

[return to updates](#)

SAN FRANCISCO *and* JONESTOWN



by Miles Mathis

First published January 9, 2019

In this paper I will show you a lot of evidence that not only was the Jonestown mass suicide of 1978 faked, but the assassinations of Moscone and Milk of the same year were as well. Why were they faked? Two words: **Operation Chaos**.

The theme of this research will be, *as usual*, gay Jewish actors who faked their deaths. Getting old, isn't it? Doesn't Intel have anything better to do than run events like this? Apparently not. This is how they justify their budgets. They are taxing you so that they can run these ridiculous stageplays, selling them to you as real. Wouldn't you prefer to buy your tickets at the box office, for movies you know are fiction? I guess these events are for people who won't go to the theater. If they won't willingly shell out theater money, the production company and acting committee that runs the country will bring the show to their homes, via the little talking box, and bill them for it anyway. And because these citizens wouldn't voluntarily give up their money, the producers punish them by neglecting to admit it is all a fiction.

I won't force you to buy any books or take any trips to the library to figure this one out. All the necessary red flags are posted in full view at places like Wikipedia. Any child or precocious porpoise could figure it out, provided he wished to.

We will start on the page for Jonestown. In the first sentence, we find Jim Jones, so we take that link. Who was Jim Jones? Well, the first red flag hits hard, when we find his mother was a **Putnam**. We could quit on just that. Without further research, that tells us this entire event was a fake. Why?

Because these are the [Boston Brahmin Putnams](#) who go back to Salem, of course. The Putnam family came over from England in about 1600, with John Putnam being the first over. His wife was . . . are you ready? . . . Priscilla **Gould**. Jewish.

That's why I always look at a person's mother first thing out of the gate. In these Jewish events, that often tells us more than anything else could. It is why mothers are so often hidden in these people's bios—as we will see below.

On Jones' father's side we go back to a William **Morris** in Liverpool. We also find a **Levi Morris** Jones. We find **Crowes**, **Boggs**, **Tindalls**, **Jarratts**, **Coffeys**, **Mosses**, **Salmons**, **Powells**, **Stapps/Stepps**, and **Staufers**. This confirms once again the Jewish connection.



Kirstie Alley as Ann Putnam

Remember Ann Putnam, one of the accusers in Salem? Her father was Thomas Putnam and her uncle was Deacon Edward Putnam, both grandsons of John Putnam. Thomas accused 43 people and his daughter Ann accused 62. It is admitted that the Putnams were very wealthy even then, which, as we saw in [my paper on the trials](#), doesn't match their presentation in Arthur Miller's book or in the Hytner film of 1996. There, the Putnams look better off than John Proctor and some of the other characters, but they all seem to be small-town farmers or clergy, with no great connections. But I discovered that *all* the people involved, including Proctor and his wife, were from great wealth, many of them being the top merchants in the colonies. What is more, they were all closely related, and all from related families back in England, whose connections went straight to the top. Proctor, sold by Miller and Hytner as a poor dirt farmer pushing a one-horse plow (remember Daniel Day Lewis faking this one for you), was really the privileged son of an Elder. In real life he wasn't pushing a plow, he was getting his wig curled.

Anyway, the Putnams were bankers, publishers, judges, generals, and top politicians. See Revolutionary War general **Israel** Putnam, for example. And note the first name. He was born in Salem just after the trials. Also see William **Lowell** Putnam, banker, linking us the Boston Brahmin Lowells. William married Percival Lowell's sister. Also see General Rufus Putnam, who married an **Ayers**, also of Salem lines. Also George **Palmer** Putnam, the publisher of *Putnam's* magazine and head of Putnam and Sons, who links us to the Palmers. He was set up in the business by Jonathan **Leavitt**, Jewish. Leavitt's brother-in-law was Daniel **Appleton**, wealthy dry goods merchant from Boston who also got involved in publishing and bookselling. Putnam's daughter Mary married Abraham **Jacobi**, Jewish, and became a suffragist. His son George married a **Shepard**, and their son George married Amelia Earhart, tying us to that fake. His other son John married a **Faulkner**.

So, we aren't two pages in and we have already tied Jonestown to at least two of the biggest fakes in American history. Salem is the pre-eminent and founding hoaxed event in this country, and Earhart is nearly as notorious (although I haven't outed her. . . yet). But the red flags will continue to pile up.

In paragraph two of Jones' bio, we are told he was “a voracious reader who studied Stalin, Marx, Mao, Gandhi and Hitler”. Yeah, I bet. You are supposed to believe he studied them for their ability to sway people, I guess, but we can now see that list as a different sort of clue. They were all frontmen for huge staged events, so he was studying with the best.

Next we learn that Jones' father was in the Ku Klux Klan. Of course he was, since that was another Intel front, also created to manufacture fear. His father was an agent just like he would be.

At age 20, Jones began attending meetings of the Communist Party, linking us to [the Marx hoax](#) already. It indicates Jones came in on the ground floor of CIA projects, working local Marxist gatherings. We have seen these manufactured gatherings achieved two things: one, they drew people away from real grassroots organizations, including real unions. Two, they created chaos by making sure nothing ever got done. The history of Marxism in the US is a history of division and misdirection, and that is no accident.

Jones got his start in the religious business in 1956 with the help of faith healer William **Branham**—who Wikipedia tells us was the Oral Roberts of his day. Strange then that we have never heard of him.



That is tagged, “William Branham, 1947” at Wiki. Yeah, he looks incredibly charismatic, doesn't he? But the date is your marker here: **1947**. Year one of the CIA. He has a long Wiki page, but mysteriously it doesn't tell us anything. Lots of words with almost no content. As usual, it reads like poor fiction, written in the dungeons of Langley. We get a couple of pictures of old shacks tagged as his birthplace and first tabernacle, but these look like the usual jokes. There is nothing to indicate they are what they are said to be.

