

[return to updates](#)

PATTON FAKED HIS DEATH



by Miles Mathis

First published August 15, 2021

Is this really not known? Can I be the first one here?

I'm sorry, but either people are just not too smart, or previous theories and admissions are being buried. They admit Patton was in a nearly incestuous relationship in 1945 with his (non-blood?) niece Jean Gordon, thirty years younger; that because of that he didn't want to return to the US and to his wife, who was understandably furious; and that he was unhappy with his current military assignment in Europe, playing mop-up. So how hard is this to figure out?

Add to that fact that Jean Gordon allegedly killed herself 18 days later, on 1/8/46. Aces and eights twice! And you don't think that was also fake, so that she could join Patton? Wake up!

Amazing that some still think Patton was a hero, or that he was silenced because he knew something or was about to squeal. That is the planted story to back up the accidental death story. As I have shown, they always have a second story for those not buying the first. But the truth is always behind door number three, and it is almost always a fake death.

Here is something to put you in the right mood:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uYjnWXFTQkM&t=1s>

Seeing is believing, and there you see the real Patton. You see that George C. Scott got it all wrong in the movie. Instead of the deep gravelly voice, he needed to sound like Elmer Befuddled. At least Joe Biden now has the excuse of being almost 80. Also ask yourself this after watching that: do you honestly think that guy could speak fluent French and wow young girls with his charisma? Not only can he not pronounce the names of European cities, he can't even remember them. Also notice his teeth, which we are told were heavily stained by cigar chewing. But that's not what I see. I see a shine on several of them, indicating they are metal caps. Indicating he lost them and couldn't be bothered to keep them clean. Compare to the front teeth of Jack London. So pretty Jean Gordon was a lucky lady, right?

Do you recognize the narrator of that youtube video? Henry Fonda, top spook. Also notice what he says at minute 3:10, in trying to sell the mainstream story of Patton's death:

He had expected his own death to be spectacular, but in this one prediction he was more mistaken than in the planning of any battle.

What? The writers either forgot how to speak English, or they are giving us a clue. More mistaken than in the planning of his battles? Which means that **he was mistaken in the planning of his battles.**

Do you know who else had high silly voices? [Himmler and Hess](#). Himmler sounds like John Cleese mocking a German accent. Hess is even worse. As Hitler's Black Emma, he sounds like a gay nightclub announcer speaking through a snorkel.

Patton was no hero, my friend. He was a four-star general and ranking Phoenician, so get that idea out of your head from the start. But to ease you in, we will start with Jean Gordon. You should key on that surname, since these are the Dukes of Gordon, actually **Stuarts** (all dukes are really Stuarts). Jean's father [Donald was born in Osaka, Japan, in 1877](#). His mother was a Smart from Essex, MA, that is. . . **Salem**. In the Gordon line he was also a **Lafayette**, linking him to the French general, and a **Ball**, linking him to George Washington. Also a **Gaunt** of Philadelphia, taking us back to John of Gaunt, as usual. Also to the **Forbes**. In the Gordon line we go directly back to Alexander Gordon, Lord of Dunkintie. This links us immediately to the **Sinclairs**, Earls of Caithness; the **Grahams**, Earls of Montrose; and the **Keiths**, Earls of Marischal. Another step back and these Gordons are the Earls of Huntly, married to the **Hamiltons**, Earls of Arran.

Jean's mother was an **Ayer**, and we have also seen them many times, going back to Salem, where they were heavily involved [in all the witch fakery](#). Here's her grandfather, Frederick **Fanning** Ayer:



Doesn't look Russian Jewish at all, does he? He was a textile millionaire, head of the American Woolen Company as well as the Arctic Coal Company. They lived in a mansion in Boston. He links us again to Salem, through the famous **Herricks** of that story. So that's who we are dealing with here, as usual.

Does this link us to the Fanning sisters, now famous actresses? Of course. It also links us to Jennifer Aniston, who was related to the Ayers through the Hoares.

But how does Patton fit into this mess? Well, his wife Beatrice Fanning Ayer was the daughter of Frederick Ayer, above. On Patton's page they purposely misspell her middle name as Banning. Jean Gordon was the daughter of Beatrice's sister Louise. So Jean was actually Beatrice's niece by blood. Which means this was kind of a Woody Allen thing, but worse. Woody's wife Soon-yi was Mia Farrow's adopted daughter, but shared no blood with either Woody or Mia. She was 19 when that started. Jean was grabbed up by Patton when she was 17 and he was 47, and he shipped her over to Europe where she became a donut girl for the 3rd Army Headquarters. Not sure how her parents let that happen. Patton liked to dine while in the presence of all the prettiest donut girls, even when he was visited by superiors like Eisenhower—who had no problem with it.

Amazingly, Patton's current historians still try to deny this happened, though many of the participants and their living descendants have confirmed it, orally and on paper. That includes Patton's own wife Beatrice, who believed George and Jean were a couple. Wikipedia admits it, with footnotes. Patton himself bragged about it all the time, though current historians claim he was just compensating for getting old. But none of his friends read it that way. See General Hughes' diary as just one example. Clearly these historians are being paid by someone to misdirect.

Now let's move to Patton's own bio. Like his wife, he was from the Families. We don't have to link him to dukes and billionaire Jews through her, we can do it through his own lines. He was linked to five-star general Pershing as a boy, and Patton's sister almost married Pershing. They don't tell you the link, but the Pattons and Pershings were cousins. At Geni they scrub Pershing's maternal side, but on his father's side he was an **Altman**. Do you remember who else was an Altman? [Mozart](#). No relation, you say? Don't be so sure, since the Pershings are from the Rhineland-Palatinate and their Altmans are from Rheinland-Pfalz. The name was originally Pfoersching. They were also Weygandts. See Nazi Wilhelm Weygandt.

[Also see French WWII general Maxime Weygand, another German in the French army (like Hunziger). It is claimed that Weygand is from unknown parents, but he may have been the son of Leopold II, King of the Belgians. That would make him a Saxe-Coburg und Gotha, and a close cousin of the Kings of England, Prussia, Russia, and Denmark. Remember, Weygand became Supreme Commander of France after the Battle of France, so he was instrumental in [the manufactured fall of France](#). They don't tell you he was related to Pershing, do they?]

But we don't need Pershing's maternal line to link to Patton, since the easiest link is through Pershing's first wife. She was a Warren, but her father was a Smith and a Root. Patton's middle name is Smith, remember? We will soon see who these Smiths were, but you should already know ([hint: Titanic](#)).

And yes, these were the famous Roots of Massachusetts and Connecticut, related to the Macks, Spencers, Bancrofts, Deweys, etc. Jewish again.

