title from me. They hadn't. That was my thesis, but not my title. First the mainstream media agrees with me about Hawking and now they agree with me about Musk? It is certainly turning into an interesting year.

Anyway, the writer at the *NYP* doesn't take it nearly as far as I did, but she is on the right road. But what she and my readers still don't seem to understand is that Musk is in on the gag. He is part of the whole con. He is playing his part perfectly and is just reading from the script. His “personal meltdown” isn't just a personality quirk or bad PR, you see. I told you in 2015 he was assigned to Tesla to kill it, and this is how they chose to do it. Musk's fall will be the fall of Tesla. I guess it will also be the fall of SpaceX, though I don't see exactly how that will play out. SpaceX's demise may be planned to help NASA somehow. We have seen that NASA certainly needs the help right now. Maybe the government will buy SpaceX and use its CGI launches to cover up the Webb debacle. Or maybe they will use the red roadster to drive the telescope to L2, where we can pretend to watch the great golden disk unfurl as hired crowds cheer and pretend engineers narrate the event from Teleprompters. They can then collect pretend data, driving many more pretend projects forward in the coming decades, and milking/bilking the treasuries for years to come.