They admit that later Christian authors dismissed Branham and the whole early period of revivals “as a hoax”, but of course no one links this to Intel, as they should. Next we get this lovely picture of Branham with F. F. Bosworth:



That's a photo for the blind and confused, I guess. Or, a photo for people who don't look at photos closely—which, before I came along, used to be most people. You may want to ask yourself why Branham is listing precariously to the starboard. He looks like he has two left legs. His whole body was pasted in there, and most of it was painted. A very poor job.

I recommend you read Bosworth's Wiki page as well. Another production of Langley. Bosworth apparently had no mother. Tellingly, though, he did get involved in British **Israelism**. Just a coincidence, right?

Anyway, back to Jones. Despite being an obvious huckster, he was embraced by civic leaders from the beginning—telling us who he really was. In 1960, Indianapolis mayor **Charles Boswell** appointed Jones to be director of the Human Rights Commission, which couldn't be a bigger red flag. The Human Rights Commission has always been a smokescreen, at all levels and in all countries. To see what I mean, remember that Jones was given the Martin Luther King Humanitarian Award in 1977, just months before the mass suicide. He was given the award by black leader Rev. Cecil Williams (pic under title). Williams was another big spook, of course, and you will remember he was involved in the [Patty Hearst fake kidnapping](#), allegedly negotiating with SLA for her release. But this tells us what the larger project was about. It was about blackwashing all these Human Rights organizations as well as the goals they stood for—such as integration. As part of Operation Chaos, the CIA set up these fake leaders in the movement like Jones, then faked his fall in spectacular fashion. This was intended to harm the movement, which of course it did.

To show you who Rev. Williams was, remember he is famous for removing the cross from the sanctuary in his own church. He said that this was because it was “a symbol of death”. You could not ask for a bigger red flag. I am not a Christian anymore, but I can see through that one. I would say this

“Christian” minister missed the whole point of Christianity, wouldn't you? Do real Christians remove crosses from churches? No. So who does?

As part of Operation Chaos, Jones began preaching about the upcoming apocalypse, which he promised would come in 1967. This was used as his pretext for relocating from Indianapolis to San Francisco. According to him, San Francisco was a safer place to weather a nuclear war. Because, I guess, you want to camp out over a major faultline when bombs are going off, right? But really, he was just reassigned to San Francisco for obvious reasons. It has always been a bigger spook hangout than Indianapolis, and we may suppose Jones had been promoted.

Of course no nuclear war occurred in 1967, but by the early 1970s Jones had moved his project into high gear. He quickly transitioned from Christianity to Socialism, now trashing Christianity as “a fly away religion” and denouncing a “Sky God who was no god at all”. So, although a crypto-Jew, he was also trashing Yahweh and Judaism. Par for the course. He denounced the Bible and began preaching the reincarnation of Lenin, Gandhi, and the Buddha. This indicates his congregations were also hired and planted, since no real congregation would put up with this stuff. By 1976, Jones was telling reporters he was either an agnostic or an atheist. So this was just a continuation and acceleration of the old Theosophy Project. Blackwash religions generally, since they stand in the way of free trade.

We are supposed to believe Jones' temples experienced exponential growth in this period, though there is no way that is true. The CIA may have hired more people for the audience, or they may just be making it up. Although Jones was by this time the kookiest person in any room, somehow he was called to work the campaign of George Moscone, after which Moscone appointed him chairman of the San Francisco Housing Authority. Really? How does that happen? Well, Wiki admits,

Unlike most cult leaders, Jones was able to gain public support and contact with prominent politicians at the local and national level. For example, Jones and Moscone met privately with vice presidential candidate [Walter Mondale](#) on his campaign plane days before the [1976 election](#), leading Mondale to publicly praise the Temple.

But that's not suspicious, is it? Mondale, whose father was supposedly a Methodist minister, finds a guy who is trashing the Bible, God, and Christianity to be praiseworthy? Mondale is impressed by the reincarnation of Lenin? But remember, Mondale's mother was a **Cowan**. That is a respelling of Cohen, you know. Which immediately explains what was going on here.

Wiki continues:

[First Lady Rosalynn Carter](#) also personally met with Jones on multiple occasions, corresponded with him about [Cuba](#), and spoke with him at the grand opening of the San Francisco headquarters, where he received louder applause than Mrs. Carter.

Yes, I'm sure they had a lot to talk about [regarding Cuba](#). I have hit the Carters in previous papers: they are from the same families. But it is the next admission that really blows the roof off:

In September 1977, California assemblyman [Willie Brown](#) served as master of ceremonies at a large testimonial dinner for Jones attended by Governor [Jerry Brown](#) and Lieutenant Governor [Mervyn Dymally](#). At that dinner, Brown touted Jones as "what you should see every day when you look in the mirror in the early morning hours... a combination of [Martin Luther King, Jr.](#), [Angela Davis](#), [Albert Einstein](#) and Chairman Mao". [Harvey Milk](#), who spoke at political rallies at the Temple, wrote to Jones after one such visit: "Rev Jim, It may take me

many a day to come back down from the high that I reach today. I found something dear today. I found a sense of being that makes up for all the hours and energy placed in a fight. I found what you wanted me to find. I shall be back. For I can never leave.

That tells us who Harvey Milk really was. We will come back to him. But notice that this is who was running San Francisco and California at the time: people who were selling Chairman Mao and Angela Davis in the same sentence as MLK and Einstein.

Next we learn that Jones had key contacts at the *San Francisco Chronicle*. Of course he did. The CIA sets up these contacts. They aren't hard to explain. *The Chronicle* was one of the CIA's hip-pocket outlets, as we know from [the Zodiac event](#). As at the *Washington Post*, the CIA had installed their people in all the top positions.