Anyway, Patton's mother is also partly scrubbed, but we know she was a Wilson and a **Saunders**. George's grandfather Benjamin Davis Wilson, the wealthy trader who became the second mayor of Los Angeles, was not only married to George's grandmother. He was previously married to Ramona Yorba, of Spanish-American royalty. Not only was she a Yorba y Grijalva, her mother was an Alvarado y Amador. The Yorbas were also de Leivas, which you may wish to respell de Levi, getting you started here. The Alvarados link us to the Bautistas, [who of course link us to the Castros](#). Are you starting to get the picture?

These Wilson's also take us back to the **Gardiners** of Maryland, doubling that link to the *Titanic* Smiths. The Gardiners and Smiths are related, and they were both involved in the *Titanic* fake.

[Geneastar admits](#) Patton is related to Tim Dowling, which means Patton is a Stuart. We are told they are related through Elizabeth Light and Robert Washington. In the sidebar there we also learn Patton descends from Philippa of Luxembourg and Jean de Hainaut. Wikipedia admits Patton was descended from Edmund of Woodstock and Edward I.


I should also point out that the tie to Robert Washington also ties Patton to the Balls, and therefore to his niece Jean Gordon. So she actually *was* a blood relative. He wasn't just related to her through his wife. How close was the relationship? Hard to tell since so many of Patton's lines are scrubbed. We will come back to that.

Patton's great-grandfather was Lt. Gen. Sir William Thornton, and it looks like he also faked his death at age 60. Curious. Patton, a big believer in reincarnation, believed he was one of his recent ancestors reincarnated, so this Gen. Thornton would be a guess. Patton seemed to be reliving large parts of Thornton's life. Thornton's aunt married the grandson of Lord Chichester, Earl of Donegall. Thornton's sister married a Todd, and Todd got of all Thornton's estates. These Todds also link us to the **Kennedys**, which means these are British cousins of Mary **Todd** Lincoln. Thornton's mother was [Martha Stuart](#), so Patton's 2g-grandmother was a Stuart. There we go.

geni.com/people/Martha-Thornton/6000000007563543381

Geni Home People Projects Genealogy DNA Tests

Martha Alexander Thornton
[Back to Thornton surname](#)



Martha Alexander Thornton (Stuart)

Birthdate: October 10, 1754
Birthplace: King George, Virginia, Colonial America
Death: circa 1812 (53-62)
Montpelier, Rappahannock, Virginia, United States

Immediate Family: Daughter of John Stuart and Frances Stuart
Wife of Col. William Thornton
Mother of Lt.-Gen. Sir William Thornton, KCB;
Dr. George A. Thornton; Martha Stuart Thornton; Stuart Gibbons Thornton; Philip Thornton, M.D. and 5 others
Sister of General General Philip Stuart; Charles Stuart, Col and John Alexander Stuart

Managed by: Private User
Last Updated: May 23, 2018

That didn't take long, did it? There is also a Stewart there in the female line. All these Stuarts are scrubbed, so they break the first link, but since we *know* that Patton is linked to Stuarts through Tim Dowling, that isn't a very good scrubbing, is it? They do admit this Rector David Stuart of Virginia was from Inverness, Scotland, and that his daughter married a FitzHugh, so it is just the worst

scrubbing ever. We can walk around the block, taking the FitzHughs instead, who go back to Bedford, where they are linked to Smiths again. These FitzHughs are soon scrubbed at Geni, but it doesn't matter: we know who they are. They are the Stuart line that goes back to William the Conqueror, Charlemagne, Julius Caesar, and the Phoenicians.

George's great-grandfather in the Patton line was Governor John Mercer Patton of Virginia. His mother was Anne **Gordon** Mercer. I guess you caught that name Gordon. Of course that links Patton to his niece/lover Jean Gordon in a second nearer line. Ann's mother was Isabella Gordon, daughter of John Gordon. Her mother was a **Tennant**, proving these are the peerage Gordons. Geni tries to scrub John Gordon, but admits he was from Scotland. This would indicate Patton and Jean Gordon were at least 4th cousins.

Through the Mercers, Patton is descended in direct line from Brig. Gen. Hugh Mercer of the Continental Army.

By the way, I see that Erica “the Disconnectrix” Howton at Geni.com has now shortened her name to Erica, either pretending to be chastened or hiding from us. Didn't work.

In the Slaughter line, Patton is also a **Saunders**. Meaning, his parents are also cousins. He is a Saunders in both his maternal and paternal lines. These Saunders of Virginia take us to the **Kaufmanns**, proving another of my guesses. Before that they are from Scotland, tying us back to the peerage, to Ben Franklin, and forward to Frances Stonor Saunders. The Slaughter line also takes us to the Colemans and Pendletons, who lead us to the **Douglasses** of Scotland. More dukes related to the Hamiltons and Stuarts.

So, although the Smith lines are scrubbed, we know who they are as well. All this surrounding evidence allows us to say these are the Smiths of Nottingham, the bankers leading back to Abel Smith and forward to the current Queen. The fake captain of the *Titanic* was one of them.

And, as I have pointed out before, this also ties us to the Zodiac fake, since George Smith Patton also sometimes referred to himself as George Graysmith. That fact has been almost completely scrubbed from history, but it is revealing since the propagandist-in-chief of the Zodiac story is Robert Graysmith, allegedly born Robert Gray Smith. I now suspect that Graysmith was in fact a Patton. Patton would have been 85 in 1970, so it is possible he was behind that himself. Or, more likely it is a son he had with Jean Gordon after 1946. Robert Graysmith claims to have been born in 1942, so the dates are a near match. Did Jean Gordon have a son in 1942 (when she was 26), or was the date moved back from 1946? We may never know, but we can theorize.

So let's continue. It is claimed that Patton was born 11/11/1885. **Aces and eights**. Just a coincidence, I'm sure. Like others we have seen, he scored near the bottom in his class at West Point, having to repeat his first year.

Patton's first major event was formative, since it was the fake Pancho Villa Expedition, in which a little border crisis was manufactured to give the US Army something to do before going to Europe. It was a precursor of the current manufactured events between Mexico and the US, though they now include fake drug lords. El Chapo has replaced Pancho Villa. The Pancho Villa fake started in 1916, when sixteen Americans were allegedly executed by Pancho Villa's men. The date: January 11. **1/11**. The whole thing was a wild goose chase, since they never caught Villa and the Mexicans drove the Americans out. Who was the President? Patton's cousin Woodrow Wilson.

It is now easy to see that Pancho Villa was a fake, since he later played himself in Hollywood movies and gave interviews to major spook John Reed. His early life “is shrouded in mystery”, which of course means he was Spanish nobility, probably a Marrano Jew. They now try to imply Villa was a German catspaw, but that is the old reversal. He was clearly our own manufactured enemy, since he somehow always evaded capture. Like our “Arab” enemies in the Middle East, he was just left alone to do his thing. More proof of that is his personal biographer Friedrich Katz, Jewish of course. He was probably Villa's handler as well. By 1923 Villa's project was over and he wished to retire in peace, so—as with everyone else we have studied—they faked his death. He lived happily ever after.

