

# The Fake 2020 Presidential Election

*aka electile disfunction*



*by Miles Mathis*

*First written November 3*

As usual this is just my opinion

I was going to wait until the outcome to publish this, but that looks like it will be in the distant future. As of Friday, I now think the AP will soon call the election for Biden, but I expect lawsuits to hold up a final decision until late December. So I am going to go ahead and post this.

The first part was written Tuesday night, but I am not rewriting it, so that you can see the original state of my analysis. You will then see my commentary expand as the results mysteriously changed.

---

I told you a while ago Trump would win and I told you why: the Republican Party died around 1990 and hasn't been capable of winning a Presidential election by honest means since then. Of course no election since the dawn of time has proceeded by honest means, so that is a complex statement. What I mean is, only about 20% of eligible voters were actually voting Republican, though you wouldn't know it from the fake numbers reported. You could only figure it out by other means. I showed you how to [do that in 2012](#). You will say if that is so, then I must be implying Trump stole the election. No, not really. He didn't steal it, via Russia or anywhere else. It was all pre-arranged, with the agreement of Biden and all the rest. Biden knew he was going to lose. Trump and Biden are just political actors, hiding the real centers of power. You may know it as the deep state, but I don't call it that because there is nothing particularly deep about it. Hidden, yes; deep, no.

Trump had to win to save the two-party system, propping it up for another four years. If they admit the Red party is dead, you see, the whole charade collapses. If that happened, there would be no reason to vote, because the Blues would be guaranteed winners. No contest. They need you to keep voting, or to at least believe other people are, since it is the last pretense of democracy. Without voting, we just have an outright tyranny, and your powerlessness is obvious. That's why TIME changed its name to VOTE: they are trying to convince you voting is meaningful. But as things stand, it isn't. [It could be](#), but it isn't. So by Trump winning, you are meant to be convinced the two parties are still about equal.

That saves the voting process and appears to save to an extent the tattered vestige of Democracy.

Now, I have said I don't really care who wins, and that is because I know all the rancor and division is manufactured. Both Trump and Biden are owned by the same people: Goldman Sachs, the CIA, the Pentagon, the Federal Reserve, Big Pharma, and so on. They are both fronts for the Phoenician Navy, so it doesn't really matter who wins. These people are going to do whatever they want regardless, so Trump or Biden will just add a given flavor to the fascism. They will annoy you in one direction or the other, but be certain they will annoy you. That is their job. They are there to draw your eye and your attention, so you miss all the real action. So I don't really need to write this paper. My prediction was right, so I could go to bed with a smile.

But as you know, that isn't how I operate. I work overtime to make sure you have the facts. So I just spent the last few hours watching the “results” come in. That puts me in a position to show you just how fake this whole thing was. I will point out all the wondrous things I saw tonight. [Just like I did in 2016.](#)

First, the overall timeline. By 10:25EST I could tell from the board Trump would win. By board I mean the Google/AP board posted online, with the big map. I could tell because he was winning Pennsylvania, Ohio, Florida, and Texas, so New York and California going to Biden was meaningless. So even though Google was giving Biden a big electoral lead, I knew to ignore that. That was just a cruel trick upon the Dems. The board was telling us the opposite: Biden was in a huge hole. When AP gave Florida to Trump at 12:36, they may have well called the election, though they didn't. Biden needed to win PA, OH, MI and WI, but it was clear he wasn't going to. So it was actually over early.

So why am I here? I am not here to show you the steal, but the fake. This election wasn't stolen, it was faked from the ground up by a group of guys around a table and a computer program. How could I tell? Because the numbers never made any sense from the start. They should have tried to match the procedure to previous elections, but as in 2016, they couldn't be bothered. This election didn't even proceed like 2016, and it was like watching an election on another planet. To start with, we saw the AP calling states before any votes had come in. They called New York, Virginia, Illinois, New Mexico, California, Oregon, Washington, and Hawaii for Biden with 0% reporting, the moment the polls closed. They called Wyoming, South Dakota, and Mississippi for Trump with 0% reporting. They didn't do that in 2016, or in 2012 either, because the only way they could do it is with exit polls. They told us after 2004 they didn't trust exit polls, and the AP is denying they are calling elections based on exit polls. So how did they do it? The entrails of geese? They claim they know how districts have voted in the past, and they use that as a factor, but that didn't work in 2016, which was like no election in the past. This current election was also like no other, and should have been unpredictable using only past data. It would be suicide to call states with 0% reporting based on that. As proof, we know their predictions were wrong regardless. They predicted yesterday based on past data that Biden would win easily. Whatever else happens, it won't be that.

The creepiest data in that regard came from Virginia this time. Let me just show you the how the vote was reported there over time. I took extensive notes. As I say, Virginia was called almost immediately for Biden, but by 9:30EST and 50% reporting, Trump had a 55% lead. That's right, Trump had a 55% lead, but the state had been called blue, and was blue on the board. By 10:20 we had 55% reporting, and Trump had 53.2% to Biden's 45.4%. That's not even particularly close, with over half reporting. So, again, how did the AP call it two hours earlier?

At 11:16, with 60% reporting, Trump 50.7%, Biden 47.7%.

At 11:35, with 64% reporting, Trump 50.2%, Biden 48.2%.

At 11:38, with 76% reporting, Trump 45.8%, Biden 52.7%.  
At 12:00, with 67% reporting, Trump 50.1%, Biden 48.4%.  
At 12:26, with 76% reporting, Trump 46.9%, Biden 51.6%.  
At 12:30, with 68% reporting, Trump 50.1%, Biden 48.3%. Didn't they already do this an hour ago?  
At 12:34, with 81% reporting, Trump 46.5%, Biden 52.0%.  
At 1:54, with 82% reporting, Trump 46.0%, Biden 52.5%.  
At 3:28, with 87% reporting, Trump 44.6%, Biden 53.9%.

Do those look like real results to you? At 68% reporting, Trump still had an almost 2% lead, but within 4 minutes another 13% was reported, dropping him more than 3.5%? And how can % voting go up and down and up and down like that? Were they taking votes off the board, or admitting mistakes, or what? It makes no sense.

We saw the same with Florida, which reported 98% tallied for over an hour, then dropped back to 96% at 12:13EST. It doesn't really matter in Florida, because that state was called for Trump soon after that, but I see it as another sign of the fake.

As with the last election, it looks like they are going to mess with everyone's minds again by giving the Dems the popular vote. That is just a turn of the knife.

Figuring this out helps me understand the 2016 election as well, since I now think the same thing happened there. The Reds had to win to protect the two-party system and the whole charade. I had thought it was because Hillary got sick, but I no longer think that. She may have gotten sick, but the election was fixed before that. It is strange they ran Biden this time rather than her, you know, and I imagine it is because she didn't want to be the sacrificial lamb again. Remember, she called Trump an "illegitimate President", which is pretty strange. She would seem to be alluding to what I am telling you here. You may think she is referring to Russia, but I don't. I think she is referring to the deeper fix. I don't think they are saving her for 2024, since she will be 77 by then, and isn't in great health. I doubt it will be Kamala **Devi** Harris, since nobody likes her. AOC won't be old enough to run, and they would want to run her out of the Senate, anyway. So the Dems have a conspicuous talent void they need to fill fast. Come to think of it, the whole world has a talent void it needs to fill, and can't seem to remember how it is done.

Four years ago, or even one year ago, I never thought I would say this, but I am honestly relieved that Trump won this time. Because the Republicans seem slightly more interested in ending this Covid hoax. I think a lot of real people did vote for Trump, and that is one big reason why. They are sick of this fake pandemic, and hope Trump will end it. Biden has promised to continue it and even expand it, which was part of his script to lose.

---

That is where I was when I went to sleep late Tuesday night. I awoke to see that they had flipped WI and MI for Biden in the middle of the night, with Trump alleging vote fraud and claiming victory. A few hours later Biden also claimed victory, by (incorrectly) saying his path to 270 was clear. According to the map now at 6:30pmEST on Wednesday, it still isn't clear, since Nevada is moving slowly to Trump, and Trump looks like he will take GA and PA. He may even take back AZ. That leaves NC, where nothing is yet clear. It looks certain that WI will go to a recount, since the margin is . 63%. Michigan, Nevada, and North Carolina are moving in that direction as well. Arizona is also a

question mark, despite the AP calling it for Biden way too early. *The New York Times* even disagreed with the AP's decision, and still hasn't called it. If Wisconsin and Michigan can flip in the last few percentages, so can Arizona. Even Texas and Florida could conceivably flip, if they followed the pattern of Michigan, so it is strange to see them called so early for Trump. So it appears the suits have decided to draw this out for maximum mental anguish. They have to keep your eyes on this as long as possible, to keep it off other things. Given the events of the past 12 hours, I would say it looks like they plan to take this to the Supreme Court again, like 2000. Of course if they do that, Trump will win in the end, but I guess Trump winning immediately didn't create enough chaos for these guys. They have antidepressants to sell, after all, and they don't want to let an opportunity go to waste.

While we wait, I will point out another sign of the fraud. For some reason, Google and the AP were instructed to suppress Trump's electoral count, while rushing Biden's. It has looked all along like Biden had a big lead, but he never did. He was in a big hole for most of the night, and only dug out around 4am, when they flipped Wisconsin and Michigan. [[They now admit that](#). See MSN's announcement of Biden's "victory" on Saturday, where they say, "Trump had held a wide lead over Biden on the night of the election".] Even now it is a dead heat, but they have Biden up 264 to 214. They haven't even given Alaska to Trump, though they should know he will win it. They gave Oregon to Biden within seconds, at 0% reporting, but Trump now has almost 64% with over half the vote in in Alaska, but no one has called the state. So the same criteria aren't being used on the two candidates, indicating bias. So Trump claiming bias is demonstrably true in this case.

And yet another thing is curious: the slowness with which votes are being reported on Wednesday. Did Nevada and North Carolina just lay everyone off at midnight? Nevada can't be expecting 25% of total votes to be late-arriving mail-ins, so what is the delay? We might expect the last 5% to trickle in, but not the last 25%. And North Carolina's total hasn't budged since 11:00pm yesterday. What, no mail delivery there today? Post office closed on Wednesdays in North Carolina? I am telling you the delay was ordered just to give everyone ulcers. The word is now that North Carolina won't *start* counting absentee ballots until Nov. 12! As for Nevada, it is owned by the Phoenician Navy in the most obvious fashion, remember. [Update on that, at 7pmEST the *New York Times* reported Nevada had already finished updates for the day, telling the press they would have another report noon Thursday. What? So counters in Nevada have, what, a two-hour workday before they hit the casinos?]

[**Added November 7:** They have already started to flip North Carolina, contradicting earlier reports that the state would not start counting absentee ballots until Nov. 12. I just checked, and NC is now up to 98% reporting at NBC, after holding at 94% for several days. Curiously, both Trump and Biden have lost percentages, each dropping .1% while the vote total has gained 4%. So I guess all the votes in that lot went to the Libertarian and Green parties. Hohoho. But seriously, the computer programmers in NC still have 2% to play with, so anything could happen. Since they have already called the election for Biden, they don't really need to steal NC, so maybe they won't bother.

Texas is also a curious example, since they have allegedly counted about 10% of their late vote in the past 24 hours. But unlike in other states, Texas' mail-ins have mysteriously shown no preference for Biden. In Pennsylvania, mail-in ballots have conveniently favored Biden by 77% to 22, but in Texas mail-ins have about split, since Trump has lost no ground there. I guess in Texas they just aren't so scared of Covid, right? Or maybe Texas has no old people? The same applies to Florida, where Trump lost no ground to Biden in the final 4%. No old people in Florida either, I guess.

Pennsylvania is the weirdest place of all, since it was the AP's calling it for Biden that put him over the

top. But the AP had said they would not call it until they were 99.5% sure. I have to laugh, since it reminds me of the [BICEP2 catastrophe of 2014](#), where famous physicists lost Nobel Prizes after results had to be taken off the board. In that one, the physicists had claimed a certainty of sigma 5, or a probability of only 1 in 3.5 million they were wrong. Or a surety of 99.99998%. They were still wrong. Currently, with 2% of the vote still out in PA, Biden is up by about .5%. So, with 133,263 votes outstanding, Trump is down by 37,469. Which means Trump needs to win 78% of the final 2%. You will say that is impossible, but Biden has been winning 77% of the last 10%, so it isn't impossible at all. All that has to happen is that a Trump supporter hacks into the computer, flipping its outcome. Arizona is looking equally strange, since Trump is now within 11,000 votes there, with 3% left to count. So there is still time to flip that one, too. C'mon guys, do it! We know you want to.]