In 1977, Jones relocated his main temple to Guyana. Why Guyana? If he needed to leave the US, why not Vancouver or Cabo san Lucas? We are told it is because a *Chronicle* reporter was about to publish an expose of Jones' cult in San Francisco, alleging members were physically and sexually abused. Is that the real reason? No, that is just the cover story. The real reason is because it was going to be far easier to run the planned fake event in Guyana than in California, Canada, or even Mexico. They needed to totally control the event, and keep all prying eyes off them otherwise. Guyana was like the Moon in that regard. Quick, tell me the main airport there, and the city it is in. That would be Cheddi Jagan airport in Georgetown, though I don't fault you for not knowing it. It was Timehri airport in the 1970s. Before that, it had been built *by the US Air Force*:

The United States obtained rights to locate military facilities in British Guiana as part of the Destroyers for Bases Agreement with the United Kingdom in 1941. On 14 June 1941, the first United States Army forces arrived to survey land for a bomber airfield near Georgetown.

It was Atkinson Field at that time, though it was opened to commercial traffic in 1946. The US allegedly turned it over to Guyana in 1966, but continued to “lease” the airport until 1983. So the US military was still there at the time of the Jonestown project. Also worth knowing is that Guyana is the only country in South America where English is the official language. This makes it a convenient place for the US military and Intelligence. See this for example on the Wiki page for Guyana:

Guyana achieved independence from the United Kingdom on 26 May 1966 and became a republic on 23 February 1970, remaining a member of the Commonwealth. The US State Department and the US Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), along with the British government, played a strong role in influencing political control in Guyana during this time. The American government supported Forbes Burnham during the early years of independence because Cheddi Jagan was identified as a Marxist. They provided secret financial support and political campaign advice to Burnham's People's National Congress, to the detriment of the Jagan-led People's Progressive Party, which was mostly supported by Guyanese of East Indian background.

Interesting, eh? Also notice the name Forbes **Burnham**. We just saw a spook named William **Branham** working with Jim Jones, didn't we? Branham/Burnham. Just another coincidence? No, because **Forbes** Burnham, although part black, also has a strange bio. Notice his first name, for a start. No parents are given. Although said to have been born in Guyana, he ended up getting a law degree from the London School of Economics. This indicates he was a plant in Guyana by CIA/MI6. In his 20s he founded the People's Progressive Party (1950). Two years later he was installed as the leader of the national trade union—which of course indicates he was a mole inserted to make sure it was

ineffective. The historians tell us that the British and Americans supported Burnham over Jagan, not knowing Burnham was a Communist. They supported him from “misguided naivete”. Right. Under Burnham the elections were rigged and the country went into massive debt. Hmmmm. Debt to whom? Not British and American bankers, surely? But why would a Communist lead his country into massive debt to foreign bankers? No answer to that from our historians. He couldn't have been planted by his masters at the London School of Economics to do just that, could he? No, that question is just misguided naivete.

Remember, as a Communist, job one for Burnham should have been to create independence from capitalists, right? It should have been to nationalize big companies and banks, so that profits could be used by the people for local projects. Instead, Burnham did just the opposite, selling out the country to capitalists. So how was he a Communist, except in name? Of course, Lenin did the same thing in Russia, but most people don't know that. So we see that once again Communism is just a front for the capitalists. They tag their installed frontmen as the opposite of what they really are. It has all been a gigantic conjob from the beginning.

Pertaining to that question, you may be interested to know that in 1978—the year of Jonestown—Guyana first [sought “support” from the IMF](#), due to that massive debt. Just another coincidence, right? Of course IMF was a great help: in the next decade Guyana's GDP fell by 47%, and they had an external debt of 600% GDP, with arrears 4x total exports. The IMF set up a *soi disant* Economic Recovery Program which privatized most of the country. Meaning, as usual, that foreign banks simply bought the entire country. This had been the longterm goal when LSE installed Burnham as President years earlier, of course.

I also remind you of alleged Trotskyist [James Burnham](#), an admitted spook active from the 1930s. He follows the spook arc we have seen so many times, starting out as a fake Communist but later ending up an arch-conservative. Coming out of Princeton and Oxford, Burnham was recruited in 1933 by top spook Sidney Hook—who has himself been thoroughly outed by Frances Stonor Saunders. And she should know, since she is also a spook from the upper levels of the peerage. Hook and Burnham organized the fake American Workers Party to draw off manpower from real unions. As usual, they attracted a lot of misguided workers, then shattered the Party with infighting and manufactured disagreements. Just to prove his spook-status, Burnham joined OSS in the war years. After the war he helped Bill Buckley found the *National Review*, so we are supposed to believe he turned 180 degrees. No, he was always a fascist, he just began admitting it in the 50s. He was awarded the Presidential Medal of Freedom by Reagan in 1983, telling us what that fake award is about.

But let's return to the Guyana airport for a moment. You may remember that many of those said to have died “in Jonestown” actually died at the airport in Port Kaituma and at the airport in Georgetown. That is another clue, though no one ever sees it. Port Kaituma had been handpicked by President Forbes Burnham as a target for population growth. He oversaw the building up of the area, including the airport and secondary school. So Port Kaituma doesn't just accidentally come into our story here. Neither does Georgetown airport, which we have already seen was a hub for US and British military back to 1941. Many of the deaths were staged on those airstrips simply for the sake of convenience. Why else would this mass suicide and surrounding events take place on airstrips?

Port Kaituma has an even darker history. It was founded by Union Carbide, which needed the town to support its manganese mines. If you think the locals have benefitted from the mines, think again.