Patton is sold as a tough guy who possibly wounded three of Villa's men, but there is no proof of that. Like Teddy Roosevelt's adventures in Cuba, this is just a warm up for Patton's future biography. Patton is sold as an everyman, but he was upperclass all the way, being an avid polo player, fencer, and yachtsman. He spoke French and wrote poetry. He had an 80-foot schooner named the *When and If* which he personally commissioned from the top builder in the world John Alden in 1939. As you do. It wasn't his first huge yacht, since he had previously wrecked the *Arcturus*, an expensive boat that he had foolishly taken out in a storm. He was a lousy yachtsman on top of everything, since he had to be hospitalized after this event. It is lucky his wife wasn't killed. I come in assuming he wasn't as big a fake as [Jack London](#) or [Teddy Roosevelt](#), but we will have to see. At least the Jean Gordon thing indicates he wasn't gay.

During WWI he was only promoted from 1st Lieutenant to Captain. His claim to fame there was killing one of his own men by hitting him over the head with a shovel. He also got shot in the ass and had to be saved by his orderly, private Joe Angelo. But somehow they sold him as a war hero even before WWII. Not sure why.

Most of his promotions were between the wars, and therefore not for battle. He was promoted to Major in 1919 and Lt. Colonel in 1934. He had done nothing to merit that except put down the Bonus Army in 1932 with tear gas and bayonets, personally leading the 3rd cavalry down Pennsylvania Avenue. He later bragged about it. What a hero.

He was transferred to Hawaii in 1934. Tough assignment, I'm sure. The waves are hell for yachting. Wiki says he was still in Hawaii when he began his “brief” affair with Jean Gordon in 1937. Except that it wasn't brief and it didn't begin in 1937. It began when she was 17, which was in 1932.

Patton's worst injury was on the polo field, where he got kicked by a horse, probably for being a jerk. Animals are smart that way. It broke his leg and he developed phlebitis, which almost killed him. He had to recuperate for six months, at the end which they promoted him to Colonel—just for being alive, I guess.

Before the Second War started, Patton was promoted several more times for nothing. Between 1939 and early 1941 he was promoted from Colonel to Brigadier General to Major General, for driving tanks around in Florida and Georgia. For this he made the cover of LIFE.

So, we are now up to the summer of 1942, and Patton has done pretty much nothing worth reporting. He is now 56 and his mainstream bio is a wash. He has done nothing that any normal person would be impressed by, and has done several things he should have been arrested for, such as kill his own men. He was famous mainly for war exercises where lots of gas was burned for nothing, lots of pristine land was turned to mud and dust, and thousands of animals were killed or scattered. Instead of Old Blood

and Guts, he should have been called Old Mud and Dust.

And it doesn't get any better. He was first assigned to French North Africa, where he led 33,000 troops in on 100 boats. This was Casablanca, and the date was. . . November 8, 1942. 11/8/1942. Aces and eights. I guess you caught that? Indicating this was all another fake. He was fighting the Vichy French, and Casablanca fell on November 11. 11/11. For this, the Sultan of Morocco awarded Patton the Golden Star of the Order of Ouissam Alaouite.



At least it isn't a Maltese Cross or Star of David. Though the star does contain a cross in the center red part. This order was established January 11, 1913. We can be sure it has some Phoenician significance, since the Phoenicians have held the area of Casablanca since Roman times and before. Best guess is these are his darker cousins giving him an academy award for best acting in a part in North Africa. [I have previously shown](#) the Vichy French were a propped-up entity, so there was no reason for anyone to be fighting them. Like the Naval Battle of Mers-el-Kebir, it was all staged.

Wiki now skips ahead another five months, to Spring 1943, when Patton is promoted to Lieutenant General. For what? The battle in Casablanca was three days. What was Old Blood and Guts doing the other five months to justify that promotion? I guess that medal came with an automatic Phoenician Navy promotion.

Omar Bradley is now Patton's deputy commander, and

With orders to take the battered and demoralized formation into action in 10 days' time, Patton immediately introduced sweeping changes, ordering all soldiers to wear clean, pressed and complete uniforms, establishing rigorous schedules, and requiring strict adherence to military protocol.

Those are sweeping changes? Sounds like regular military to me. Do you think these guys were

wearing dirty, wrinkled and partial uniforms? Do you think they were sleeping in until noon? This is just the usual runaround in the bios, where nothing is made to look like a great big something. It is like a new football coach coming in and being sold as a hero for telling his players they need to keep their eyes on the ball, play hard, and keep their helmets on. The quote from Patton at this point is:

I expect to see such casualties among officers, particularly staff officers, as will convince me that a serious effort has been made to capture this objective.

Wow, thrilling. I have goose bumps. He might as well have said “break a leg”. Fortunately Patton was only up against the Italians in Tunisia, and they retreated, leaving Gafsa to the Allies. The Germans then arrived in their Pansers, but Allied artillery destroyed them. But the important thing is that the soldiers' uniforms were clean and pressed.

Within two weeks the Germans had also abandoned Gabes, so Patton gave command to Bradley and returned to Casablanca to plan the invasion of Sicily. Or because the food and girls were better there. Whatever. These events were a lot more photogenic in the 1970 film, where we get to see Patton firing his ivory-handled pistol at fighter planes overhead and cussing. That's what the war was all about, right? Right.

Except that. . . most tough guys I know think pearl-handled revolvers and pinkie rings are for pussies. Patton wore a pinkie ring on *both* pinkies. I will be told Patton's handles were ivory, not pearl, but only a noble would know the difference.

In that film, in the second scene [min 11:38], Bradley drives up to an Allied defeat in Tunisia. His chief-of-staff reads that there were. . . 1,800 men. Aces and eights. Chai. So the first numbers in the film give us the same numerology signals the books and encyclopedia entries do. And we know it is a signal since the number isn't even correct. According to the books, over 2,000 American GI's died in that battle.

At minute 29:00 in the film, there is a strange scene where Patton remembers a battle 2000 years earlier. What is this about? It is another signal, since he mentions Carthage. Carthage was run by the Phoenicians. Patton then supposedly quotes from one of his own poems, calling himself “the poet”. The Poet normally refers to Shakespeare, not Patton. But this is another signal planted in the film, I guess by writer Francis Ford Coppola. Patton refers to “a glass darkly”, which comes from Paul's letter to the Corinthians. Corinth was also a Phoenician outpost, being a Mycenaean trading center founded by Sisyphus, son of Aeolus, descended from Prometheus.

You may wish to look up the first stanza of that poem, which Patton skips in the film. Or no, I will print it for you here:

**Perhaps I stabbed our Savior
In His sacred helpless side.
Yet I've called His name in blessing
When in after times I died.**

That's sort of revealing as well, isn't it? You may wish to chew on that a while.