It is the same in PA, where [vote counters in Allegheny County](#) are taking the day off, or “doing administrative work” instead of counting votes.

Also, Wisconsin was especially fishy, since it stood at 75% for a long time, with a 5.3 Trump lead. I thought they had quit counting and gone to bed. Suddenly, at 4:50am, a full 20% of the vote came in all at once, and Biden was then up by .3 [49.4B to 49.1T]. A short time later, the percentage reporting had not changed, still being 95%, but Biden's lead had more than doubled to .7 [49.6B to 48.9T]. I think you can see why Trump was calling fraud. Also strange is that when the next 4% came in, taking us up to 99%, that split didn't change at all. If late votes were for Biden, as they say, then why were the last 4% points in Wisconsin a split? Trump got exactly half of the votes from 95% to 99%, **so that theory is disproven**.

Also curious is that Google decided to downlist the *New York Times* after they failed to back up the AP's gift of Arizona to Biden. *The New York Times'* coverage had been listed second all night, but on Wednesday it suddenly fell to 7<sup>th</sup>, behind the *Financial Times*.

So am I backing up Trump's claims of fraud? No. I repeat that this was all pre-orchestrated. I am only pointing out that IF the election had been genuine, Trump's claims of fraud would be justified, given the numbers reported to us over the past 24 hours. The flipping of Wisconsin and Michigan looks very strange, as does the inactivity of North Carolina, the slowness of Nevada, and the late movement in Georgia. But on Trump's side, the early calls *for him* in Texas and Florida also look strange, since they don't fit the rest of the narrative.

[Here is an interesting headline](#) from the *NYT* on Thursday:

**Analysis: Biden appears to have an edge in votes that have yet to be counted.**

If you can make sense of that, you must be psychic. If those votes haven't been counted, how can anyone know Biden has an edge in them? We are told that is based on past performance: Biden has been winning mail-in votes by a large margin. But I am still not clear on why that would be true, unless those votes are tampered with. The *NYT* assures us Biden is winning 77% of mail-ins in PA, but that makes no sense. Are we expected to believe Trump voters don't know how to mail a letter? For that number to be true, Biden's voters would have to be **3.5 times** or 250% more afraid of Covid than Trump voters, or 3.5 times more in love with the post office. Statistically impossible. The media prepped us for this, telling us Trump was against mail-ins, but he never said that. In fact, he explicitly said absentee voting was fine, and recommended it for his voters. What he said about mail-ins was that they could be used to delay results and as a method for fraud, but he never recommended his voters avoid the mail. As it turns out, he appears to have been prescient, since the controllers are indeed using

the mail-ins as part of the script here. There is no chance that real mail-ins would favor one candidate by 77%, so this whole subplot is clearly fictional.

[**Added November 7:** And there's more: A voter on the ground in Fulton Co., GA, reports that on Wednesday morning the *NY Times* election tracker said the county had reported >98% of voting results ([Wayback Machine](#)). At this point, 439,351 total votes were reported, so if 2% were outstanding, a max of 9,000 votes remained to be counted. Today (Sat 11/7) Fulton County is still [listed](#) as reporting >98% of results, but the total vote count has ballooned to 513,624. That is an increase of over 74,000 votes, or **8x** the number of remaining votes that were reported the morning after election day.

I used Wayback Machine to track what happened. On Thursday [morning](#), the percent reporting dipped from >98% to 96%. By [Thursday night](#) around 7pmEST, the number dropped again to 93%. I remember refreshing the page around this time and thinking, "Wait, I thought Atlanta was pretty much done. Now they have more than 7% left to count in a major city?" Somehow, Fulton County was finding lots of new votes throughout Thursday - more than two days after the polls had closed.

Not surprisingly, Trump's lead also vanished on Thursday. The day after the election, Trump had a 2% statewide lead in GA, but the extra 74,000 votes in Fulton County went to Biden at a 3-1 ratio and helped him come back. Fulton County of course contains Atlanta and is the biggest and bluest county in Georgia, so Biden would win it overwhelmingly no matter what, but it's unclear how the *NY Times* and other sites got the estimated number of votes so far off.


{**Added November 8:** [it is now being reported](#) that Fulton County is admitting errors, and rescanning thousands of ballots that had previously been counted on Friday. This county was already under suspicion after a pipe allegedly broke on Tuesday, delaying the count from the start. Due to that "emergency", Red observers were told to leave, since no votes would be counted. But according to people on the ground, others stayed and continued to count without Red oversight. There is also a viral video of a counter throwing away a ballot in this county, but Youtube rushed to delete the video, and the mainstream is claiming what was thrown away was an instruction sheet. But if the thing being thrown away was not a ballot, why delete the video? Why not let us decide what we are seeing for ourselves? If the video is not incriminating, why hide it? On a search for this, we find it admitted that mailmen in [Kentucky](#) and [New Jersey](#) are being prosecuted for throwing away ballots on purpose. So how is the mainstream at the same time claiming vote fraud is a myth? Isn't throwing away ballots vote fraud? I think that it is.}

It also is never explained why it took so much longer to count Biden's votes than Trump's. In all the key states, but *only* the key states, Biden's votes in the big cities came in long after Trump's, sometimes 48 hours later. We are told it has to do with big cities being slower and mail-ins going heavily to Biden, but that is just a story. The mainstream media is telling us a lot of stories right now, and most of them are demonstrably false. Like for instance the one about vote fraud being a myth or a fantasy of the Republicans. You have to be kidding me. Vote fraud isn't just a toy of the Democrats—it is used by both parties—but [it is very real](#). Vote counting is done by computers now, and those computers are supplied to counties across the nation by only three companies. Those companies have been proved to be compromised in a myriad of ways, being owned by the same people that own the political parties. The code is secret, and locals have no way of examining it. In most cases there is no paper trail, so recounts aren't even possible. We have to trust the computers, although it has been proven in court they aren't trustworthy. I (Miles) know this firsthand, because I watched a computer steal my vote in 2012. How do I know? Simple: I just watched the counter. I checked the counter before I voted, then voted, then checked it again. It didn't move. The computer was programmed not to count votes for

Ron Paul. I complained to the precinct lady, but she couldn't have cared less. She was surprised that anyone was smart enough to watch the counter. I asked for a complaint form, but she pretended not to know what I was talking about. In my town there was no method for filing a complaint. And given the way it was done, there was no way for me to prove the number hadn't moved. I could have filmed it, but didn't. The problem is the voting method is completely non-transparent, and that is the way they want it. There is absolutely no way to verify it or check it or audit it. Both parties have signed off on this. That is why the Democrats did nothing about it after the obvious steal in 2000. They soon controlled Congress and the Presidency with Obama, so why didn't they get rid of the computers that had stiffed their men Gore and Kerry? Because they had been complicit all along in the fraud. I remind you one more time that Gore voted against himself in the Senate, to finalize the 2000 vote. Make sense of that if you dare.

I also point out the grand contradiction in the Democrats now claiming vote fraud is impossible, when they have been telling us for four years that Russia stole the 2016 election for Trump. Surely you see the lack of continuity there? But mainstream news stories no longer have to make basic sense. They tell you A for four years, then overnight change the story to not-A. If you still believe A, you are a deranged conspiracy theorist who needs to be re-programmed. Actually, it would appear you need to be reprogrammed about twice a year, to fit the current propaganda. For six months you believe the Sun sets in the west, for six months you believe the Sun sets in the east.

Anyway, my point was, the story that Biden's vote would come in late via the mail makes just as much sense as the story that vote fraud is a myth. No proof is given except that it did. But Biden's vote could have come in late due to a computer hack, and almost certainly did, so that is proof of nothing. In fact, I have just shown you evidence from Fulton County that is exactly what happened. The plan all along was to flip key states in late and maddening ways, to create chaos. This chaos would act as reality distortion, to cover greater crimes like the continuing looting of the treasury by the very wealthy.]



I finally thought to screengrab that, though it looked a lot different about 12 hours ago. Even so, you can see that the mainstream didn't predict how this one would go at all. Which, if nothing else, proves they can't predict elections either by past performance, exit polls, or other polling. Which means what they are telling you about calling elections is complete garbage.

Note that of the states Trump was supposed to win narrowly, he won by an average of 19 points. That isn't "narrowly" that is a crush. He won all six of the toss up states. And he will take at least one of Biden's states. Earlier in the night, he had four of Biden's states, which is one reason I said what I did above.

The new prediction devices of the AP and other places were also destroyed by the Congressional races, which didn't go as predicted at all. These computer models were predicting the Dems would take the Senate and gain many seats in the House, while they have actually lost many seats in the House. They have already lost six and may lose as many as thirteen. In the Senate they were supposed to gain as many as eight, but may gain one. Even the *Washington Post* [is admitting](#) the computer predictions were "wildly inaccurate". Given that, the calling of states with zero votes reporting makes zero sense. The ability of these computers to predict anything would appear to be about nil, but especially close races like the one in Arizona. Do you really believe a computer could call that race early, when at 98% it is still within .3%?

Here's a strange quote from the mainstream:

**The AP declared President Donald Trump the winner of Alabama as soon as polls closed in the state, even though election officials there had yet to release results from Tuesday's presidential contest. The news agency did so after results from AP VoteCast and an analysis of voting statistics confirmed expectations that the state's longstanding political trend of favoring Republican presidential candidates will hold.**

Can you unwind that? They just admitted they called the winner in Alabama BEFORE any poll results were released. Why bother with ballot counts when you can just use a computer model to declare the winner?

AP VoteCast debuted just two years ago and it's already "the new standard in election research, specifically designed to overcome the bias and inaccuracies inherent in the in-person exit poll." But as we see, that isn't true, since statistical analysis of past data must always be LESS accurate than exit polling. Exit polling was pretty accurate—so accurate they had to get rid of it after it showed up the steals in the 2000 and 2004 Presidential elections. Many mainstream media articles were published after 2004 blackwashing exit polling as flawed. But the margin of error in exit polling is actually far less than in these crunches of past data that the AP is using, since those programs rely on the assumption that the future will follow the past. The 2016 election proved that wasn't so, and it also proved that these computer models are hugely flawed. Beyond that, models like the AP are using were just used earlier this month by Goldman Sachs and many other places to predict Biden would win easily. Didn't happen, did it?

Yesterday we got this report from ZeroHedge:

<https://www.zerohedge.com/political/why-does-biden-have-so-many-more-votes-democrat-senators-swing-states>. Tyler Durden.

ZeroHedge is a CIA front (pushing Covid), but in this case they are telling some truth. A statistical analysis shows that in swing states, Biden mysteriously got far more votes than downticket Democratic Senators than he did in other states. This is a smoking gun that votes for him were added later.



Which brings me to a new theory I just thought of. I have shown you that they have already destroyed the Republican Party, and we may now assume that was done on purpose. Trump was just a mop-up on that project, and his antics were all scripted to create dislike from a certain large sector of the population. My parents despise Trump, and that was no accident: they are supposed to. He is the Hollywood villain. But the Republican Party was destroyed long before Trump arrived. The second George Bush was a large part of that, and it was again no accident. By the time Obama showed up, most people had had enough of the Republicans to last a lifetime. Problem was, that left the Democrats out-of-balance in the two-party system. By 2008 the party was way too strong to maintain parity. This was discovered to be a major problem, since voting was the last vestige of democracy standing. If the Democrats were guaranteed to win every election, there would be no reason to vote. If people aren't invested in the party system, their disenfranchisement becomes too obvious. Which is why the Democrats are now being heavily blackwashed. They have to be brought down to the level of the Republicans. The older Clintons have been doing that job now for many years, and Biden took up where Hillary left off, making you hate him on purpose. The controllers could have strengthened the Republicans by making them more likable, but that would have been far more difficult. It would have required charming candidates for a start, and they were fresh out of those. So it was seen as much easier and quicker to bring the Democrats down to the level of the Republicans. That would create parity in the two-party system and maintain the mirage of voting, while keeping the populace properly stressed.