Which brings us to the Jonestown event itself. It took place November 18, 1978. **11/18/78**. Aces and

eights, as usual. 918 people allegedly died. Which also adds to 18. We are told 68% of those who died were black (it is actually 77%, see below), and 45% were black women. Which is big sign of the fake. Do you really think most of the San Francisco cultists were black women and their children? Why would they be black? Do blacks join religious groups to be told that the Bible is bunk, there is no God, and that Lenin is being reincarnated? Not where I come from. Plus, the population of northern California simply wasn't that black in the 1970s. Which indicates most of these fake victims were simply recruited in Guyana or bussed in from nearby areas. They weren't cultists who flew over from SF with Jones, they were just locals paid to be part of the stageplay. Since this was a continuation of the project to blackwash hippies, we would expect most of the San Francisco hippies to be white kids. Only a small percentage of the northern California hippies were black, according to all stories from all sides. So ask yourself why 77% of the victims in Guyana were black. You will tell me the CIA wanted to off a bunch of black people, but that isn't the right answer. The right answer is, as usual, that no one died that day. They just hired a bunch of extras and paid them to lay on the tarmac and on the jungle floor.

And how did Jones get enough cyanide to lace 918 drinks?

The Temple had received monthly half-pound shipments of cyanide since 1976 after Jones obtained a jeweler's license to buy the chemical, purportedly to clean gold. [75] In May 1978, a Temple doctor wrote a memo to Jones asking permission to test cyanide on Jonestown's pigs, as their metabolism was close to that of human beings. [76]

He obtained a jeweler's license, and some supplier thought he needed that much cyanide to clean gold? And you believe that? Is there anything you wouldn't believe? What if the story had been that he obtained a spelunking license so that he could buy arsenic, and that he needed the arsenic to kill rats in the caves? The rats were very big in the caves, so he needed twelve pounds of arsenic to get them all. Would you believe that? It makes just as much sense as the given story.



You may wish to remember Angela Davis' part in this hoax. She and Huey Newton communicated by telephone with the crowd in Guyana, exhorting them to hold firm against outside “conspirators”. Given either the mainstream story or the real story, you have to ask why anyone ever listened to her again. Why did she remain famous? The answer, of course, is that she is another spook, and she was just playing her part here, trying to make the event look real. Her bio lists no parents, but she was a

Communist and Quaker by highschool age. Two more red flags. The Quakers allegedly moved her from Birmingham, Alabama, to Greenwich Village, New York, where she was enrolled at Elisabeth Irwin High School. Is it another spook feeder? You bet. Davis was awarded a scholarship from there to **Brandeis** University, which is yet another clue. Both Irwin and Brandeis are heavily Jewish, which ties into our theme here. Davis is probably half-Jewish.

Davis met Herbert Marcuse at a Cuban Missile Crisis rally on campus, which is appropriate since both Marcuse and the Crisis were manufactured. Marcuse was a Frankfurt School Jew whose mother was a Kreslawsky. But we will have to do him later. By 1969 Davis was an Assistant Professor at UCLA, where she was also a member of the fake Black Panthers. As we have seen before the Panthers were no more than another CIA front, led by Oreo-cookie people like Davis. Or perhaps we should call them Jodenkoek blacks. Look it up. In 1970 she was involved in the Jonathan Jackson hoax, where some black defendants allegedly took a judge, prosecutor, and jurors hostage. For some reason, we are supposed to believe Angela Davis bought and supplied the weapons used in this event. Davis was put on the FBI ten most wanted list on August 18, 1970. That's **8/18/70**. Aces and eights. Davis fled the state and was captured in New York City a couple of months later. She went to trial and of course was ruled not-guilty. But not before John and Yoko and hundreds of other agents had publicized the event. It did its job of further blackwashing the hippies and the black leadership.

In 1972 Davis received an honorary doctorate from Moscow University. This was simply to keep the embers hot. I trust you see the point of all this? It was again to spoil race relations and throw a wrench into the integration of the time. The government could have been promoting real black leaders, but instead it was promoting their own fake leaders like Davis. They purposely made her as unappealing to your average white citizen as possible. They made her a Communist, a loudmouth, a criminal, and a friend of the Russians. They photographed her smoking pot in public, which was of course illegal. Her involvement in the Jonestown hoax was also *intended* to make you hate her. She was like the black Jane Fonda, saying and doing everything wrong on purpose. I was brought up in a liberal household and still consider myself liberal, but I disliked Fonda from the beginning. As it turns out, I was supposed to. She was an Anti, playing her part. So was Davis.



Body identified as that of US Rep. Leo Ryan lies on stretcher in Georgetown morgue after autopsy. (UPI photo)

Congressman Leo Ryan was said to have been murdered in Guyana, so his death must also be faked. That is supposed to be his body in the morgue after autopsy. [As we first saw in the Tate/Manson project](#), the cameras of morticians and coroners don't work very well. They seem to lack focus rings, have no resolution, and can't use color film. Notice that the photo is tagged UPI. So this was a professional photographer for the UPI? Great work, man. I can see why you didn't want yourself tagged as the photographer, Mr. Anonymous, though most photographers do.

Who is Ryan? Hard to tell, since he has no parents listed. He went to a Jesuit highschool and then the Navy. Ryan had been mayor of San Francisco in 1962, so he comes from the same place as the rest. He was elected to the US House in 1972. From the beginning, Ryan looks like a spook. As a California congressman, he allegedly took a job as a substitute teacher in the Watts neighborhood of Los Angeles, to learn more about the riots. And you believe that? Is that what state congressmen usually do? Or is that what spooks usually do? While still a congressman, in 1970 he took a fake name and “had himself arrested and strip-searched in order to investigate conditions in California prisons”. Oi. We aren't told how much he allowed himself to enjoy the strip search or what it consisted of. But seriously, does this sound believable? What did he have himself arrested *for*? Why would he need to be strip-searched, other than the thrill of it? Hard to believe they still tell these ridiculous stories at Wikipedia.