Here's a question no one ever asks: What the fuck were all these soldiers doing in North Africa to start with? There was nothing down there but sand—nothing worth fighting over. Far more remote than

even Sicily. Why would any European or American fight or die to liberate Casablanca or Tunis? Doesn't North Africa look like a staging area to anyone? Watch those scenes in *Patton* and it may dawn on you why all these major battle were fought down there, in the empty desert. One, there were no first world witnesses to anything except military. Camels tell no tales. Two, no European cities got destroyed. Three, your attention could be drawn off, and you might forget to ask about Paris for a year or more. Paris, which was supposedly occupied all along, and no one cared. When the US entered the European war and sent Patton and Bradley and the rest over, did they send them to liberate France, which had supported us in our own wars? No, they sent Patton first to Morocco. What? Why? What did Morocco ever do for anyone, except maybe Bogart? You have a World War, with Germany as the center of it, and you stage large parts in North Africa? Why not stage them in Greenland? Let's liberate Greenland! *Greenland uber alles!*

This is what I mean when I say people aren't too smart. They never ask the questions that beg to be asked. That question has been sitting right out in the open for almost 80 years, and no one has ever asked it. That's why the Phoenixians love to fool us: we are so easy to fool. It is like playing chess with a five year old.

At minute 1:04:00 in the film, there is another strange scene, where Patton's arrival in Sicily is scripted by Signal Corps. The Germans are watching the film, which they must have stolen. Anyway, the invasion is being filmed like a movie, with a scene board and everything. Patton and his team do multiple takes. German General Jodl asks which is the greater primadonna, Montgomery or Patton. This would seem to confirm my theory that this was all war theater. The writer is tipping his hand to us here, knowing most people won't see the clue.

Next in the film Patton captures Palermo. He gives a little speech while riding in the Jeep. He says Palermo is the most captured city in history, "first the **Phoenixians**, Romans, Carthaginians. . ." I ask you to [pause the film and notice the time stamp](#). He says "Phoenixians" exactly at minute **1:11:18**. No, really.

In the next scene he kisses the hand and ring of the Cardinal of Palermo, kneeling and bowing to him. Was Patton a Catholic? No, he is listed as Episcopalian, so this scene is also strange. It may explain why he has that big medal from the Pope. That would be Pope Pius XII, a Grazioso and a Pacelli. His father was a Marchese, which is like a Marquis or Marquess, in other words a noble ranking just below a duke. His grandmother was a Scavalli. He was also a **Mancini** and [a . . . Sforza](#). That's right, the Phoenixians again. Geni.com scrubs most of the women in his lines, but doesn't scrub the Sforza, for some reason. Just wanted to make this easy for me, I guess. The Sforza were dukes of Milan from way back, later marrying the Borgias and the Medicis.

We are supposed to believe Patton was relieved of command in Sicily for slapping a soldier or beating Montgomery to Messina. But in reality he was relieved by Eisenhower for insubordination against Montgomery, Alexander and Coningham. He also got crossways with Theodore Roosevelt, Jr., who detested him. His other subordinate generals also didn't like him, including Bradley and Truscott. Truman despised him, ranking Patton and MacArthur with Custer. There must be some reason for that, right, I mean other than jealousy. Maybe he really was a big scene hog, always noisily demanding screen time and promotion. He was what we would call a diva. I don't doubt he slapped soldiers, since that seems to fit his persona of a big bully, but his insubordination was much more important. Those slapping incidents were probably publicized on purpose to justify his relief of command and demotion.

On second thought, the slapping incidents were probably made up, like so much of the rest of this. It is

too tidy they are said to have occurred right after Patton beat Montgomery to Messina. It is too tidy that the press keyed on them for months. They are clearly cover for something much bigger. We find immediate confirmation of that, in the names and dates. The two privates were named Kuhl and Bennett. The Bennetts we have seen many times, top peers related to the Queen. As for Kuhl, they were German aristocrats, see Lt. General von Kuhl of WWI. I had joked to a friend that Patton must have slapped a Kohen to get such a reaction, and that is almost what we find. The dates are giveaways as well, the second being August 10, aces and eights. So there is no way this story is true. Kuhls and Bennetts would not be privates. They were born officers. They were planted in this stageplay, which means Patton was being busted down for other reasons.

Honestly, the way it looks to me is that Patton was a big upperclass baby, spoiled as a child and used to getting his way. He was used to outranking everyone. But once he got to Europe for the war set, he had to mix with other rich peers, a few of whom outranked him in the P-Navy. As we see, he couldn't deal with that. Like Madonna or Russell Crowe arriving in Hollywood, he demanded all the biggest parts and the most camera time. If other actors got more lines, he threw a fit. So they eventually had to bounce him out of the production, sending him to London to cool his jets and buy a dose of humility. Which he never managed.

My guess is that after Jean Gordon lost her looks, Patton crawled back to Hollywood or Madison Avenue with his tail between his legs sometime in the 1950s, begging to be given a second chance. After doing what he was told for a decade or so, he earned back the right to promotion, and got to see them make a movie about him in 1970, reshining his tarnished star and reconstituting his legacy. That would explain why the film came out when it did. He was 85 and on his way out: the perfect time for a self-homage. It also explains the first scene of the movie, where we get close-ups of all his bling, including the pinkie rings. I always thought that was kind of weird, but now it makes perfect sense. He himself was sitting in the front row.

But returning to 1942, I remind you of two things regardless. One, Sicily was not exactly the center of the war for Europe, you know. Syracuse was hardly an important chess piece. Do you think Syracuse or Messina was more important than Paris, which the Allies let fall in a couple of weeks at the start of the war and never liberated until almost the end? So Patton's antics there were beside the point. No one should have cared. Two, the whole story about the German high command esteeming Patton so much their attention was diverted to England by his Ghost Army is itself a diversion. It is to cover the fact that Patton wasn't sent to England as any eye's-on tactic to draw Germany's attention there. Even the 1970 film admits it was just a demotion for Patton, to get him away from the action. The other players were sick of looking at him. Being sent to London was like being sent back to Chicago or Toronto. Except that it allowed Patton to retain a little dignity. They owed him that as a Stuart scion.

At minute 1:46:00, Malta suddenly makes a prominent appearance in the film, which is also strange. You would not expect Malta to appear in a film about Patton's greatest hits, but we find him giving an extended lecture on it to his staff, as they take a tour. Even the German general Jodl can't figure it out, asking what in hell Patton is doing in Malta. I can tell you. It is another clue left by Francis Ford Coppola. It goes with the other clues like Carthage, the Phoenicians, the medals, and the aces and eights.

When Patton is sent to London, he is housed in a flat with pink doors and mirrors on the ceiling. What are we being told there? We are supposed to believe that Ike did it to punish him, but if you want to punish him you there are a million ways to do that other than pink doors. Is Coppola signaling us again? Very strange. But not as strange as removing one of your top generals in the middle of the war

for a minor incident. Patton was out for an entire year, from August 1943 to August 1944. The idea that was something to do with slapping two fake privates is absurd. This was one of the weirdest stories in a war stiff with them. Nothing makes sense. If Patton really was our best fighting general, this would never have happened. Not in a real war.