I have said all that before, but here is the update. My guess is they felt they hadn't done enough by early November to blackwash the Dems, so they needed something special to end the year on. Having Trump win again wasn't enough. That wouldn't bring the Dems down enough to suit the script. So my guess is the Dems are going to be implicated in major vote fraud during this cycle. Most people think Bush stole the 2000 election, so to create parity, the Dems need to be caught in something equally bad, or worse.

That is the only way I can explain what is going on in Wisconsin, Michigan, Virginia, Georgia, Pennsylvania, Arizona, and perhaps North Carolina. The late flipping in Wisconsin, Michigan, Georgia and Pennsylvania is really suspicious, and my guess is that Trump lawsuits will uncover major vote manipulation. When the Supreme Court and possibly the Senate confirm that, the Democrats will be brought low.

We now have confirmation of that from the Democratic Party itself, which is apparently being paid to blackwash itself. Former Illinois governor and Democrat Rod Blagojevich has now stated in an [on-camera interview for AirTV and NewsMax](#) that the vote is being stolen not only in Pennsylvania but in many other states, in Democrat-controlled major cities like Detroit, Las Vegas, Atlanta, Philadelphia, and many others. The Democrats will answer that Blago—having been pardoned by Trump\*—is now his puppet, but I don't see it that way. Blago is a puppet and always was, but like the rest of these people he is a puppet of the Phoenician Navy, and he is playing his part in the current script. What Blago is saying today the Supreme Court may be saying tomorrow.

I remind you that I think the whole election was manufactured from the ground up, so I am not saying this fraud actually happened. In other words, I don't think Biden himself or even the Democratic Party planned and executed this. It was done from much higher up. I think Biden agreed to be part of it, but he is just an actor, not a producer or director. He had no power to cause it, and no power to resist it. No ballot boxes were physically stuffed. The controllers just made up a script and then made up some numbers. So this late-election fraud is just part of the larger election fraud that includes both parties. Trump also knew and, like Biden, is just playing a part. His direction is to chew the scenery, as usual.

More confirmation of my theory comes from Joe Scarborough at MSNBC. Although Scarborough used to be a Republican, he is now an Independent married to Mika Brzezinski. You remember her father Zbigniew, right? “Counsellor” to Presidents Kennedy, Johnson and Carter and founder of the Trilateral Commission. The Brzezinskis are Polish Jews and Zionists, and Mika's mother is a Benesova (Benes), related to the English Bennetts. Anyway, although NBC is very far from being considered a Republican mouthpiece, Scarborough admitted last week that the election results were disastrous for the Democratic Party, since they lost many seats in the House and lost seats in the Senate they were supposed to win easily. Scarborough, though of course a loud enemy of Trump, admitted that the election as a whole was an “absolute repudiation of the Democrats as a brand”. So you see, even NBC is promoting this blackwashing of the Democratic Party.

[Here's an interesting quote from the AP on Friday:](#)

**In one case dismissed Thursday, a Michigan judge noted that the state's ballot count is over as she tossed the campaign's lawsuit to get a closer look at local elections officials as they process absentee ballots.**

We're seeing a lot of crazy quotes like that from mainstream sources, trying to stir your brain. At the same time, we are being told that officials are processing ballots—meaning they are counting votes—and that a judge is ruling that the count is over. Can it be both? No. But even if the count was over, that doesn't mean there was nothing to rule on. If the Republicans were denied access to vote counting in Michigan, that is an indictable offense right there, one that can't be dismissed because the count is finished. So this makes no sense no matter how you look at it. They admit in the next paragraph that Trump won a similar appeal in PA, so implying his lawsuits are frivolous is flat wrong.

I personally have no use for Trump and didn't vote for him. I wouldn't vote for either Biden or Trump for city dog-catcher. But the media bias against him in this election has been astonishing. It surprised even me. It is all manufactured for effect, but still. They didn't even make any attempt to hide it or tone it down. Inflating Biden's electoral count while sitting on Trump's was the most obvious example, but I have shown you many others.

So what does it all mean? Well, I remind you that I didn't write this to point to vote fraud or to argue for or against Biden or Trump. Just the opposite: I wrote it to show you once again ALL the news is manufactured from the ground up, as a diversion. I feel I have to keep pushing you back to sanity, because the push from the other side is so overwhelming. I remind you once again this is all like a Hollywood movie, and is being scripted for your maximum discomfort. None of it is really happening, in the normal sense. No real votes are being counted, no real people are running for President, and no representational government is being advanced here. Nothing resembling democracy or republicanism is in evidence. Rather, what we see here is a huge psychological operation, one developed to steer you as far away from reality as possible.

I remind you that the country is being looted by the very wealthy like never before (and that is saying a lot, since it is always being looted by them), and so they have to keep your eyes off that. They need to control headlines for the rest of the year to divert your attention, and that is what this election cycle is about. I predict that as of Friday, this fiasco hasn't even begun to peak, and that we are in for a series of fake events that make all previous elections look like a cakewalk, including the 2000 election. Big things are about to happen. But remind yourself they are all fictional and that will make it easier for

you. I won't miss a minute of sleep and I don't want you to either. That is why I am here: to talk you down from the tree again.

Or at the very least to put you back in the right tree. If you are going to be treed, be sure you aren't treed about Biden or Trump or this fake election. Be treed about the Federal Reserve putting many more trillions on the balance sheet—trillions you and your children and grandchildren will have to pay to the billionaires. Be treed about Bill Gates and the CDC and WHO hoisting this fake pandemic on you and prepping you for more fake vaccines. Be treed about the millions of innocent people being thrown into bankruptcy by illegal governors' orders. Be treed about the damned facemasks that are depleting your oxygen levels, making you stupid and confused and dizzy and sick.

I tell you it is time you climbed down from the tree and started pushing back. Throw the masks in the trash and say no to the New World Disorder before it is too late. You need to immediately unionize or collectivize with every other person around you, at work and in your town, not by drawing up documents or by suing, but by acting in unison. You need to act like a robber has just walked into your business or home, requiring immediate and emergency action. You don't have time to sit around and discuss this: you have to act on your gut response. Just nod to your coworkers or make handsigns and call an immediate strike. Say enough is enough. It is all I can stand and I can't stand no more. Just say no to thousands of things, starting with masks. Tell them you are done going along. Tell them you don't want that future and will not go there, starting right now. If you don't, it's *literally* your funeral.

**Added November 7:** It is being reported by Red outlets that 89% of Wisconsin voters voted, 23% points above the average of 66%, proving fraud. However, that has rightly been debunked by Blue sites, since 89% is the percentage of registered voters, not of eligible voters. The correct figure is 72%, which is high but not completely off-curve. It looks like Breitbart started this story, but they have posted a correction. However, both sides are making themselves look bad here, since both are covering up the real story, which is that in seven precincts in Milwaukee, more votes were cast than there were registered voters. Since Wisconsin allows same-day registration, the Blues are saying that is also explained, but it isn't. It means that over 100% of those previously registered to vote voted, which is unheard of. So although it is possible, it is highly unlikely. It means that every single person previously registered to vote showed up to vote, and in addition many new people who had never voted before showed up, registered, and voted on the spot. If you don't find that story fishy in times like this, you aren't awake. Remember, you can't vote by same-day registration through the mail. You have to show up in person. So this flatly contradicts the other story about Biden voters being more scared of Covid than Trump voters, or more in love with the mail, or something. These precincts went to Biden, and we may assume they went to him late, in that vote dump at 4:30am early Wednesday morning.

Even stranger, I checked these figures before adding this, and as it turns out, after these numbers were [reported by the Milwaukee City Wire](#) on November 4, Milwaukee “updated” its figures, dropping the percentages of all but one precinct below 100%. As of today, wards 243, 276, 267, 273, 272, and 312 have the highest percentages, and 272 went to Trump. But I don't see how registration numbers could have changed after November 4, so until that is explained to me, I will assume the original numbers were correct and the city simply fudged them after the fact, in response to this story. That is the way it is usually done, in my experience. Also of high interest—and not yet changed by the city—are vote totals in those five precincts that went to Biden, where we see that Biden mysteriously did much better than Clinton did against Trump. Biden's total margin of victory in those wards was *eight times* what Clinton's was in 2016. You will tell me these are black voters angry about BLM, but the precincts in question were all in the eleventh ward, in the southwest of Milwaukee. The black area of Milwaukee is in the north.

I actually crunched the numbers myself, and discovered something no one else is talking about. What we find, surprisingly, is that the black precincts that voted most heavily against Trump also had the *lowest* turnout. For instance, see precinct 61, which is typical of a black Milwaukee neighborhood, in that they voted against Trump by 97%, just as you would expect. But their turnout was only 71% of registered voters. As we go up the charts to higher turnouts, we also go to lower percentages for Biden. For example, see precinct 267, which had a high turnout of 89%, but only voted for Biden at 56%. So the precincts with highest turnouts were actually most likely to vote *for Trump*. He still lost there, but by a much smaller margin. You will say this analysis contradicts the fraud story, since Trump did better in these precincts, but it doesn't because you would *expect* the fraud to be taking place in those precincts. Why? Because that is where you can fit in the fraud. You can't fit it into a precinct that is already voting for Biden by 97%. We just saw that Biden did eight times better in those five precincts than Clinton, and he couldn't have done eight times better in one of the black precincts. You can't do eight times better than 97%, can you? So you have to fit it into the wealthier whiter precincts, where it is easier to hide. But that causes a further problem, because then you can't explain the big jump against Trump as being due to BLM. Why would white Milwaukee voters like Biden so much more than Clinton? So although Trump did much worse in Milwaukee in 2020 than in 2016, it wasn't in the black neighborhoods. He had no room to fall there, since he was already at rock bottom. He fell most in the white neighborhoods, going against expectations.

Another thing no one else is reporting on is that in those five precincts, it isn't only the percentages that are strange, it is the totals. Total votes for both major candidates in 2016 were 3,916, in 2020 they were 6,651. An increase of almost 60% in four years. That is where the real shock is. Is Milwaukee's population growing? No, it is decreasing, and has been since the 1960s. It has fallen by about 5,000 in the past decade. The only growth Milwaukee has seen recently is in the third ward and Walker's Point, near downtown. Greenfield has only added a couple hundred people since 2016, so where are these 2,735 people in five precincts in the eleventh ward coming from? My guess is they don't exist, and Trump's lawsuits may discover that. So there is a story there, but no one else is getting it. Looks like the Trump team needs to hire me for discovery. Given my comments above and in previous papers, I don't expect that to happen.

**Update November 10:** some have given up, but I still see the election moving slowly back to Trump. The biggest sign of that today is Arizona narrowing to .4. So my guess is Trump will take Alaska and North Carolina, then take Arizona and Georgia in recounts. At that point he is only one state away from a win, so his team can focus on one of the three that were flipped: PA, WI, and MI. My guess is they will go for PA, since they have the legislature there as well as lots of local contacts. PA may go to the Supreme Court, which will decide for Trump, causing Blue havoc. We will see if they take it as well as the Reds are taking it now.

Also today, I was sent a link to a [Dr. Shiva youtube video](#), claiming to show fraud in Michigan using statistical analysis. He starts by dropping Bev Harris' name, trying to tie her to this. I mention her just below in my links section, since she is the Blackboxvoting lady who has been at this for years. Anyway, his analysis is completely wrong—and should be an embarrassment to all MIT PhDs and Fulbright scholars, since the obvious reason the dots on Shiva's graph fall is that as you have more straight party (SP) votes for Trump in a precinct, those people represent the most-Red voters in the precinct, which makes the remaining voters less-Red, and makes the big line fall below 0. Surely Shiva and his guys know that, which is why I say they are misdirecting. In other words, we would EXPECT the graph to fall, and Shiva needs to show it falls more than expectation, but he isn't doing that. He is just saying that the falling graph indicates fraud, and giving any deviation from zero back to Trump.