In the US House, he co-sponsored the Hughes-Ryan amendment, which—you guessed it—had to do with the CIA. “It would have required extensive notification of Congress by the CIA of its covert actions”. Note the “would have” part. It would have, IF it had been enforced. . . which it wasn't. Congress passed it and then utterly ignored it, which we may assume was the point from the beginning. It was meant to signal to the American people that Congress was on it, when Congress wasn't on it at all. Rather, Congress was *in* on it. We may assume the the CIA has installed most or all of those in Congress, not just Ryan.

This also explains why Ryan is still sold a “passionately anti-CIA”. It is the same reason Dan White is sold as anti-gay (see below). It is the same reason Hitler is sold as anti-Jewish. [Hitler was Jewish](#). White was gay and Ryan was CIA, and all these stories are strictly upside down. As I have told you before, if they are telling you it is day, bet on it being night.

So if Ryan faked his death like the rest, where did he go? Well, he didn't have to *go* anywhere. He only had to change his identity. I assume that with his skills at covert ops, the CIA figured he was wasted in Congress. So they promoted him out of there to more interesting assignments. The Jonestown event now looks to me like his final exam, which he passed with flying colors. Most people actually bought that whole story, and still do.

For more indication of the fake, we can look at Ryan's daughter Shannon, who joined the Rajneesh cult in 1981, less than three years later. If your father had been murdered by cultists, do you think you would join a cult? Or do you think that maybe, possibly, this Shannon Ryan was just following in her father's footsteps, having been recruited by the CIA? A stretch, you say? Not really, because they then admit Ryan's other daughter Erin **went to work for the CIA**. Also curious that Wiki has a section on Ryan's daughters, but will not tell us who their mother was, much less her maiden name.

The rest of the story from Jonestown isn't worth repeating, but it doesn't really scan does it? It still isn't clear why any of these people would drink the Flavor-aid, much less all of them. Would it even be possible to fool *all* those people into thinking they were about to be attacked, and that self-poisoning would be better than being captured? I don't think so. The alternate story is just as stupid, since according to it the people were *fooled* into drinking the stuff. They didn't know it was poisoned. But that story assumes it makes sense for Jones (or someone else) to go to all that trouble to murder 900-odd people. Why bother? These weren't Nobel laureates, revolutionaries, intelligentsia, or rich kids with money to steal. Even according to the mainstream story, they were just silly cultists without the brains to see that their leader was a nutjob. So why waste the cyanide? If Jones was tired of them, he could have just flown off and left them there. The story doesn't make sense on any level or from any angle. Only a total rereading allows us to make sense of it.

Part of that rereading is done on “escaped Temple member” Odell **Rhodes**. Big red flag on that name, as we know. What are the odds a Rhodes would be involved here? Well, now that know what it was, the odds are pretty good. Eyewitness testimony was given by Rhodes and **Stanley** Clayton. Hmmm. Now we just need an Owens involved, and maybe a Spencer and a Bennett. Actually, one of the survivors was Tim **Carter**. Related to Rosalyn Carter above? My guess is yes. Grover Davis also survived, allegedly because he was hard of hearing. Was he related to Angela Davis? It is a question to ask.

I encourage you to study the list of dead [here](#). There you can see all the ID photos (when they were alive, don't worry). Note some of the names: William Paul Sean **Bush**. Jeffrey James **Carey**. Ronald La Mont **Beikman**. Benjamin Franklyn **Barrett**. And his wife who was both a Barrett and a **Stahl**. Gail and Phyllis **Chaikin**. Mary **Meyer** Clancey. Three Cobbs, one of them white, two black. A whole family (12) of Cordells. 13 Davises, four of them white. Ellen Kerns **Dupont**! Several Eichlers. Two white Giegs. Just so you know, there are less than 300 Giegs in the entire world, and almost all of them are in the US. So it looks like another CIA fame name. **Jann Gurvich**. Joseph **Helle** III. A family of white **Houstons**, who are also Tuttles. A family of white **Klingmans**. Jerry **Dwight Livingston**. Esther **Mueller**. Susan Jerram **Noxon** (fudge of Nixon or Moxon). **Greg Robinson**. A **Schacht**. Two **Scheids**. Two more Stahls. A blonde Aurora **Stewart** and her brother Terry. And a family of white Wotherspoons (fudge of **Witherspoon**).

Plus, I noticed as I scanned the pages that there seemed to be more than 68% blacks pictured. So I actually counted all the non-blacks on the page. 214 out of 925. Which is 23% non-black, which is **77% black**. So they fudged us on that as well. They apparently didn't have enough white photos to pad out this list, though I don't know where they got the black photos either. Lots of black women and children, though, which is curious in itself.

Also a red flag is Richard **Dwyer**, who was with Ryan when he was killed. He was with the US Embassy. Does that name look familiar? There was also a Dwyer in the Buddy Holly plane crash, remember? Jerry Dwyer was the owner of the plane and of the flight service. As it turns out, Richard Dwyer [was later outed as CIA Station Chief](#) in Guyana by the *San Mateo Times*. At that link they try to spin that by admitting it but then selling us Jonestown as a mass CIA mind-control experiment gone wrong, with the deaths still real. As usual, they always have a second lie waiting for you if you don't buy the first one.