In the next scene, Coppola has Patton making an appearance at the ladies club The Doughnut Dugout, obviously a reference to donut girl Jean Gordon—who otherwise makes no appearance in the film.

Patton didn't return to combat command until August 1944, so we are up to that time and he has still not done anything extraordinary. Any normal person reading his long bio at Wikipedia should be asking when he starts looking like a hero, or even like a decent soldier. I am a normal person, and that is what I am asking.

Patton returned to action when exactly? **August 1**, 1944. Oh, what do you know, aces and eights again. Just another coincidence. Look away. He was now subordinate to Bradley, who was brevet three-star, so Patton must have lost a couple of stars. They don't clarify that. As part of the 3rd Army, Bradley and Patton were the most highly protected force at the time, with Intelligence and tactical air support assigned to them as top priority. Although the 3rd Army is described as a spearhead, moving very fast, it advanced only 60 miles in two weeks. [Compare that to the advance of the Germans](#) into Belgium in 1940, where they allegedly crossed the country in a matter of two or three days, while driving large tanks.

In another strange scene from the film, Patton's big return is illustrated with him directing army traffic through a mudpile, while Bradley watches in amazement at his abilities. Really? Coppola couldn't think of any better way to illustrate this? They were either way over budget or someone ran out of imagination. I realize this was 1970, when filmmaking hadn't really jelled yet into the state of perfection we see in such films as *Avengers: Endgame* or *Meet the Fockers*, but come on!

Also of interest in this scene: we see chemtrails in the sky in 1970, something that shouldn't be happening. Chemtrails are supposed to be a recent phenomenon.

Patton's units arrived at Metz and then stopped cold, just sitting there through September. Patton was later criticized for this, though he tried to pass it off as orders from Eisenhower. German command admitted that if Patton had bypassed Metz and moved on to Luxembourg, he could have cut off their 7th Army. Even after Metz fell, Patton continued to sit there until December 15, though we still aren't sure why. Maybe Metz had some awesome donut girls.

Whether it was due to a decision by Patton or Eisenhower, it looks very strange. It looks like a scripted delay, to set up a big Christmas-time event that the folks back home could follow over the Holidays while eating ham and pie. Finally, on December 22, solstice, Eisenhower allegedly gave the go-ahead to Patton. Here is how they put it at Wikipedia:

Within a few days, more than 133,000 Third Army vehicles were rerouted into an offensive that covered an average distance of over 11 miles (18 km) per vehicle, followed by support echelons carrying 62,000 tonnes (61,000 long tons; 68,000 short tons) of supplies.

Are your antennae quivering? They should be. That sentence could hardly be more overloaded with in-your-face Phoenician numerology. What does that tell us? It tells us that this is more fake history. It tells us the Germans had probably already gone home by that time, and we were just stalling the end

of the war to spend more money.

Remember, this was Christmas, and most of these people were allegedly Christians (except the Jewish officers). You would expect them to pause hostilities for a couple of days. But they allegedly didn't, with the Allies engaging heavily on the 23rd and some of the worst fighting supposedly happening over Christmas. Another reason to think the whole Battle of the Bulge was made up on paper.

We see confirmation of that even in the film. See minute 2:12:00, where Patton says “the Siegfried line is an empty shell. They stripped all the equipment and sent it to the Eastern Front. It's overgrown and crawling with cows. I could punch through it in two days” and be in Berlin. Nonetheless, Bradley cuts off all of Patton's fuel and supplies, parking him there for months. Why? Supposedly because Hitler was attacking London. But that's reason to move more quickly into Germany, not to stop. So why wait for Germany to recompose, and set up even forces for the Battle of the Bulge? I am telling you why: it was all scripted. Which means most of it probably didn't even happen.

More confirmation comes at minute 2:21:45, when Patton admits that “there is absolutely no reason for us to assume the Germans are mounting a major offense. The weather is awful, the supplies are low, and the German Army hasn't mounted a winter attack since Frederick the Great.” There it is, Patton and Coppola are admitting it right to your face. Except that Patton then adds, “therefore that is exactly what I think they are going to do”. Selling you an impossible storyline in the face of all facts. That is the Phoenician speciality. You will believe it: you always have. If someone like me comes along and points out the absurdity of it all, you will attack him for taking away your cherished stories.

At minute 2:29:40 we are told Patton took his men into Bastogne with no sleep and no hot food. Proving my point once again. This isn't history, it is the usual fairy tale.

We get another weird scene and tell at minute 2:31:40, when Patton is giving another of his screaming pep-talks. We are supposed to believe the 3rd Army was so great only because Patton was constantly cussing at them. But after he pipes down, his man says “You know general, sometimes they can't tell you're acting and when you're not”. What? I take this to mean he is never NOT acting. And I mean Patton, not George C. Scott.

Just to show once again how utterly absurd this all is, Patton then requests his chaplain write a prayer for good weather, which of course God provides. Just because Patton is such a holy man. God mainly loves America, our army, and our winning footballs teams, you know. To be honest, this is one of the most sickening scenes Hollywood ever came up with, since they sell it with a straight face. And that is saying a lot.

We are also reminded that the old propaganda spinner Kurt Vonnegut, Jewish, resold the Battle of the Bulge and the Huertgen Forest in his most famous tale *Slaughterhouse Five*. If you will remember, he also sold the firebombing of Dresden, [which we have previously shown](#) was at least partly manufactured. [His cousin David Irving](#), long known to be a terrible liar, has also sold all these events, while giving them a slightly different spin. So there is no reason to accept any of this as real history.

Also see minute 2:35:40, where the newsreel is reporting that the 3rd Army have “driven into the besieged city of Bastogne, to relieve its 18,000 defenders on the day after Christmas. Aces and eights, Chai, all the live long day.

More reason to think this is easy to find. For one thing, it took place in the Ardennes, also the setting

for the fake Battle of France. [We have already unwound all the tomfoolery with that.](#) But there's so much more. Let's look at the generals involved. We have already seen how thin Patton's resume really is, but that is nothing compared to Anthony McAuliffe, who graduated from the US War College in 1940 as a captain. By 1941 he was allegedly a Lt. Colonel, though he was only working with the Supply Division. His Wiki bio then skips ahead to 1944, where he is a Brig. General at Normandy. Wait, he went from Captain to General in less than 4 years, with no battle experience? He supposedly parachuted into Normandy. I'll tell you a secret: generals don't parachute into battlefields. This is all to get him to the Battle of the Bulge, the only thing on his resume up to that point, according to Wiki. Right after battle he was promoted to Major General. Wow. Not believable.