That is gloriously negligent. We can tell he is doing this on purpose and not just being stupid when he shows us Wayne county as a counter-example at minute 39. He says Wayne county shows no cheating because it shows no falling line, and that this is because they hand-counted. No, it is because he has shifted the graph and not told you. In the other counties, he has 80 or 100% visible on the x-axis to the right, while in Wayne the x-axis ends at 35%. Notice that almost all the dots are in the first 10%, which is very different than the previous graphs, where you had heavy dots out to 60%. This should tell you that almost no one is voting straight Red there, which means it is a Blue county, so there are no “most-Red” voters there. That is why you aren't seeing any fall. Shiva is pushing data in an extremely hamhanded way, and I guess he doesn't care what this will do to his reputation as a mathematician or scientist, because he isn't one. He is hired propagandist, and he is apparently being hired to blackwash the anti-GMO, anti-vax, anti-Covid, and anti-vote-fraud movements by trying to tie them to the far right, alt-right and neo-Nazis actors like Matthew Colligan, Richard Spencer, and others.

**Update November 11:** In another strange turn of events, the Georgia Secretary of State authorized a hand recount today even before the normal count was over. Georgia is still reporting only 98% of the vote, so this is unprecedented. Normally you finish a first count before you begin a second one, right? Also strange is that in the sidebar to the vote map, *NYT* reporter Glenn Thrush reports that

**State officials have said it is unlikely to erase Biden's narrow but significant lead there.**

What? The lead is given as .28% and narrowing with 2% left to count, which is not a “significant lead”. In fact, it is so small that Trump could feasibly still win the *first* count, which would make the second count redundant. In fact, Trump only needs 64% of the final 2% to win. Remember, Biden has not asked for a recount. Why would he? So authorizing recounts at this point is just more insanity. It makes no sense at all.

The gap in Arizona continues to dwindle as well, now being reported as .35% and moving lower, also with almost 2% left to count. So that isn't over either.

**Update November 13:** *The New York Times* has now downlisted Pennsylvania, Wisconsin, and Michigan to “probable Biden”, with dashed blue lines, after days of giving those states to Biden completely, and having them flat blue. I find that very strange. Georgia and Arizona are listed the same way on the map. However, despite not fully giving them to Biden on the map, the states are given to him in the total, which is listed as 306 for Biden. But without those five states, Biden actually has only 226, fewer than Trump's 232. In the sidebar, Nate Cohn is claiming it's now final, but that is not what the map is indicating right next to his comment. I will be told that blue lines indicate a state that was “flipped”, not a state where Biden is leading, but since all other maps posted—see for example the AP map—are using lines to indicate a lead, this is highly confusing. Also, Arizona is lined but it was never flipped. The AP called it early for Biden and the *NYT* never called it for Trump. Trump hasn't led in Arizona since the AP called it for Biden. It now occurs to me that this listing of flipped states is also strange. Why continue to mark flipped states after the election is allegedly final? I think “flipped” is just a euphemism and code for “stolen”, and they want you to remember which states are fishy going into the next round. The viewers are being prepped for a second flip, you see. But in that case, Nevada should also be lined, since it was also stolen in pretty spectacular fashion—as we are learning from reports on the ground. And if Arizona was flipped—meaning the lead changed at some point after 50%--then Virginia should also be marked as flipped. In Virginia Trump had a big early lead, even up to 65% reporting.

Also strange is that this *NYT* map, listed on the front page of the search engines up to now, has today

been downlisted to page 9. What has been uplisted instead is the *NYT* “Election Results Misinformation Live Tracker,” which Google lists as number 2 on a general search for “election results”. Strange title, right? I would say *all* the maps being posted by mainstream sources could be categorized as “election results misinformation”.

Arizona continues to narrow, down now to .31, while still reporting 98%. So that isn't over even in the first ballot. Georgia is even closer, still being at about .28. If Trump takes both those states, he only needs one of the three northern states to take the election. Given all the actual fraud being reported and admitted to in the mainstream, the Dems should be sweating bullets. So all the claims this is over just look like the usual bluff.

In other big news, Federal Election Commission Chairman Trey Trainor [stated in a NewsMax interview](#) that he believed fraud had taken place and that the election was “illegitimate”. These explosive comments from the FEC Chairman were nonetheless buried by mainstream outlets, which are not reporting them. Instead, the mainstream sites like CNN and CBS are turning up the volume on their absurd claims that the US has no vote fraud.

**November 14:** Arizona continues to narrow, as it has day by day. It is now down to .29, about the same as Georgia. So it is amazing that both Georgia and Arizona have been called for Biden, and that the mainstream is assuring this is over. Remember, AZ was called for Biden by the AP very early, so you should ask yourself if their computer models really knew Biden would be leading by only .29% with 98% counted? If their computer models were telling them that, do you really think they would have called the state so early? No, which just proves this was all pre-planned.

**November 15:** The numbers have now permanently stalled in all states, though I don't know why they can't seem to get past 98% in places like Arizona and Georgia. Wisconsin has been stalled at 98% since the day after the election. So I have spent a few hours at Infowars and NaturalNews, to see how the MAGA people were spinning this. I haven't been to either place in a very long time, since I got tired of hearing about how Trump was going to drain the swamp—while continuing to be a creature from the black lagoon. He hasn't drained one square foot of any swamp in four years, so I don't know why anyone listens to these people anymore.

Part of this whole psyop is of course to make people think that if they are against tyranny, they have to join with Alex Jones, Trump and the MAGA people. In that sense, it is like Occupy Wall Street, where they made you think that if you were against the tyranny of Wall Street, you had join these “dirty hippies” on the street. They want to be sure you DON'T join the revolution, so they make sure the revolution is led by people you don't like. A revolution requires some strange bedfellows, and I am not saying it doesn't, but these people like Alex Jones aren't bedfellows, they are bedbugs. They are there to make sure the revolution fails. I repeat that it is important that they are making you think that “liberals” aren't welcome in the revolution. They are making you think this whole thing is coming out of the Republican Party, which is now being sold as the party of the working man. Really incredible, but it is true. You are supposed to believe that conservatives are driving the revolution. It is a massive mindfk. No one who lived through the Reagan and Bush Presidencies would believe it, but that is the current story. So as usual, the plan is to divide us down party lines, with both parties being controlled opposition. Sensible people don't want to be on either side, Red or Blue, so by tying the Revolution to Red, it will be stalled and coopted. They actually have the Revolutionaries fighting to maintain Trump in office! How perverse is that? Revolutionaries fighting for the sanctity of the Republican Party. You have to laugh, it is so absurd.

I remind you that the revolution will never succeed as long it is tied to one of the two parties, the President, or Congress. Those entities are controlled and always have been, and none of them will be your saviors. Neither will the courts. We have to drive around all those people.

That said, getting all those people on the streets in Washington was a good thing, because it reminds the Phoenicians we aren't all dead asleep. I think even Alex Jones was surprised at the turnout. What we need is unmasked people on the streets *in every town in America*, picketing every government building. We need massive civil disobedience. But you don't have to drive to Washington to do it. That is what they make you think, but your action is more important locally. People need to assemble peaceably all over the world and make it clear they are not going to obey these orders anymore. They are not going to wear masks, close their businesses, social distance, or accept vaccines. Furthermore, they are not going to pay taxes for huge frauds anymore. They need to make it clear they are not going to fund any more fake space programs, fake science, fake art, fake “defense”, fake media, fake Intelligence, or fake medicine. People need to say a big no to everything currently being sold to them. That is the only way to drain any swamp.

**November 19:** I have to admit I have been checking Infowars, NaturalNews, and ZeroHedge for analysis, since the mainstream media is giving us nothing but obvious lies and dodges. The mainstream script is so ridiculous it is hard to believe there is anyone who doesn't see through it. I mean, “vote fraud doesn't happen”? Who do they think they are talking to, six year olds and the mentally ill? I have to think the mainstream story is bad on purpose, and transparent on purpose, again because they intend to bring the Dems down somehow. This has all the signs of a major psyop, with both parties playing a pre-scripted role. They are prepping Joe and Jane average-voter-citizen for a big blow-up here, telegraphing the corruption of both the Dems and the MSM. Yes, maybe a certain percentage of blue voters are buying this because it suits them to, but half the country is red, and that half is certain to be hating the MSM right now. Even Fox isn't red enough for them, and is paying the price. Then you have about half the rest of the country, which is neither strongly red or blue, but which—like me—doesn't trust either party or the MSM to tell them the correct time. Those people are also smelling smoke here, though they can't really tell which way it is blowing. That is at least  $\frac{3}{4}$  of the country looking at this whole thing with great suspicion. You would expect the MSM to be toning it down to appeal to them or fool them, but just the opposite is happening. The MSM seems to be blackwashing *itself*, telling us things no sensible person could possibly believe.

This is just a continuation of 2020 events prior to the election, which also made no sense, including the “defund the police” nonsense, the allowed looting, the rise of Antifa and SJW, the facemask idiocy, and hundreds of other easy examples. They are pushing you hard to the Red side, prepping you to accept Trump as your savior. What better way to destroy the Revolution than to turn everyone into a Republican? Even someone like me feels the push, and I find myself rooting for Trump here.

Other people don't question that, but I do. I find it just short of miraculous. I have passionately hated the Republican party all my life as the party of the privileged rich, and now I am hoping the election is overturned for Trump? That by itself is winning someone a one-dollar bet, as in *Trading Places*. Some trillionaire bet his pal he could make Miles Mathis root for Trump. . . and he won! They did it. Yes, they had to push the Democratic party to the right of the Republican party, but they got it done. Any hippie not stoned out of his mind is pulling for Trump right now, since the alternative is Biden, who wants us wearing masks the rest of our lives and bending over for forced vaccinations and kneeling before illegal Governor's orders. And I repeat, that is not an accident. The blackwash of the Dems is just part of the overall blackwash of the left, driving everyone into the open arms of the Republicans. The Republicans will then graciously throw you a few bones in 2021 like allowing you to breath air

without a mask and buy food and alcohol, before beginning the next round of fascist indignities. In 2021, you will be so glad you avoided concentrations camps, firing squads, or forced vaccinations, that you will do anything to avoid another 2020. As long as Amazon keeps delivering your bottled water and diapers, you will agree to look away as the treasury is looted monthly behind your back. You will pretend to forget all I have taught you and crawl back under your bed with your teddy bear.

**November 25:** In the biggest news in days, [a Pennsylvania judge blocked certification of the vote](#) there pending an evidentiary hearing on Friday. We know this is big news since the mainstream is not reporting it. A search on it at all the major engines finds it blocked in favor of the ruling *against* Trump in Pennsylvania three days ago. Which can only mean the story is being purposely buried. This fact of non-reportage of news may be an even bigger story than the lawsuit story, since it confirms that the mainstream media—including the search engines—are utterly owned by one side in this war. The actions of Google, Bing, Twitter, Facebook, and other similar entities have been outrageously biased, conspicuously undemocratic, and utterly unConstitutional. They are proving the claims against them in such a transparent fashion, it is possible it is being done on purpose, as part of some longer strategy. Otherwise I can't make sense of it. These companies acting so transparently fascist can't benefit them either in the short term or long term, since they don't have the power to keep it all under wraps. Their cover is being blown daily by the alternative media, and fascism like that only works at near 100%. Partial fascism is sure to backfire, and that has been known for centuries. So they look to me to be throwing the game for the other side. They WANT you to think they are fascist, possibly so that Trump can ride in later and “clean them up”, after which you can go back to sleep.

But mainly this seems to be the bankers escalating the Red versus Blue war as a continuation of this summer's black versus white war and the ongoing male versus female war (#Metoo, etc). They have to have us all fighting eachother to keep us from fighting them. So I take this opportunity to remind you one more time that your enemy is not Red or Blue, black or white, male or female. Your enemy is the billionaire and trillionaire criminals using Covid and the elections to soak you one more time. I remind you that these people have gotten a lot richer this year while most of us are tanking. Amazon alone has made the investment groups several hundred billion in just one year, and that money has been taken out of the pockets of local retailers. Trump and Congress have given Bill Gates billions from the treasury already, and that money comes out of your pocket as well. Many more billions will go to the same place in 2021 for fake and dangerous vaccines, some of which will maim and kill your children and parents, leading to further increases in autism, Alzheimers, dementia, and a host of other “mysterious” modern diseases.