Not on the death list is survivor Deborah Layton, who has made a career out of selling this project. She is still alive and [gave a talk last October in Oakland](#) on Jonestown. I encourage you to study her bio there. She grew up in Berkeley and went to school in Yorkshire, England. After Jonestown, she went to work on the trading floor of an investment bank in SF (they don't bother to tell us which one). So she wasn't one of the survivors flown directly to housing on Berkeley campus. No, she had a job lined up already, and no doubt an expensive downtown flat. Remember she was married to Philip Blakey, also of the project. Joe Holsinger, Leo Ryan's legislative aide, [has admitted](#) that Blakey was a CIA operative who had previously been involved with UNITA in the Angola project. Furthermore, the father of Deborah Layton was chief of the Chemical Warfare Research Program at Dugway Proving Grounds in Utah. This Layton Sr. is said to have also been a member of the People's Temple. Layton's wife Lisa was a Philips, tying her—we are told—to IGFarmen and Siemens. You will say this is hearsay or was made up, [but it actually comes straight from](#) *The New York Times* in 1978, which admits the greater part of it. It even gives us more information, telling us Lisa Philips' “childhood playmates included cousins and friends from some of the best-known families of Germany's Jewish aristocracy,

such as the Berensons and **Rothschilds**. An uncle, Dr. James Franck, won the Nobel Prize for physics in 1935.” These Philips were Jewish. And we can add to that, since we now know they were also related to the **Marxes**.

So why would *The New York Times* and Joe Holsinger admit all this? Why has the cover of this project been partially blown? Because the information became available and someone had to spin it. It wasn't the *NYT* or Holsinger that first leaked it, it was some private researcher like me that discovered it back in 1978. Once the information was out there, these other sources had to be hired to divert it. This they did with the alternative theories of murder, mind control, and a thousand other things. This is what they do with these projects, as we first saw with the fake Kennedy assassination. They start out with the mainstream story, but then follow up with a raft of manufactured alternative stories, to create confusion. If they can create enough criss-crossing tracks, they figure no bloodhound will be able to stay on the right scent.

But we can already see that all this information is far easier to fit into my reading than any mainstream or “alternative” reading. In the alternative reading of Joe Holsinger, you are expected to continue to believe that this rich connected lady (Lisa Philips) from old Jewish money would be following Jim Jones to the jungles of Guyana, and that she would either kill herself or get herself murdered there. Neither possibility is believable in the least. My reading, which is that she simply faked her death for some reason to help out this project of her family, is by far the cleanest reading of the facts. Maybe she was ill and they needed to cash out an insurance policy.** Who knows? But you can be sure she didn't die in Guyana as claimed.

Still don't believe me? Well, the *New York Times* article gives us more connections. Lisa's husband Lawrence Layton, Sr. was also from great wealth. His family came from large estates in West Virginia, which were later bought out by **Union Carbide**. See five pages up, where Union Carbide founded Port Kaituma, Guyana, to mine manganese. So the Laytons/Philips had links to Union Carbide and Guyana even before the Jonestown event. Like the name Putnam, that one fact by itself is enough to blow this whole project wide open.

We also learn that Lawrence Layton, Sr. became a Quaker while at Berkeley High. No surprise there. It was either that or a Christian Scientist. Or a Mormon.

If we skim Deborah Layton's book *Seductive Poison*, we find the thesis [in one main idea](#):

On my return to the United States, I got a job on the trading floor of an investment banking firm [still won't say which one]. It was with these men I was told were evil capitalist that I found friendship and acceptance—where the kernels of evil fell away.

Are you well and truly sick yet? If you aren't, you aren't reading closely enough. In her telling, religious people are evil and investment bankers are the true holy men. This was another goal of the project from the beginning. Now return to the title of Deborah Layton's book. *Seductive Poison*. You are supposed to think she is referring to Jim Jones there, right? But she is actually telling you what her own words are. She is admitting they are poison while she is poisoning you.

Before we move on to the second part of this paper, it is worth mentioning one other thing from Jones' Wiki page. They have a subsection called “sexuality”, where it is admitted he was bisexual, at the least.

While Jones banned sex among Temple members outside marriage, he voraciously engaged in sexual relations with both male and female Temple members. [100] [101] Jones, however, claimed that he detested engaging in homosexual activity and did so only for the male temple adherents' own good, purportedly to connect them symbolically with him.

So he sodomized them for their spiritual advancement, not because he enjoyed it. What a selfless and holy man he must have been. Harvey Milk was so right.



But before we get to Milk, let's briefly hit George Moscone. George's paternal grandparents were apparently first cousins, since one is listed as a Moscone, and one as a Mosconi. As usual, his mother's maiden name is denied us, but we can be sure she was Jewish. If you doubt it, prove me wrong. Her first name was Lena, which already takes us halfway there. Wikitree tells us she was a **Monge**, daughter of a Marchisi. Lena allegedly died 11/11/96. Monge is a Jewish name, and so is Marchisi (although it is spelled Marchesi). The Marchesi, which means Marquess or Marquis, were a family of wealthy Jews from Lugo who left Italy after unification and went to South Africa. There they were involved in mining and banking, just as you would expect. Don't believe me? See the recent *Washington Jewish Week* article about finding a mikveh (Jewish bath) in the Palazzo Marchesi. That was in Palermo, not Luga, but it gives you the admitted link.

Moscone's wife is Gina **Bondanza**—also a Jewish name. See [NYT death notices](#), January 13, 1984, where you will find Joseph Bondanza, President of Flushing Hospital in Queens. Also see Allison Bondanza, listed as a Jewish therapist in Denver. Also think of actor Tony Danza, born Iadanza, whose mother was a **Cammisa**, Jewish. The Cammisas are a famous Jewish family from Sicily, linking us back to Palermo—which we saw above. You didn't think Tony Danza got to be a famous actor without Jewish connections did you?