Who was this guy? Hard to say, since Wiki gives no parents and Geni scrubs them as well. But we do know his wife was a Whitman and a [Phillips](#), linking us to Walt Whitman and the Phillips, knights of Wales. They link us to the Queen. He may be related to the McAuliffes of the peerage, who are related to the Huntington-Whiteley baronets and the Baldwin earls. Regardless, it is very strange to be given no parents and almost no bio for a general in the most famous battle of WWII.

Let's also do Lieutenant General Lewis Hyde [Brereton](#), USAF. He jumped out at me, since his name is a big British peerage name. You would expect him to be in the Royal Air Force. There are about 600 in the British peerage, and they were Barons in the 1600s, being closely related to the Willoughby barons, the Cecil earls, the Manners earls, the Nevilles, the [Saunders](#), the Somerset earls, the Dudleys, the Ward barons, the Seymour earls, the Grey dukes (Suffolk), and—most important to us here—the Noels, Earls of Gainsborough. The Noels are important because they are the same family as the [Gordons](#), linking us to all the action above. Ditto for the Saunders. Patton was a Saunders on both sides. This means that Brereton and Patton were also cousins. To hide this, his ancestry is hidden at Wikipedia, Geni, and all other sites. His mother is scrubbed and the Breretons in the US are soon scrubbed as well.

[Since Patton was a Smith, we may assume he was also related to fellow 4-star general Walter Bedell Smith, who later became CIA director. Smith's grandmother, 20 years older than his grandfather, had been married to Isaac [Mohler](#). Smith's mother was a [Bedell](#), but she is scrubbed at Geni. His father was in [silks](#). A Bedell founded *Physical Review Letters* in 1893. The Bedells are related to the [Billings](#), see Lem Billings, [JFK's "best friend"](#). A wealthy Bedell girl is famous for recommending to Abe Lincoln that he grow a beard, which he immediately did. Also see Jewish record producer Lewis Bedell, originally [Bedinsky](#). That could be why Smith's mother is scrubbed. Smith was also a Baldwin and a [Baer](#). The Baers take us through the Walthers to Swabia, Bavaria. Smith's wife was a Cline ([Klein](#)). The Bedells are in the peerage, related to the Comptons, Earls of Northampton. Also related to the Beaumonts, Armstrongs, and [Villiers, Dukes of Buckingham](#). Also to the Baronets Leventhorpe. The Lev there is short for Levi, remember. They are also related to the Maitlands, Earls of Lauderdale, which of course links us to the [Stewarts](#). So that is one of our links to Patton.]

Like the others we are looking at, Brereton's resume was very slender up to 1940, when he was promoted to Brig. General on [October 1](#) for pretty much nothing. According to his posted bio, he did almost nothing in WWI and nothing between the wars (except have a nervous breakdown). Nine months later he was promoted to Maj. General, again for no apparent reason. His first assignment in WWII was in the Philippines, where his Far East Air Force was destroyed by the Japanese on the tarmac the first day of fighting. Not a propitious start, to say the least. I guess they had to put off his next promotion and medal for a month. Brereton crawled back to Australia with his tail between his legs. For this he really did receive a Distinguished Service Medal in February 1943.

On the German side at the Battle of the Bulge we find [Erich Brandenberger](#), also with a very truncated bio and no parents. His last name tells us he was probably a Brandenburg, meaning [a Prince of Prussia](#). The Kaiser was a Brandenburg. Again, this is a very strange bio for a 4-star general in the most famous battle of the 20th century. You will say Wiki is just falling short here, but he has no bio anywhere, not even on German sites. He surrendered in 1945 but was released in 1948. Also curious is that they got his rank wrong at Wikipedia, in famous books, in Allied Intelligence documents, and even in the film of his surrender, which you can see [here](#). In all those places he is listed as two-star or three-star, but he was four-star. [He joined the army](#) January 8, 1911. Or, [1/8/11](#). Aces and eights.

Gustav-Adolf von Zangen was another 4-star general leading an army at the Battle of the Bulge, but he also has no parents and almost no bio. He had been a General of the Infantry since January 1943, so he would have been a 5-star Field Marshal after the war, had they won. Also curious is that German General Dostler was tried and executed, with von Zangen on-hand as a witness. It came out in testimony that Dostler was following orders from von Zangen, but von Zangen was not only not executed, he was released soon afterwards. I couldn't find that either von Zangen or Brandenburger were tried at Nuremberg, despite being 4-star generals.

However, we can definitely connect von Zangen to Wilhelm Zangen, top Nazi industrialist who ran the huge textile company Mannesmann. He was allegedly captured and tried after the war for using slave labor, but served only four months (if any). He was soon back at Mannesmann. But the reason I mention it is because it indicates General von Zangen was also Jewish. Despite being Jewish and using slave labor, Wilhelm Zangen was found to be "less polluted" by the Dusseldorf de-Nazification Committee, telling you what a fraud that was. In 1965 Zangen was awarded the Federal Cross of Merit with Star by the German government, though [they have since scrubbed him](#) from that list. I guess they didn't know who he was in 1965. Yeah.

Another 4-star German general at the Battle of the Bulge was Baron Hasso Eccard von Manteuffel. Wiki again misrepresents him as 2-star. He surrendered in 1945 and was handed over the Americans, who punished him by asking him to produce a monograph on the Battle of the Bulge. He was in the German Parliament by 1946, as a member of the Free Democratic Party. He later lectured at West Point. You have to laugh. His daughter married Count Wielopolski, whose mother was the Princess Montenuovo, and her grandmother was Marie Louise of Austria, daughter of Franz II Joseph Karl, Holy Roman Emperor, aka Franz II Kaiser. The Princess of Montenuovo's grandfather was Napoleon Bonaparte. So Manteuffel was that close to all those people. They don't normally tell you that, do they? You might ask yourself why none of that pertinent information is on his Wiki page. Manteuffel's recent ancestor Baron Edwin von Manteuffel had also been a Field Marshal in the Franco-Prussian Wars. Edwin's cousin Otto was the famous Prussian Prime Minister who drafted the Constitution of 1848. You will remember that date as a turning point for Republicanism, as the people made advances all over the world but were stymied by tyrants like Manteuffel and agents like Marx. The Manteuffels were Phoenician nobles from Tallinn, Estonia.

There were a lot of top generals at the Battle of the Bulge on the German side, and they also had a Field Marshal there outranking their 4-star generals. That would be Walter Model, who, unlike these others, has an endless page at Wikipedia. We are told he shot himself in the head in the forest in 1945 and his men buried him on the spot. Really? A Field Marshal kills himself just a few miles from Duisberg and his troops don't carry him back to town, to be given to his family? Impossible. Who believes stuff like this? I don't. His son was allegedly able to find his skeleton in the middle of that forest 10 years later. What are the odds?