**November 29:** [A new article](#) at *The Spectator* is good, and mirrors much of my analysis here. You will say it is by Patrick Basham, which puts me in the same camp as the Cato Institute. That surprises me as much as it does you, but I will show you how it fits into the greater story in my comments below. What Basham doesn't mention is the extreme censorship of news going on now by Google, youtube, Twitter, and Facebook. It is very difficult to get any real information, since only a few sites are even trying to report on late election news or anything else. The mainstream is blocking any search on alternative news or analysis. Collating all the snippets I have been able to glean over the past three weeks, I would say this news embargo is not meant to only block Trump news, but to block even greater news. We are simply not being told by anyone what is going on right now. What is going on is that Civil War I have been telling you about. Big things are going down right now, but no one is telling us—not even the Cato Institute. Our military is apparently deployed domestically, but we aren't told what the war is or who is fighting whom. It is mostly black ops v. black ops, so we may never get a real report of it. It will be spun later in whatever way the winner sees fit. That is why the court cases seem to be moving so slowly and not really going anywhere. Everything is on a weird pause. That is



because they are waiting to see how these military battles play out. As I read it, the various military divisions had to first posture, then they had to see who was going to obey orders and which direction the major players would lean. After that was sorted out, they probably had a few limited skirmishes, to test those divisions, after which the boys stated their allegiances again. My intuition tells me that although there are no whitehats, the blackest hats are losing. That would be the DHS people and their allies: the newer players. The old military/CIA has had it with the new guys and are using this fake election to crush them. Gates and Google are among the new guys.

I will tell you again why I believe this. The postwar period in the US, from 1945 to 2000, was the most profitable in history for the central part of the Phoenician Navy, and they achieved that with low levels of obvious fascism. The fascism was there, but it was well disguised. Most people didn't have a clue. After 2000, that scheme changed, with new players coming in and making even higher short-term profits. But to do that, they had to drastically increase the levels of propaganda and chaos. That new scheme has threatened the stability of the greater structure, and put in doubt the longterm plans of the old guard. But the worst of it is, the new guard is stealing profits from the old guard, which was a colossal mistake. The new guard tried to re-invest the old guard in new projects, but the old guard can now see that those projects aren't stable. Many of the new-guard projects are completely fake, and the reported profits are just mist. That may fool you, but it isn't fooling the old guard. They know that wealth doesn't really exist—think SpaceX, Tesla, Facebook, or a large part of Apple. So the old guard has to find a quick way to snuff the out-of-control new guard and move back to the old scheme. Think of it like granddad slapping down his stupid grandson. I think that is what we are seeing, which is why I think the future is going to be better. They have to move us back to previous models, which were working very well. So I predict a reversion to 1990 to start with, followed by a reversion to 1950. That is not to say things are going to be just like they were in the 50s. They won't be. It will take decades to get there, and when we do get there it won't be like *Back to the Future*. Socially it will be very different. But as a matter of stability it will be very similar.

And how does the article at *The Spectator* confirm this analysis? Because Basham links us to the Cato Institute, which links him to the Kochs. Well, the Kochs are old guard, being involved in oil and minerals. They are linked to the Republican party in the current fracas, so they are Trump allies. If the Democratic party is being purposely blackwashed, as I say above, the Kochs would certainly be involved in it. They might also be involved in a slapdown of Google and Gates, since they have come to see how awful the pre-vaccine lockdowns are for the oil and gas industry.

This current Civil War is just a continuation of the war between the largest investment groups that I have been exposing for the past decade. These investment groups and the families behind them own all the companies in the world, but these companies don't peacefully co-exist. Some compete with eachother, but others do worse than compete: they destroy. For instance, if the bankers steal everything from the treasury and all future treasuries, there is nothing left for anyone else to steal. And who is hit hardest by this? The military, which is the second largest recipient of national money, after the banks. If the military is hit, then oil is hit, because the military is the single largest user of oil. Imagine the amount of fuel they burn every year.

And that is just the primary example. Here is another. The military is expected to use soldiers to test new vaccines. But if this makes millions of soldiers sick, that hurts the military again. Young men won't want to enlist, for one thing, and all these sick veterans have to be taken care of later. If there is no money in the treasury to take care of them, you have the same problem again: their sons and grandsons won't enlist. That is just one reason of many that the military doesn't like Gates and his vaccines.

This gives me another reason to think Trump will eventually come out on top in this “election”. I am not a “pollster” like Basham, but I can tell you that a simple finger to the wind never indicated a Biden victory here. Biden was obviously chosen as a losing candidate and always acted like one. All the telegraphing has been for a Biden loss, and that remains true now, even as the mainstream falsely anoints him as the winner. The fake riots being in Democratic strongholds were a big sign in that direction, as were the fake race wars, which are also easy to pin on the Democrats. The blackwashing of Antifa and SJW was the same sort of signal, since they were also linked to the Democrats. After election day, we have continued to have Big Tech linked to the Democrats, which put a target on them as well. But most of all, we have had a huge amount of chaos created, and all those reacting against it are being pushed right. A revolution is being sparked on purpose, and all revolutionaries are being pushed *right*. Which is very strange. Revolutionaries don't normally clamor for the Republican party, do they? So we are all being pushed in that direction, man, woman, and child.

If those running the greater project really wanted you to like Biden, you would. You would be pushed strongly in his direction, as you were with Obama. But that isn't happening. Even the mainstream isn't pushing you in that direction, though on the surface that looks like the story. But the mainstream only *appears* to be for Biden. In reality, they are blackwashing him by the form of their support. The mainstream media is still controlled now, and it isn't controlled by the left. It never was and isn't now. The mainstream is *pretending* to support Biden, but is blowing it on purpose. The media isn't just accidentally getting caught saying stupid things every day. The Democratic party isn't just accidentally acting like a band of petty tyrants. That is part of the script. You and I aren't the only ones noticing that the Democrats have suddenly become the party of intolerance and censorship. All real people are seeing that, because it is being shoved in front of their noses. That is what I mean by “telegraphing”. You can tell what is going on by looking at what we are being groomed to think.

We are also being groomed to detest Gates and Big Tech. If the CIA and MSM wanted you to love Gates and accept vaccines, you would. They are capable of that, and did it easily in the past. If 50% of the populace is slipping through their nets, it is because they *want* you to slip through.

In the 1950s, there were no alternative sources of news. There were three TV channels, and everyone got their information that way. Magazines and newspapers were also tightly controlled, and even the most fringe sources were straight-up CIA fronts. Almost nobody read them. Technologically, the CIA and MSM have many *more* ways to control you now, so why is everything so chaotic? Why is it so easy to get negative information on Bill Gates or vaccines or voting or anything else? Because somebody wants you to have that information. Which indicates the split and the war. In the 1950s, we may assume the bankers and military were on the same page. They weren't in opposing investment groups. But now they are. The success of the Phoenician navy led to a rapid growth in size, which led to a split. Which led to an ever-growing civil war and ever-growing levels of chaos, as the spies built ever-larger mazes of traps for one another. But this war had to end, because both sides ultimately prey off the middle class and can't survive without it. If they destroy the host they will destroy themselves. I think the older larger side is finally realizing that it can't let the younger side continue. The weaker side has to be killed and the remaining players have to come back together, as they were in the 1950s.

**December 1:** We are now four weeks into this, and nothing much has happened in that time. We would have expected the lawsuits to have made more progress than this. But I don't think it is because they have no merit or will fail; I think it is because the Phoenicians are drawing this out for maximum effect. This whole fracas was composed to keep your eyes off other things, and to keep you miserable, so they will draw it out to the last possible moment. It is also part of the test, and an important part. To

see what I mean, see today's news, which includes protesters marching on the LA County public health director's home to demand she reopen the city. This seems like a good sign on the surface, but it is just more proof people aren't getting it. They don't need her permission to reopen. If they want to reopen, they should just reopen. So I suspect this protest was also manufactured, for that reason. They want you wasting time with these meaningless protests, when what you should be doing is direct action: opening your businesses. When the police arrive, tell them to get stuffed. When the fines arrive, don't pay them. If everyone did that, this would be over tomorrow, wouldn't it? But they have to be sure you don't remember that.

In other news, [we were reminded yesterday](#) by Wayne Root that those in Las Vegas who were creating betting odds for the Presidential election saw exactly what I saw above, and reacted exactly the same way. Going in, the odds were 2-1 against Trump (based only on the faulty computer predictions of the AP and other places), but as soon as real votes started coming in, those odds changed very quickly, and after midnight had gone to 8-1 *for* Trump. Those are strong odds, and they were based on the board that was posted up to about 4:30am. The odds were no doubt based on the fact that never before in history had a candidate flipped an election in the last 10%. No candidate had ever lost the first 90% of votes and then won the last 10% by huge margins. You will say that is because no election had ever had this number of late-arriving mail-ins, which went to Biden at 77%. And that is indeed what we are being told. However, I have shown you above it makes no sense, due to the fact that Biden mysteriously did that only in a few swing states like Pennsylvania. In about 90% of states—which *also* had late-arriving mail-ins—we didn't see Biden taking them at 77%. We saw what we would expect: a near 50-50 split. See Florida, Texas, and North Carolina, for example. NC was counting late-arriving mail-ins until Nov. 15, but no flip occurred there. Why? Because they didn't need it. By the time NC counted their last votes, the election had already been called for Biden.

In other news today, Attorney General Barr has now stated the Justice Department has found no evidence of fraud. This is the craziest news I have seen all month. Barr is in Trump's cabinet, so how could he possibly say that? Even stranger is that Trump didn't immediately fire him. Again, Barr is in Trump's cabinet, and [so he can be fired](#). We would have expected Trump to fire him immediately, but so far Trump has only issued a statement. I can't really make sense of this, except as another mind-stir. This may be prepping us for a Trump concession, or it could be the opposite. Trump may fire the entire Justice Department and replace it, setting us up for more entertainment.

Other news is that according to a Rasmussen poll, over 30% of *Democrats* think the election may have been stolen. 75% of Republicans and 30% of Democrats thought it likely the election was stolen. That's shocking, since it means only 33% of Americans would be disappointed if Trump remained in office. How did I get that? Well, 100% of Republicans would be fine if the election was overturned in favor of Trump, and 30% of Democrats wouldn't complain too loudly, since they think fraud was involved anyway. So 70% of Democrats would be disappointed, and since less than half the country is Democrat, that takes us down to around 30% of the country. If Trump remains in office, it means the Democrats stole the election, which means we have to take all those stolen votes off the board, in which case Trump won by something like 53 to 47, say. 70% times 47% equals 33%. But it is even worse than that, since only 61% of Democrats were sure there was no election fraud. They are the ones that answered that it was “not at all likely”. That takes us down to only 28% of the country that would be seriously irked if this election was overturned. That's pretty amazing if you think about it, since it might be argued that is reason by itself to overturn it. It tells us that a Trump win would be far less divisive than a Biden win. But what it also tells us is that all the fear they are planting in your head at the possibility of Antifa violence if Trump remains President is just more bluff. As we can see, Antifa would be up against at least 72% of the country. Not good odds for them. Besides, we already [know](#)

[Antifa is fake](#). So those bozos can whine all they want. You have nothing to fear from rich white boys who just came from a manicure. If they torch any building, it was only because they lined it up with the owners beforehand, as part of some insurance fraud.