Anyway, Moscone got his start with the help of US Congressman Phillip **Burton**. Burton's bio is scrubbed at Wiki, with no parents listed, so we can be sure he is from the families. He came out of the Air Force. We are told that Burton allowed a bill to go to the house floor without a closed rule for the first time since the 1920s. This is said to have created the modern Congress. But since Burton was not

Speaker, majority leader, or minority leader at the time, and had only been in Congress for four terms, I don't understand how he had the power to do such a thing.

As a State Senator, Moscone was an avid supporter of gay rights, spearheading the overturn of the state's sodomy law. He used this platform to run for mayor of San Francisco in 1975, which is when he got mixed up with Jim Jones big time. Jones and his cult helped Moscone deliver the vote, and many have claimed they stole it by bussing in outsiders to vote multiple times. Personally, I doubt that. It looks like more misdirection. They don't need to bus in anyone to steal a vote. They simply steal it in the backrooms, during the alleged counting. After Moscone's Housing Committee Chairman Jim Jones fled to Guyana in the midst of scandal, Moscone refused to investigate. This wasn't to stall, since there was nothing much to investigate, but no doubt Moscone had been ordered to increase chaos in any way he could. Refusing to investigate made everyone look guilty, even more than they would have had an investigation started.

The chaos quickly accelerated when Dan White of the Board of Supervisors resigned in 1978. He changed his mind and asked Moscone to re-appoint him, Moscone refused, and White allegedly shot him and Harvey Milk in City Hall. You really have to read the mainstream story for a laugh. White is given no parents in his bio, but we are told he was a sergeant in Airborne. He served for six years. He then worked as a SF police officer and fireman before being elected to the Board of Supervisors in 1977, just months before the shooting. We are told White resigned because he couldn't get by on his Board salary, which we are supposed to believe was far below that of a policeman or fireman. The story is he opened a baked potato stand at Pier 39 to make ends meet, but it folded. Yeah, right. On the day of the murders, he snuck into City Hall through a basement window to avoid the metal detector at the main doors. This despite the fact that Moscone had just increased security at City Hall due to the Jonestown suicides. But I guess they just overlooked those open windows in the basement. Yeah. Except that basements in public buildings in the West don't have windows. . . *because they are underground*. What did he do, dig his own window? He shot Moscone with his police revolver, then calmly walked across city hall to also shoot Milk in his office. And no one heard the first shots or tried to stop him, I guess? Security was too busy watching Fonzie on TV? Plus, I was under the impression police departments required ex-cops to turn in their weapons. They don't just gift them as a going-away present.

White wasn't caught at City Hall, amazingly. He shot two prominent politicians in a public building and then walked out. I guess he also carried an invisibility shield. Yes, the story is he escaped and turned himself in at his old precinct. But the hilarity isn't over, since at trial White's lawyer used the Twinkie defense to get the charge dropped to manslaughter. White was supposed to be on a sugar high from Twinkies, making him insane I suppose. I didn't realize we weren't responsible for our own actions after eating Twinkies, but that is what this court ruled. White received a 7-year sentence. He was released after five years and then supposedly committed suicide. You can be sure he didn't spend any time in jail and that he faked his death. He was military intelligence all along.



Dan White with Ray Sloan

White was sold as a homophobe, but look at him there. Have you ever seen an ex-cop dress so well? Look at the cut of his coat, the fabric, and the tie he is wearing. Extremely stylish. Great haircut as well. He looks like a moviestar, actually. And the guy he is with looks like a real flamer. He even has a watch chain. You will say I shouldn't jump to conclusions. Being handsome or stylish doesn't automatically make you gay. I, of all people, should know that, I will be told, since I have been called gay for the same reasons. True enough, but [more research](#) tends to confirm that something is not right here. For one thing, Sloan is known to be gay. That link goes to the *San Francisco Weekly*, 2008. And there we learn that Sloan also just happened to be White's campaign manager, business partner, and close friend. The *SFWeekly* tries to spin this admission, but does a poor job in my opinion. They have Sloan admit White wasn't anti-gay, but then try to spin that by claiming he was "unstable". Except that he never showed any signs of instability before 1978. He didn't show any signs of instability in *Airborne*. He didn't show any signs of instability as a policeman or fireman. He didn't show any signs of instability during the race. And he didn't show any signs of instability afterwards in the courtroom, where he was cool as a cucumber.

On a second look, I realized something else. That picture conflicts with the story we are told about White being poverty-stricken from his pathetic salary as a Supervisor. Remember the baked potato stand story. Does that look to you like a guy working a baked potato stand on Pier 39? Does he look too poor to buy clothes? Both he and Milk were on the same salary, remember, and we are told Milk didn't even own a suit to be buried in. All his clothes were in tatters, including his socks. But somehow White could afford silk ties and Armani suits.

And then there's this:

At the time, Milk's legislative aide Dick Pabich told a gay newspaper that White "supported us on every position and he goes out of his way to find what gay people think about things."

Again, history has been rewritten to hide that, and the *SFWeekly* admits it:

"The film reflected a Dan White that I didn't know," Sloan said after the movie at Harvey's Restaurant and Bar (named after the slain supervisor). "I am most appalled at the scene where Dan was supposed to be drunk. He was a teetotaler."

So if the events were real, why would they later need to rewrite White's character from the ground up? Why would they need to falsify history for the history books and bios? We have seen why: the events were NOT real.

But back to that Twinkie defense. If you want to know why Twinkies were used in this story, you may wish to look up the term “twink”. Harvey Milk was famous for chasing twink, as we are about to see.