Model allegedly burned all his personal papers, explaining why we know so little about his early years. That's convenient. We are told he was a poor student who didn't go to university, entering the military right out of highschool, but then we are told he wrote a book in his 20s on the Prussian Field Marshal von Gneisenau. Do you know how unlikely that is? He was a captain at the end of WWI and stayed in the army, and by 1938 he was a Maj. General. He was promoted to Lt. General after the Battle of France. It is not until the summer of 1941 that his bio comes alive. Before that it is all airy and unconvincing. After that, it is longwinded and unconvincing. After capturing Kiev in 1941, Model was promoted to 4-star General, another meteoric rise. He spent all his time on the Eastern front, which is why many in the West haven't heard of him. Finally in September 1944 he was moved to the Western front to shore up the collapsing front after D-Day. He backed up to the Hurtgen Forest, where we find this on his Wiki page:

The Hürtgen Forest cost the U.S. First Army at least 33,000 killed and incapacitated, including both combat and non-combat losses: German casualties were at least 28,000.

Someone needs to run some statistical analysis on the frequency that number 33 comes up at opportune times in these stories. It is so far beyond any possibility of chance it isn't even worth arguing about.

Model's operation at the Battle of the Bulge “was abandoned on 8 January.” 1/8. Here's a question for you: why wasn't any battle ever started or ended on the 7th or the 9th?

Here's another question: why is nothing known of this Field Marshal Model? The destruction of his personal papers doesn't begin to explain it. There should have been piles of other paperwork, including birth records, marriage records, school records, genealogies, family papers, etc. Famous people don't just come from nowhere. They aren't ghosts. It could be because the Models in the British peerage are related to the Kissins, Jewish Polish Barons and millionaire grain merchants. See Harry Kissin who married Debora Samuel in London in 1935. Harry's mother was Reusi Model. Don't believe these people were Jewish?



Don't believe they like 1's and 8's, Chai? What day did she die?

Also see Alice Model, leader of the Union of Jewish Women.



Also see Abram Yakovlevich Model, Jewish; and William Rockefeller's great-grandson Robert Model, whose father is the Belgian Jean Model, Jewish. Also see photographer Lisette Model, nee Stern, admitted to be Jewish. Also musician Ben Model, Jewish. Also the character in *Diner* called Model, played by Paul Reiser, Jewish. The movie is autobiographical, written by Barry Levinson, Jewish.

So we appear to have found yet another Jewish Nazi Field Marshal. Jewish author Bryan Rigg admitted to two, but I don't think Model was one of them. Milch was one of them. I think Keitel was another one. I have shown von Witzleben was probably another, which would make four already.

We begin to see how Rigg's book admitting a number of ranking Jewish officers was misdirection. It now appears that ALL top officers were Jewish. And they may have all been actors as well.

But let's finish up Patton and get the hell out of here. The stench is becoming overwhelming. Patton's decorations are pictured online:



I am still not sure what he did to deserve all that bling. It took three days to capture Casablanca, and then he drove across Sicily slapping officers, and then he rotted in London for a year, possibly getting busted down to Brig. General. The Battle of the Bulge looks like it was manufactured, which means everything during and after that was mist. So what were all those medals for? Why are three of them Maltese crosses, that look so much like Iron crosses? Why are three of them pyramids? Why is a ramping lion, symbol of royalty, on one of them? If he was subordinate to Bradley in 1944, how did he get back up to four stars by the end of the war, which was only a few months away? Even worse:



What's going on there? He has a lot of really big medals that don't appear on his official US list. There appear to be more on the other side. I am guessing those are another truckload of Phoenician medals, of the sort he was given by the Sultan at Casablanca. The only thing he lacks is a best actor Oscar, but I guess George C. Scott, his cousin, picked up that for him.

When was George Campbell Scott born? Go ahead, you know it. October 18, aces and eights. He was also a Dewey, a Slemph, a Walker, a Douglas, a Stanley and a Campbell, proving that he wasn't just of Scotts, he was of THE Scotts, Scottish dukes. He was also Jewish through the Slemphs and other lines. Scott's mother is scrubbed at Geni to keep us from learning more. Through his paternal grandmother he is a Cox, directly descended from Baronet Sir Richard Cox, Lord Chancellor of Ireland. Scott's sister Helen married a Hamilton. Through the Walkers, Scott is descended directly from Baronet Sir William Button, MP, linking Scott to Brad Pitt. They are also cousins. Remember how Brad Pitt played Benjamin Button? Not a coincidence.

Scott came out of the Marines, where he was assigned to Eighth and I barracks in DC. And just in case you might think that Eighth and I isn't meant to stand for aces and eights:



That is the Marine barracks logo there.

So I wasn't kidding when I said Scott was a cousin of Patton. That is the way they do it, and we have seen it over and over. They choose a relative to play the part in the movie. It is usually a distant descendant, as with Mel Gibson and William Wallace, but in this case only about 30 years had passed, so they chose a near cousin. It is probably through Scott's scrubbed Campbell line that they are most nearly related.

Speaking of bling, in the opening scene of *Patton*, George C. Scott as Patton is wearing four rings, two on his left and two on his right. On his left hand we see his wedding ring and his West Point ring. What are the two on his right hand? One is a pinkie ring, gold braided. The other is a pink round stone. Not what we would expect on the hands of a general, is it? Four rings? The camera very conspicuously pauses on those rings, starting with his right hand in salute. They want us to see them. It seems like some sort of Phoenician signal, like the Cross of Lorraine ring Tom Selleck is wearing in *Magnum PI*, which is featured in the opening credits.

Which takes us back to the medals. I finally found a full list [here](#). It includes the big ones, and gives us some more major red flags. Three of the big ones are Most Honourable **Order of the Bath**, Order of the British Empire, and the Enteur Pin of **Malta**. This means Patton was a British Knight in two different categories. I found nothing on that pin of Malta, but it may be a decoration of the Knights of Malta, which would link him to the Knights Hospitaller and Saint John of Jerusalem. It is definitely a Phoenician thing. Patton also has 14 French medals, most of them liberation medals, but also the Croix de Guerre with Palm. That is what the Maltese Crosses are. Two are Legion of Honor medals. Three of the medals are Belgian, including another Croix de Guerre and a Grand Officer of the Order of Leopold with Palm. So Patton was also a Belgian and a French knight as well as a British knight. Patton also is wearing a Pope Pius XII medallion. Also four Swedish war medals. Also two Russian medals, including an Order of Koutouzov, 1st grade. These are normally awarded to senior Red Army officers, so I am not sure why Patton would be wearing one. Patton is also wearing two Czech decorations, including the Order of the White Lion and the military cross. Also two medals from Luxembourg, including the Croix de Guerre and the Order of Adolphe of Nassau, Grand Croix. So Patton was also a knight of that country. [Patton has more decorations than Eisenhower](#) from England, France, Belgium, the Vatican, and Sweden, and if we limit US decorations to 1945 or earlier, he has more than Eisenhower. This is pretty strange.