**December 2:** The weirdness continues to crescendo, as [Trump released a 46-minute video](#) today that the mainstream either utterly ignored or dismissed as a lie. Google is treating it as not even newsworthy that a standing President gives a long speech on vote fraud. Strange, since I thought the main duty of the mainstream media was to report news. Commentary on that news used to be a separate issue, and a different program, but now all news comes with the commentary under title, before you even read or see the content of the news. In other words, *The New York Times* or CNN tells you what to think before you have even started to think. And in this case, it is even worse than that, since you either get the commentary *instead* of the news, or you get outright censorship. The mainstream media is now censoring the President of the United States. As you know, I am not a Trump supporter, but everyone should find censorship and pre-blackwashing of a 46-minute speech by the President very strange. I don't have any TV reception, so I have to get my news from the internet. But a search on Google and Ecosia was incredibly biased on this issue. The first result on that search from Ecosia went to [the Chicago Tribune](#), which, instead of linking to the Trump video or trying to outline what it said, led with a link to a PBS video of Georgia election officials “slamming” Trump and GOP Senators. How does that make any sense? If I had wanted to watch that video, I would have searched on that topic, wouldn't I? The next results were from the *Sun-Sentinel*, *New York Times*, CNN, and NPR, all slandering Trump from the first word without reporting any news. The first pages of the search are cluttered with results that either have nothing to do with the search request, or are repeats. The same results from the NYT and *Sun-Sentinel* keep coming up over and over and over. At Google, the NYT article leads the search, and this [“report” by Michael Sheer](#) isn't a report at all. It is just libel, since it claims, without evidence or argument, that the speech is full of lies. It accuses Trump of inciting violence in Georgia, misquotes Barr again, quotes Twitter (as if Twitter has been elected to anything or has any authority here), and generally avoids addressing anything Trump said in the actual speech. He calls the Trump campaign's cache of evidence “wild allegations without any proof” and implies they have lost all their lawsuits. Which is itself a lie. Several judges have ruled for Trump already, including an important ruling in Pennsylvania which concluded that Trump was likely to prevail on the merits of the case, ordering a halt to election certification (see above). So although I am not a big fan of Trump, I have even less use for this Michael Sheer. I wouldn't trust him to tell me the color of my shirt. Amazingly, we find out exactly who this Michael Sheer is without even doing a search on him. At the bottom of the page, it says, “He previously worked at The Washington Post and was a member of their Pulitzer Prize-winning team that covered the Virginia Tech shootings in 2007”. Aha, so he has just outed himself as a CIA agent, as if we couldn't have guessed. The VT shootings were fake, like all the others. The rest of the front page of Google is clogged by old results, but we finally get another real result halfway down page 2, where we find AlJazeera dismissing the speech without analysis or reportage as “debunked conspiracy theories”. This just proves my point, since AlJazeera isn't even a mainstream US source. So why is Google top-listing it? I guess because most US sources are censoring the speech altogether, not even admitting it happened. After another two pages of outdated results, we seem to get a proper result on the speech, but it takes us to a *Financial Times* page where the subject is buried. When we scroll down to dig it out, we find it is again nothing but empty (and anonymous) commentary, reading from the same script as the NYT. And that's it. After that is nothing but pages and pages of outdated results on other topics. Not one result is an objective report, much less a positive review.

You should find this very strange, since even if the Democrats prevail and even if you accept the results of the election as clean as the driven snow, Trump still came within a whisker of winning this

thing. Which means that about half the country is Red. So how can the entire mainstream media, including Google, just write-off half the country? How can the media talk to only half the country? Does that seem like a good business model? Is that a good way to sell papers, maintain market shares, or sell ads? If you accept all this as real (instead of a gigantic scripted psyop), it means the media and government have given up even trying to appeal to 50% of the population.

The nation has always been divided along party lines, but in the past the government knew it needed to control both sides, so it had it a script for both sides. It had magazines and TV channels for both sides. It had propaganda for both sides. But in the past few years that scheme has ended, and half the country is now being treated like a colony of lepers. How is that supposed to work?

It CAN'T work, which is why I think this whole thing is scripted. The “leftist” media is being instructed to piss everyone off on purpose, because that will move most people right. But remind yourself that is where they always wanted you. They want you as far right as possible, because that puts you in the same tent as the very wealthy. The very wealthy are far-right Republicans, for the most part. The billionaire tech people are just pretending to be lefty Dems, but they aren't. And some of them aren't even pretending: think of Whole Foods head John Mackey, who is a billionaire Republican union buster and always has been. Most people would have thought he was a lib-Dem, but he ain't. Elon Musk pretends to be progressive, but he isn't. Jeff Bezos pretends to be liberal, but he isn't. He was a supporter of Senator **Spencer Abraham**, Jewish and Republican. Note his name. The Amazon PAC gives more money to Republicans than Democrats. Bezos has CIA and Pentagon connections which are admitted on his Wiki page. Also see his hatred for a real liberal candidate, Kshama Sawant. He spent over \$1.5 million to get her off the Seattle City Council, unsuccessfully. She wanted to tax the rich, but I guess Bezos felt he couldn't afford it.

So, in short, I see the media pushing you right into Trump's arms, on purpose. It is not just alternative sites like Infowars, Zerohedge, or NaturalNews that are pushing you toward Trump by direct promotion; it is also the mainstream media, which is pushing you toward Trump in order to get away from worse things, including themselves. The mainstream media is playing the heavy here, being outrageously biased and conspicuously irrational and flagrantly spooky, in order to scare you into the waiting arms of the Republicans, who will ride in and save the day. They can't clean up the real swamp, which is located at the Federal Reserve and other banks and in the huge investment groups, so they will pretend to clean up the voting process and Big Tech and the media. The media will pretend to be chastened over the next few years, making some moves back to sanity, and places like Facebook, Google and Youtube will also make nods to Free Speech again. They may even get rid of the voting machines. [That was my favorite part of Trump's speech today: when he said we needed to return to paper ballots. I never thought I would hear a US President say that, whether reading from a script or not.] All this to keep your eyes off the banks, and the multi-trillion dollar looting that just occurred, and will continue to occur.

Which all goes to say that if there is some sort of purge on the horizon, some number of scapegoats may be rounded up, tried, and sacrificed on the altar of National Pride, but I don't expect any top bankers to be among them. If we have some big Nuremberg-type trials in the next year or decade, you should understand that—like the Nuremberg trials—they are just show trials. You may be told people are being jailed or shot or hung, but as always they will be allowed to escape in the dark of night, living out their sad lives in opulence on some palmy isle.

**Update December 29:** Not much has happened in the past few weeks, which is why I went silent. Or, I should say, not a lot of news worth reporting has been posted. The Supreme Court declined to hear

the case from the States, citing lack of standing, which is absurd. It just means that wasn't the chosen route this story would take. A Supreme Court decision would have been entirely too quiet and sober for this story. Then we heard about the explosion in Nashville, which you are supposed to believe was done by some lone nut, as usual. It wasn't. It was part of the covert civil war going on, and was pulled by a government faction, probably either CIA or DHS. But things are slowly unwinding as we approach the new year, and [the report from the Georgia State Senate](#) is an important part of that. Several states are moving to decertify their elections and electors, and Georgia is one of them. This report came out of the Judiciary Subcommittee chaired by William Ligon, also chair of the Election Law Committee in the Senate, and it found massive statewide fraud in all areas, so much that its final recommendation was that

**the certification of the Election should be rescinded and the General Assembly should act to determine the proper Electors to be certified to the Electoral College in the 2020 presidential race.**

Wow. That is a direct quote from the official report. You will say that is just the opinion of a few Trump supporters in the Senate, but remind yourself that the Georgia State Senate is led by Republicans and has a Republican majority. Ligon has been a senator for nine years and is one of the ranking senators of Georgia. The Governor and Lt. Governor of Georgia are also Republicans. Which makes it all the more suspicious that Biden allegedly won the state.

Even more astonishing is that the mainstream media is refusing to report this. A websearch on it is led by the *GeorgiaStar*, and no major outlets come up. Perhaps this is because it forcefully disproves the mainstream media line that there is no evidence of fraud in this election. According to the Georgia State Senate, there is so much evidence they couldn't even look at it all in the time given. Trump has not conceded the election and this is far from over.

This is also some movement on the Covid fake this week, with courts in several States finding against Governors' lockdown orders on restaurants and churches. See [this one](#) in New York, where an appeals court upheld the ruling against Cuomo. We also continue to see judges ruling against Governor Newsom in California, though he often finds a way to make an end-run around the courts. In many states, courts have ruled against Governors' orders, but this is followed by cities or local health departments just issuing their own illegal orders. When those orders are struck down in subsequent lawsuits, OSHA steps in and issues their own illegal orders, which go against their own bylaws. But my recommendation is and always has been that filing these suits is upside down. What you should do if you own a business is just ignore the orders as unConstitutional, requiring the state to sue or arrest *you*. We have seen that in almost all cases, they don't. And if they do, they can't make anything stick, either the arrest or the fines. In the handful of cases we have seen of arrest or fine, the majority look staged to me, manufactured to create fear and coerce you into compliance. What we need is widespread noncompliance. Or as Thoreau called it, [Civil Disobedience](#).

**Update January 6:** They staged the fake storming of the Capitol today, with a women supposedly shot by a Capitol guard. Of course she turned out to be Air Force, 14-year veteran, so that was fake. You can tell by the blood, which is always the wrong color. Her name is Ashli **Babbitt**, and it turns out she is Jewish and probably military intelligence.



Note the nose and the hand sign. She was “a high level security official”. So, let us guess: Lt. Colonel?

The Viking leading the charge and then standing at the House podium had previously been caught on camera at a BLM protest, so he wasn't a Trump supporter, but a paid Antifa actor. [I am told by my readers that he was a Trump *anti*-protestor in the BLM riots, so he didn't strictly switch roles. However, he has [now been exposed](#) as a professional actor, as well as a Navy veteran. His name is [Jacob Angeli Chansley](#), and he just happens to be 33 years old. He has his own Wiki page, where the date January 8 now appears prominently: [1/8](#), aces and eights. He and his mother are from [Phoenix](#). His father is hidden. He has been on Alex Jones, talking about Satan. According to MyLife, Jacob's mother Martha Chansley is related to [Lyons](#), Gabbards, Robinsons, Vukovojacs, Brocks, Balzanos. Addresses include Thousand Oaks and Burbank. The Angelis are in the peerage, related to the Percys and Manners. The current Queen of England is a Lyon. The Balzani are Italian nobles. Another reader has pointed out that among those arrested at the Capitol was Nick [Ochs](#), leader of the Hawaii Proud Boys. Hard to believe they didn't change his name for this. Do you remember who has owned the *New York Times* since the beginning? The Jewish Ochs-Sulzbergers. Just a coincidence, I'm sure. Another one arrested (and released immediately without charge) is [Aaron Mostofsky](#), Jewish of course, son of Kings County Supreme Court Judge Schlomo Mostofsky. He is a Project Veritas spook actor, probably Intelligence or an asset.]

Speaking of fakes, and since I haven't been able to work it in anywhere else, I will take this opportunity to blow the cover of Kyle Rittenhouse as well. The Rittenhouses are a prominent Jewish family with a long history of wealth and influence. The first head of the [US mint](#) was a Rittenhouse. He was a [Lievens/Levinson](#) from Holland on his mother's side and from the Rittenhouse nobles of Westfalia on his father's side. Also see Laura Rittenhouse, nee Arter (Jewish), prominent in the Red Cross and Women's Temperance Movement, who died 7/11/11. Also Chicago lumber magnate Moses Rittenhouse and his nephew, same name, who made a mint in orchard sprayers. Kyle Rittenhouse is from Antioch, IL, same place as the grandsons of Moses Rittenhouse. So Kyle Rittenhouse is not just some dopey militia kid. As usual, he is Jewish, and his fake victims are also Jewish: surnames Rosenbaum, Huber and Grosskreutz. Probably cousins of his. Another shooter in the fake melee was Joshua Ziminski—also Jewish of course. Rittenhouse just happened to be the star of several livestreams leading up to the fake shooting, and we see him talking chummily to police officers—probably asking if there are any script updates. The fake confrontation was almost professionally captured from three different angles. What are the odds? *The Daily Caller's* reporter Richie McGinniss was onhand to cover the story and even be involved in it. Of course *The Daily Caller* was founded by FoxNews via Tucker Carlson, and is run by Indian/Phoenician hedgefund jerk Neil Patel. I remind you that Patel was Dick Cheney's Chief Policy Advisor, so that is who we are dealing with here. Also see Priti Patel, said to be part Ugandan, but really part Jewish. She is currently Home Secretary in London,

that is, a top British spook. She is known to be [a big supporter of Israel and Jewish causes](#). So you begin to see who set the stage in Kenosha.

*The Daily Caller* was funded by Foster Friess, so we may assume he is also a crypto-Jew pretending to be a born-again. They do it all the time. He came out of Intelligence, where he reached the rank of captain. His wife is Lynette Estes, and both the names Estes and Friess are Jewish. See for example [the Revolutionary Rabbi Gideon Estes](#). The Estes descend from the d'Estes, Italian nobles related to the Borgias and Jagiellons. Meaning. . . yes, they are Komnenes. Friess is the same as Fries, and they were the Grafs (Earls) von Fries, related to the Princes of Hohenlohe-Waldenburg-Schillingsfurst. This probably links Foster Friess to Prince Friedrich, Prime Minister of Austria-Hungary in 1906 as well as Prince Chlodwig, Chancellor of Germany 1894-1900. Further back, the Hohenlohe were the heads of the Teutonic Knights. The sister of Prince Philip (husband of Queen Elizabeth) was married to a Hohenlohe. Also see Count Moritz von Fries, banker and richest man in the Austrian Empire up to 1826, who married the Princess Hohenlohe. He inherited the bank Fries & Cie, and there is still a Fries group at Merrill Lynch. Beethoven dedicated his 7<sup>th</sup> Symphony to von Fries. The von Fries were Jewish, getting their fortune not only from banking but from silks.

Foster Friess is probably related to Gen. Amos Fries, since they are both from Western Wisconsin. Amos Fries was a descendant of [Amos Friess of the Civil War](#), so we have proof of the name link between Fries/Friess. They link us to the Bancrofts and Damons of Massachusetts. The Fries are also in the peerage, linking us to the Swedish Barons Bond, who then link us to the Fogelbergs and Beck-Friis. You may remember the Beck-Friis from my paper on [Anders Breivik](#). The musician Dan Fogelberg comes from these lines as well, explaining his fame.

That was a bit of a diversion, but I had to entertain myself today, since so far nothing real will happen.

**Update January 9 [also see bracketed addendum three paragraphs up]** : All this brouhaha about Twitter and Facebook shutting down the President is proof the Presidency is just a sinecure, an actor reading from teleprompters. If what you were taught about US Government were true, that would be impossible. Legally, Trump is still President. He hasn't been impeached. He is President until January 20, when and if Biden is sworn in. Which means Trump is still Commander and Chief, in charge of all the armed forces. All he has to do is order the military to seize Twitter, Facebook, and Google, and there isn't a damn thing anyone could do about it. He should shut them down and put them in receivership, under military control, and jail all the top people for sedition. He doesn't have to refer to new or old laws to do that: he can do it on his own authority as Commander in Chief, and the actions of those companies has been so outrageous, election or no election, that he would be completely justified in doing so. The problem: they are *already* under military control. They are owned by the trillionaires, who also own the military, which is why we don't see Trump acting like a real President.

You will say Congress would impeach him for it, since they are under the thumb of Google. Trump would then have to suspend Congress or something. Which brings me to my next point: *that may be what they are gearing up for*. I think a majority of people are now ready for that. Despite what we are told (falsely) about the Dems now controlling everything and having a lot of support, I think the opposite is true—which is why we have to be told it in such absurd stories with big exclamation points. The media *seems* to want you to support the Dems and hate the Reps, but the stories actually do the opposite. The events of the past year have all blackwashed the left and Dems, while making Trump look surprisingly *good*. When I talk to real people, I don't see them moving from Red to Blue, I see them moving Blue to Red. I have told you that many times before, and it just continues to get worse. The storming of the Capitol fiction did the same thing: it created sympathy for Trump and made



Congress look even more awful than usual.

Which is not to say I am promoting that outcome. If Trump does stay in and suspend Congress, I will not be cheering, though I expect most of the country will. The last two months seem to me to have been staged to prep you for that, but they didn't fool me. We don't need that sort of tyranny, "cleaning the swamp" or no. If that scenario does play out, you can be sure it won't play out to clean any swamp. It will play out to the short-term benefit of the Phoenician Navy, which has manufactured this entire year from the ground up. They have manufactured it for huge profit, and that is confirmed. The billionaires and trillionaires are raking it in, while the rest of us are going broke. But they haven't manufactured it only for profit. They have manufactured it to answer critics like me, who were hitting them too hard and too close to home. To divert attention away from the truth, they needed a year like 2020, which was a series of explosions and crashes. It was a huge diversion peppered with thousands of smaller diversions, to keep your eyes off the real action.

The problem is, the whole project is backfiring, as usual. They were supposed to be turning down the heat on potential revolution, and all they have done is turn it up. Others seem quite discouraged by 2020, but I am giddy. The governors are doing all the wrong things. Biden becoming President and continuing the Covid charade is bad for the PNavy, and Trump raising the military is bad for the PNavy. In the very short term, it may be good for profits, for some of them. But in the long run it is a disaster. I think some of them know that, which is why we see pushback from a few like Kennedy. But at this point, the probability that a third scenario will prevail—one that is good both for us and the governors—looks very unlikely.

**Update January 13:** My readers are asking me about the upcoming protests, and whether they should join. Many stories are being circulated that these gatherings are a trap: that the government will be profiling everyone, that they will use it as another excuse for gun control, even that the police and military plan to attack. Well, you have to decide for yourself, but for those looking for my advice, I have previously advised you to show up for any and all protests against the government, and that hasn't changed. I think every man woman and child should be seen on the streets, exercising their right to protest, to speak, and to be outside *without a mask*. Protesting isn't illegal, and neither is armed protesting, in most cases. My state is an open carry state, so if being armed isn't illegal and protesting isn't illegal, then protesting while being armed can't be illegal. It is only illegal if you start shooting people and it isn't in self-defense. THAT is illegal. I am not advising you to join or start a riot. But I am advising you to show up and be counted. Let the governors know you do not want the brave new world they are selling and will not accept it under any circumstances.

Besides, the government is *already* profiling everyone. The government has [already manufactured all the excuses it needs for control](#), and one more won't make any difference. Up to now, they haven't been interested in gun control, though they pretend to be: they have been interested in selling you more guns. So if you like to wear those guns, they have no one but themselves to blame.

I see the stories circulating now as more fear porn, meant to keep you silent and at home. See nothing, say nothing, do nothing, know nothing. That is where they want you. So I say, see everything, say what you wish, do what you wish, and get educated. Get your ass out on the streets and be heard. Make a sign. Take your dog. Take your cat. Take your iguana. Talk to people. You will find that more people agree with you than you think. You will find that the stories you are told by the TV aren't true. And my guess is you won't have to protect yourself from the military or the police, who are mostly on your side. If anything, you will only have to protect yourself from a few people the worst fringe of the government has hired to infiltrate these gatherings and harass you. So if you are worried

about that, go in a group and arm yourself for that specific danger. In other words, that doesn't require a gun, since these people are just loudmouthed actors who have no stomach for a real fight. A stick, a dog, or a small canister of *real* pepper spray will keep them away. And if you do see some violence, remember it is probably being staged. Be on the lookout for moulage, directors, pulled punches, and fake tear gas. Be sure your phone is charged and have it set to camera.

**January 14:** Speaking of faked events, *Newsweek* is now reporting that Congresswoman Mikie Sherrill, former Navy officer, is claiming that she witnessed Capitol rioters being given recon of the premises by unnamed members of Congress the previous day. She spins the story to mean that *Republican* members of Congress were giving rioters access and information, at the behest of Trump. But since the story is so easy to despise the other way, it is already being memoryholed as a fail. What if the members of Congress doing this were Democrats? Then the story reads completely differently doesn't it? It reads as evidence of an inside job. But I point out that even if the members were Republican, the story *still* reads as an inside job. Congress was prepping the event against itself either way, right? So this is all more proof the event was managed and staged, a sort of American Reichstag Fire false flag event for the 21<sup>st</sup> century. Alternative news outlets have already compiled huge amounts of evidence it was exactly that, with many top rioters being outed as planted agents. Capitol police were instructed to stand down, and we can see them *escorting* rioters in. All those now allegedly being arrested are obvious actors, with the usual fake mugshots, long beards, wild eyes, and fictional or fudged backgrounds.

It is also worth pointing out that Mikie Sherrill has red flags all over her as well. She is probably ONI, since she was born in Alexandria, VA, to a family of ranking spooks, is married to a Jew (Jason Hedberg) and is probably Jewish herself, and she is a graduate of both the Naval Academy and the **London School of Economics**. She worked directly under Admiral Gregory Johnson, Commander in Chief of the Navy, Europe. My guess would be that she is still in Naval Intelligence, with Congress being her current assignment.

Places like Infowars and NaturalNews are asking why charges were dropped against BLM and Antifa rioters, but are being pressed against the Capitol rioters. The answer: they aren't. The Capitol rioters have been detained just long enough to make headlines, then also released. Why? Because, like the BLM and Antifa rioters, it was all staged. They are *all* actors, on both sides. The year-long project has been to create division and foment hatred between blacks and whites, men and women, and red and blue. The reason: to keep your eyes off the real bad guys—the bankers and other billionaires and trillionaires actually causing all the problems. It reminds me of when I was a kid, and the jerky older brother of one of my best friends in the neighborhood decided he wanted to see us fight. So he told my friend I had said nasty things about him, and told me the same thing. I didn't buy it, but my friend did. He wasn't so smart. It didn't cause an actual fight, since I didn't buy in, but it did ruin our friendship. He ended up setting our fence on fire, and got in big trouble for that. The point being that sowing dissension is a great way to divert attention away from yourself. If you want eyes off yourself, get those around you fighting one another. They will forget all about you. This has been the go-to trick of the Phoenicians for thousands of years. They learned it way back in the dawn of time, and it has worked wonders for them over the millennia, since people are stupid and almost always fall for it. I suggest you wise up and quit falling for it.

**January 16:** After months of predicting Trump would destroy the Deep State and remain in office, Alex Jones is now calling for his listeners to avoid protests on January 20. A perfect “control the opposition” move.

And in other news, the FBI supposedly arrested an Antifa militant on charges of planning to murder Trump supporters and police at the Capitol riot. Daniel Baker, 33, formerly Airborne, claims he was funded by Soros. You have to laugh. This just indicates the fake events are being run by both sides in this Civil War, with one set of events staged to blackwash the left, and another set of events staged to blackwash the right. Baker is obviously a military asset, *not* retired, and the whole thing is a hoax. The number 33 tells us that immediately, and we get aces and eights as well: on January 8, 1/8, Baker posted calls to violence on his youtube channel. Just so you know, Airborne is for top candidates, who score high on IQ tests. People with high IQs do not post public calls to violence on youtube, since everyone knows youtube is being monitored.

And in other news, Tesla appears to be collapsing just weeks after being promoted as the biggest thing on the planet, and after Musk was sold as the world's richest person. After a major forced recall, Musk is ordering a total liquidation of the Model S and Model X. Normally, something like that would bankrupt a company, but I guess they will find some way to claim that Musk just got richer from it.

And now for the quote of the day: "Censorship is necessary to combat fascism". That is like saying that eating five pizzas is necessary to combat gluttony, or that a 10-hour porn binge is necessary to combat lust. The mainstream is now out-Orwelling Orwell and out-Hitlering Hitler. Nazi propaganda was far more logical than anything being promoted in the media now.

**January 17:** Things just keep getting weirder. After saying impeachment was off the table last week, McConnell flipped and is now rushing impeachment in the Senate. Even so, he still admits they can't impeach Trump until after the 20<sup>th</sup>, due to time constraints. So here's a question for you: how can you impeach someone who isn't the President? By definition, you can't. It is beyond absurd. It would be like pursuing the death penalty against someone who was already dead. But the fact they are pursuing it tells us. . . what? It tells us they must think he will still be *legally* President after the 20<sup>th</sup>. That's the only way this impeachment in the Senate makes any legal sense: as back-up in case the election fraud is proved after the 20<sup>th</sup> and the election is overturned somehow. It doesn't matter, since they don't have the votes anyway, so in that sense it is just more misdirection for the media to feed on. They can't tell you what is really going on, so they have to manufacture something.