Did Eisenhower ever get a big-budget Hollywood film? What about Montgomery? Bradley? Rommel got a lesser one back in 1951, starring James Mason, but [I already told you](#) what that was about. The only ones who get Hollywood promotion are the jerks like MacArthur and Patton. You should ask

yourself why that is. Of course all these people are Jewish. All famous people are. But promotion is not a matter of ability, as we have seen over and over. It is a matter of rank. Not military rank, but rank in the Phoenician Navy. **How big a Stuart are you?** MacArthur must have been a ranking Stuart as well.

If they wanted to, Wiki could list Patton as General *Sir* George Smith Patton, OBE, KCB, etc.

We are told Patton was promoted to full general, 4 stars, in April 1945, at the recommendation of Stimson, for his battle accomplishments in late 1944. However, since he entered those battles as an inferior to Bradley, who was a brevet 3-star general, we can't make sense of that. After Patton's demotion in 1943, he couldn't have been a Lt. General, so he must have skipped a step or two in 1945. If he had still been a Lt. General, he would have outranked Bradley as a Brevet Lt. General, and we are told that wasn't the case. He was expressly inferior to Bradley at that time, and that is confirmed in many places. There is no way Patton could have been relieved of command for a full year without a demotion in rank.

Here's one last dunking in Phoenician numerology, to top this off:

Between becoming operational in Normandy on August 1, 1944, and the end of hostilities on May 9, 1945, the Third Army was in continuous combat for 281 days. In that time, it crossed 24 major rivers and captured 81,500 square miles (211,000 km²) of territory, including more than 12,000 cities and towns. The Third Army claimed to have killed, wounded, or captured 1,811,388 German soldiers, six times its strength in personnel.

Besides being filled with aces and eights, those numbers are absurdly inflated. The previous paragraph at Wiki contradicts it, saying

In its advance from the Rhine to the Elbe, Patton's Third Army, which numbered between 250,000 and 300,000 men at any given time, captured 32,763 square miles (84,860 km²) of German territory. Its losses were 2,102 killed, 7,954 wounded, and 1,591 missing. German losses in the fighting against the Third Army totaled 20,100 killed, 47,700 wounded, and 653,140 captured.

In the second, the total German number is 720,940. In the first it is 1,811,388, 2.5 times higher. Even if we use the lower number, we are supposed to believe the Third Army outperformed the Germans by almost 7 times (ignoring captured). And what did the Third Army do with 1.8 million captured Germans, keep them in a bag? Put them in a concentration camp? Ship them to Guam? Hire them as guards at Nuremberg? Have them lecture at West Point?

In September 1945 Patton was fired by Eisenhower as Governor of Bavaria, for leaving Nazis at their posts and saying more stupid things. He was reassigned to a much smaller post, but soon quit that as well. He was scheduled to leave Europe on December 10. On December 9 he had his car accident. Is it really that hard to figure out? The witnesses in the car with him were his own chief-of-staff and other subordinates, who could be ordered to play along. None of them were injured and it is admitted the car was travelling at low speed. It was supposedly a freak accident. He was allegedly buried in Luxembourg, which makes no sense. He should have been flown back to the States for a huge military funeral and a burial with his family.

I will tack on some brief commentary about current events. First of all, ignore all the theater about Afghanistan. That is mainly an eyes-off event, to keep that in the news rather than mask, vaccine and CRT pushback. They can't report on the revolution going on here, so they have to report on fake revolution halfway around the world. The other thing going on there is that Afghanistan was a major money pit the military contractors don't want to lose. So they had to undercut the pullout, making it look like a big embarrassing defeat. They will manufacture a lot of atrocities by the Taliban, baby killings and pretty blonde women soldiers thrown out of airplanes or something. So we will have to go back in to save face and recreate peace. They want the American public to demand we re-escalate over there, in the name of human rights or something. The American public won't do that, but as usual it won't matter because the mainstream media will do it for us, claiming we said it.

[Another story in the news](#) is that some whacked out university professor started the Dixie fire in California, the largest in history. That is fake, of course. They already admitted PG&E started it themselves. I saw the official report online, though it may have been taken down. But once Dixie became the largest in history, that became too embarrassing, so I guess they called in their cousins in Intel to make up this cocknbul story about the university professor. Gary Stephen Maynard, 47. Year one of the CIA, one of their favorite markers. He is said to be a professor at Santa Clara and Sonoma State, teaching criminal justice, cults and deviant behavior. That's tidy, isn't it? This fake event serves double duty, since he is being sold as a crazed leftist, worried about climate change or something. That plays into the current blackwashing of all liberals and the Democratic party, as they push us all hard right. They apparently want you hating university professors, teachers unions (all unions), people who are against fascism (antifa), black people, trannies, gays, people who are for social justice (SJW), and so on. The project is incredibly transparent, but most people are missing it. They don't see that all the events going on right now actually benefit the rich, the far right, and the Republican party. Those sectors have always been anti-black, pro-fascism, anti-justice, and anti-union. Do you really think it is an accident that Greta Thunberg is so unappealing, that the leaders of BLM are so unappealing, that the frontline trannies and social justice warriors are so unappealing? Do you think they just accidentally manage to say all the wrong things, pissing off the white majority in this country? No, this is all scripted, and is being scripted knowing what your reaction will be. They know how to push your buttons. They want you to identify as conservative, because the moment you do you are their ally. Mark my word, they will have you thinking you are a revolutionary while you support their far-right candidate. That is where all this is heading. It has happened many times before and it is happening again, but because most people know nothing of history, they can't see it.

I repeat: do not support ANY of their candidates. Now is the time to drive around these people or to take it right at them. ANYTHING they suggest, say NO. They are your enemies. ALL OF THEM. Do not be fooled when they pretend to agree with you.

As another example, Mike Adams at NaturalNews just [published a lead article](#) about end times, trying to appeal to you as a Christian. From that perspective, it starts out OK, since it frames current events as the result of sin and the wrath of God, which in a sense is true. But notice how that switches mid-article, and he starts trying to sell you prepper gear.

Those who are spiritually prepared will be able to walk with God through the valley of the shadow of death (Psalm 23) and fear no evil, for they are prepared both spiritually and physically. Physical preparedness means having food, emergency supplies, self-defense supplies and readiness for the total collapse of food, electricity, telecommunications and the rule of law.

You have to laugh. If these are really end times, do you think you can avoid them by buying guns or

canned food? Yes, be spiritually prepared. Be righteous. But there is no being physically prepared for such a thing. You can't buy or plan your way out of a 500ft tidal wave or an endtimes famine or a plague of locusts or whatever. As you walk through the valley of the shadow of death, Michael and Gabriel aren't going to be impressed with a camouflage jacket, a Glock, or a backpack filled with Powerbars. If God is going to save you, he is going to save you, and you won't need any of that stuff. It won't be Powerbars it will be nectar and ambrosia, remember? It will manna, whatever that is. And yes, I am being completely serious. I believe in being saved, but not through prepping. Prepping is just another way for the Phoenicians to scare you and sell you stuff.