Speaking of manufacturing the news, I just went to Google news for the first time in months, and they are still pushing the line that there has been no indication of election fraud. They are using that to blackwash Giuliani today. But wait, didn't this same mainstream media push Giuliani as the big hero of 911? Or am I remembering something we aren't supposed to remember? That's the problem when you aren't on piles of prescription drugs: your brain still works and remember enough to spot obvious contradictions. That doesn't work with mainstream storylines, which are nothing but contradictions, absurdities, and impossibilities. It is news for the mentally impaired.

But I repeat: Google and these other places can't be doing themselves any favors by pushing these scripts no sane person could accept. I have to believe that a majority or large minority of people have simply tuned out the mainstream media as a cast of incredible liars. I can't believe they really expect to continue successfully herding such people. The time to be pushing this stuff was back in the 1950s, when everyone trusted the news. The mainstream should be trying to rebuild an already tattered reputation, but instead, they are doing the opposite, telling ever bigger and lies and demanding you believe them, against all evidence and against all logic and reason. It cannot work and is not working. It is such a monumental miscalculation, one would think they are begging for revolution. They seem to want people to despise them.

But that comes from sane people like me trying to make sense of things. No matter what happens, we try to come up with a theory to contain it. Perhaps there is simply no theory to contain this idiocy. Maybe the ruling class really is succumbing to mass insanity, and is imploding before our eyes. Let us hope so. Let us hope they all decide to leap from tall buildings in 2021. That would be the easiest solution to this whole problem. May the Phoenix fail to rise this time!

**January 20:** Well, the play fizzled in the last act, or appeared to. The question is, would the media even report it if something did happen? If Biden was sworn in, and then ten minutes later Trump was sworn in, would we even know? It may take weeks for any real news to trickle in, and even then we will have to unwind it and translate it. As I see it, the real rulers have just gone another level underground, adding another level of lies and theater to the staging. They have added another front in front of their fronts. There is so much we still aren't being told, but I haven't figured out what it is. I will let you know if I do.

And in other news, Biden signed a mask challenge already. What is that? A challenge? Sound like another bluff, since all you have to do is decline the challenge. I looked it up, and if it is legal at all, it only applies to federal buildings and land. So you will have to continue to wear a mask at the PO. In other words, meaningless. But they are selling it as some general mandate, as if it has broad application. It has almost no application, and is not legally enforceable even at the PO, since the President has no authority to make such mandates. The President cannot make laws. The legislatures make laws. Government 101.

That was my update for today, since I am personally sick of the whole election theater. I am glad it is over. At this point they could swear in Lil Wayne as Emperor for life, and I would just yawn. But many of my readers are apparently frantic. They are asking me to calm them down, to sing in their ears or something. So I am back to do that. I have heard your cries. But I repeat what I said above and have said many times: it is all theater. It is a scary movie, and most of it isn't even happening. I will give you some examples. The mainstream is reporting that Antifa is rioting in many cities, which seems to make no sense. We were told Trump supporters would riot, and now they are telling us just the opposite. Why would leftists riot at the inauguration of a leftist? How can we explain it? Well, we don't have to explain it, because it is fake. *It is staged.* The cops are allowing it to happen, as they have all year. It is just more Hollywood. So there is nothing to fear there. Did you get scared when they filmed *Taxi Driver* on the streets of New York? No. Same thing here. They admit that Antifa is just a hundred hooded goons in each of a few cities paid to do crazy things on camera. So they will never inconvenience you in the least. The reason Antifa riots are being staged is to keep real people off the streets. You and yours should have been filling the streets in protest, but they successfully squelched that revolution, didn't they? How? Through fear, as usual. They fed you stories about the FBI targeting protestors, and big clashes with Antifa, and nutjobs showing up armed, and Alex Jones told everyone to stay home. And they did. So what happened today? Nothing. Why? Was it Antifa's fault, or Trump's, or Q's fault for lying to you? No, it was your fault for believing Alex Jones and hiding at home.

As another example, we are told various people on CNN or in Congress are calling for this or that action against conservatives. All Trump supporters are supposed to be forcibly re-educated somehow. Big deal. Calling for something is meaningless. Anyone can call for something. Actually putting it into action is not so easy. How are they going to forcibly re-educate more than half the adult population of the country? They haven't had the resources to educate anyone in the first place, so how could they *re-educate* them? It is absurd. What if all those people tell them to fuck off, as they should and would? But it won't come to that, I assure you. It is all another big bluff, just like Covid. There

are thousands of laws on the books preventing such harassment, from the Constitution down to the local levels, and none of those laws have been overturned. Free speech is still in effect, no matter what AOC or the talking heads on the TV say. You will say that people are being arrested for being at the Capitol, for opening their businesses, for not wearing masks, and so on. No they aren't. The government has simply staged a few arrests to scare you, but I am not aware of any real person who has paid a real fine or spent any real time in jail. Nothing you think is happening is really happening, from BLM, Antifa, SJW, #Metoo, or anything else. It is all just Operation Chaos on overdrive, staged to keep your eyes off the real world, to keep you frightened, and to keep you inactive.

They faked Covid so that they could sell you a vaccine, billing you for it indirectly through the treasury. And so that they could sell you masks and signs and hand sanitizer and toilet paper and Amazon, and so that they could buy out and replace hundreds of thousands of small private businesses. They faked the whole election brouhaha as more misdirection, to keep your mind and eyes off the trillions they are stealing from the treasury in debt creation and other conjobs. And what have you done about it? Nothing. You were waiting for Trump to save you. I have news for you: there are a hundred million of you or more. You don't need Trump to save you. You can save yourselves just by standing up and saying no. 50,000 restaurant owners in Italy decided to band together and reopen, defying government orders. The government has been able to do nothing about it. Do you really think the US Government can put 100 million Trump supporters/conservatives/anti-maskers/anti-vaxxers/others in jail, collect fines from them, take their guns, or do anything else? Of course not. It is all a bluff. It has all been a test to see how far they could bluff you, using fear as their main lever. And you have mostly fallen for it.

So it is not the government you should fear most: it is your own gullibility and weakness, and that of your neighbors. But the news is good from there, since the fear and gullibility and weakness *is your own*. Meaning, you have control over it. If you are tired of being afraid and gullible and weak, just stop. You have that ability and power. You don't need to reform government or the bastards behind it. Reform yourself and the problem is solved. 100 million such people could not be imposed upon by anyone.

You will tell me there is no chance of 100 million people reforming themselves like that, and suddenly becoming strong. And I tell you that most people, even the Dems and liberals, are one tocsin, one defining event, away from saying "no more". When pushed to the edge, it doesn't take a huge amount of courage to say "no more". At some point it becomes the last and only available response. I know because I came to it long ago. I didn't suddenly become a superhero, I just embraced the last available response, which was to say "No, I am not retreating any further. If you want to push anymore, you better dig a grave first, because one of us is going into it". I believe a large number of people are at that point, or right on the cusp, and the important thing is to keep them from fighting each other. The governors are trying to start a civil war, so that we divide down the middle and attack one another, which is why I keep telling you to focus. This isn't about left v. right or black v. white or men v. women. It is about the billionaires and trillionaires feasting on the rest of us like a pack of wolves. So remember that when you find yourself.

In the meantime, you can quit wearing masks when you take a walk or jog or ride your bike or drive your car. You can refuse the vaccine. You can quit believing the news. You can open your business and tell the governor to bite you. You can stop your subscriptions to all magazines. You can quit facebook, twitter, and google. You can boycott youtube. You can refuse to comply with illegal or nonsensical orders or requests in all arenas. Spend a half hour each day looking for ways to divest from the New World, returning on purpose to the old world. Get rid of every gadget you can, every

piece of plastic you can, every bit of excess packaging, every instance of propaganda that comes to you. Cancel your cable. Buy a vintage bicycle. Boycott Walmart and all big box stores. Get out of the stock market, avoid Bitcoin, boycott Microsoft. Don't give to big charities. Don't identify as either Republican or Democrat. Boycott Hollywood. Boycott professional sports. You get the picture.

To read more about the actual methods of vote fraud, [start here](#).

Also see [my links page](#), where you will find links to [Blackboxvoting.org](#) and Stephen Spooner's [youtube video](#), showing how it is done.

Also see [this analysis](#) of on-screen TV numbers, for real-time computer vote fraud as it is reported.

Also see this 2020 [whistleblower article](#) at the *NYP*ost.

Also see [Breitbart from Sept. 27 of this year](#), where we are reminded that Trump gave examples of fraud in his press conference: 1) In Brooklyn, 25 percent of mail-in ballots were **ruled** invalid during the June Democrat primary. 2) In a New Jersey special election, **nearly** 20 percent of the ballots were thrown out, and four people are being **prosecuted** for fraud. 3) In a Florida primary, **more** than 35,000 mail-in ballots were rejected, and over 100,000 ballots were **rejected** in California.

Also from Breitbart, [see this article](#), which reminds us that the UK has had huge problems with mail-in ballots since their introduction in 2003, including scandals where elections were overturned and people jailed. The judge who ruled on more than one of those cases confirmed [in a recent article](#) for *The Spectator* that elections can and have been rigged, and the Democrats are lying when they say this is impossible.

I also remind you of the [2012 Ron Paul fiasco](#), which started in the highly publicized primary in Iowa. Paul allegedly got third behind Romney and Santorum using the voting machines, which is strange given that in caucuses a couple of months later he trounced both men, taking 79% of the state, and 22 of 28 delegates. That means Paul won the Republican delegation of Iowa, but that was never reported. Most people don't know it to this day. [He also won](#) the Republican delegations of Minnesota, Maine, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Missouri, Washington, Alaska and Nevada, taking up to 88% of the votes and delegates. They closed him out of the Republican National Convention nonetheless, refusing to seat many of his delegates and literally tossing them from the building. If that isn't election fraud, I don't know what is.

Any real research proves that all the sites now coming up on Google assuring you vote fraud is a myth are simply lying right to your face. If you don't believe me, try walking around them and doing your own research. You will find that difficult to do at Google, which is owned, but try using Ecosia as your search engine. You will get far more real results.

\*I remind you that Blago was indicted for allegedly trying to sell Obama's vacant Senate seat and taken to court by the US Justice Department, but the jury hung on all but one charge (lying to the FBI). "Hung" means the jury did not convict him. That should have been the end of it, since juries are required to vote for conviction by a majority or unanimity. But by the whacky laws of this country, a hung jury does not lead to an acquittal. Although the prosecution has failed to prove its case, a mistrial is ordered and *they get to try again!* Somehow, the second jury disagreed with the first one, but the defense didn't get to ask for the third jury. Proving bias against all defendants. Blago did appeal, and **he won the appeal**. Five of the biggest charges were struck down,

including the central one of selling the Senate seat. So his record is clean on that. Given that, you would have expected his 14-year sentence to be considerably reduced, but somehow at his re-sentencing in district court, it wasn't changed at all. So he was innocent of selling the Senate seat, but was in jail for it anyway. Make sense of that if you can. The way I make sense of it is by believing this is all one more fiction. Since the trials make no legal sense, I don't believe them. I don't believe Blago was ever in jail for a second. Either this was an earlier instance of blackwashing the Democrats on purpose, or Blago got crossways with the Phoenicians and they pulled him as Governor. As more proof of that, Illinois didn't disbar Blago until this year, *after* his pardon. If this had been real, they should have disbarred him after his major conviction and jailing; but they didn't. In support of the assumption he got crossways with the Phoenicians, remember the same thing happened to his predecessor as Governor, George Ryan. Ryan allegedly spent five years in prison for racketeering (steering state contracts). That's a joke because that is what all politicians do. That is why they go into politics in the first place: money. If everyone who did that got prosecuted, there would be no one standing at any level. So we may assume the Phoenicians only finger those who get crossways with them. You do what the big boys say or they sic the Feds on you. Again, I don't believe Ryan spent one second in jail. Amusingly, Ryan's predecessor as Governor, Jim Thompson, defended him and waived all fees, which were said to be in the range of \$20 million. Thompson also just happened to have been the Chair of the Federal Intelligence Oversight Board. Meaning, yes, he was a major spook. Indicating this goes much deeper than we are told. All the real action is hidden.