I don't have to prove Milk was gay or Jewish, since they nicely admit it in this case. His grandfather had organized the first synagogue in their NYC suburb. Like the rest, Milk came out of the military. He was a lieutenant in the navy. He then went to work on Wall Street for Bache and Co., a securities firm (later Prudential and then Wells Fargo). While there (1964) he began a romance with a 16-year-old boy, Jack McKinley. McKinley was a twink, and sex with minors is illegal. It is now and it was then. If it isn't OK for straights, it isn't OK for gays. They both moved to San Francisco in 1969, allegedly so that McKinley could pursue his acting career—but we can be sure Milk was on assignment by that time. After bumping around in small-time projects, Milk was fingered to run for the Board, pretty much out of the blue. He had no experience in politics and no obvious connections. But somehow everything went his way. Gay bar owners decided to endorse him for no good reason, the Teamsters decided to back him, the firefighters followed, and he almost won the election—we are told. At any rate he gained enough street cred to be appointed in 1976 by Moscone to the Board of Permit Appeals. In 1977 he ran for the Board of Supervisors again and this time was endorsed by *The Chronicle*. His Wiki page is incredibly longwinded at this point, trying to convince us this had to do with a gay takeover of San Francisco, but it all reads like fiction. The important thing to notice at this point in the story is the foreshadowing:

Concerned that his raised profile marked him as a target for assassination, he recorded on tape his thoughts, and whom he wanted to succeed him if he were killed, [87] adding: "If a bullet should enter my brain, let that bullet destroy every closet door". [88]

These guys always seem to know they are going to get killed, and exactly how. If we had asked him, no doubt he could have told us what lobe of the brain he would be shot in, and the caliber of the gun, and the color shirt he would be wearing.

And the hilarity continues. We are told that Milk's friends looked through his wardrobe for a suit to bury him in. All they found was clothes coming apart at the seams and socks with holes in them. We are told this was due to his low income as a Supervisor. Talk about poor storytelling. Who would believe that City Supervisors don't make enough to buy socks! And yet they are still repeating this four

decades later.

Of course he was cremated, preventing later forensics.

We are told police openly wore “Free Dan White” t-shirts while White sat in prison, despite the fact that he had confessed to the double murders. You can be sure these fake officers were also planted, since real police officers wouldn't do that even if they were allowed to—which they wouldn't have been. It would have been grounds for suspension in any real department in the country. We are supposed to believe many people—including city employees—thought it was OK to murder gays in cold blood, as a political act. Not believable, then or now.

Then notice we are told about an **eight**-minute phonecall of White to his mother from jail. Why are they telling you how long the phonecall was? Is that crucial information? No. They included it only as the usual marker. It is always the number eight. We saw it coming up like clockwork [in the Hitler stories](#), and have looked for it since then.

Here is the next game-ender:

The jury for White's trial consisted of white middle-class San Franciscans who were mostly Catholic; gays and ethnic minorities were excused from the jury pool.

If you have a trial lawyer in the family, show him or her that sentence at Wikipedia and see what the response is. You will be told it is impossible. Defense doesn't get to choose jurors on its own. Jurors are chosen by *both* the prosecution and defense, and the prosecution would never agree to a jury so heavily skewed in favor of defense. This story is for people who know nothing of the law, and it is being told to try to explain how White got off with manslaughter and a successful Twinkie defense. But there is no rational explanation for it. In a real trial with public murders, many witnesses, and a confession, the outcome would never be in doubt. The only question would be life in prison or death. So the only explanation for our outcome here is that the trial was fake, which of course proves the murders were fake.

Next we have the riots, and more evidence they were also staged. We are told “several police cruisers filled with officers wearing riot gear” stormed a gay bar, beating patrons at random. “The chief of police finally ordered the officers out of the neighborhood”. Not a chance that happened. If it had, Feinstein would have called in the National Guard and they would have rounded up the rogue cops pronto. All of them would have lost their jobs immediately and been tried for assault and battery. Wikipedia doesn't bother to tell us what happened to these rogue officers. My guess is they never existed. If this had really happened, the police department would have been dealing with lawsuits for the next five years. They would have had to open more courts and hire more judges.

And why was all this staged? The CIA *wanted* riots in San Francisco? It wanted to stir up bad blood between gays and straights? Yep, among other things. One of those other things was the end of District Supervisor elections, San Francisco switching back to at-large elections in 2000. Although the elections were stolen both before and after that, the appearance of at-large elections gave those actually running the city behind the scenes a finer control of their players. You can look further into San Francisco's strange at-large elections if you like, but I don't have the stamina for it. Just be advised that the events of 1978 did not happen that year by accident. There were at-large elections before 1977 and after 1980, but not in 1978-79. So that is your clue.

This also explains why Harvey Milk has been promoted so much in the decades since then. Like other

fake events and people, his name and project have become a cottage industry for those who faked them. Milk has been a favorite topic of the CIA writing committees and of Hollywood. Remember who played Milk in 2008 and won an Oscar for his portrayal? Sean Penn, who has since become Hollywood spook number one. We just saw him in a recent paper, playing a prominent role in the El Chapo hoax. He now travels all over the world, allegedly talking to dignitaries, going on fact finding missions, and generally photobombing every CIA fake event he can fit into his schedule. And remember, Penn is a Russian Jew descended from rabbis, and the name Penn was changed from **Pinon**—which is a variant of Peron/Pereira/Perez. Remember this one?



Well, he isn't the only one hanging out with Putin:



Why is Leo partying with Putin? Because he is also a Russian Jew, and admits it. They may all be related. Possibly Putin is another variant of Pinon/Peron.

And here's a strange possible tie-in to the current event: do you want to guess what Sean Penn's mother's name is? Eileen **Ryan**, daughter of Rose Isabel Ryan. Could Sean be related to Congressman Leo Ryan? Impossible for me to say for sure, since Leo Ryan's genealogy only has parents listed—with no maiden name for his mother—but my guess is yes. It would explain why Penn was chosen to play Milk, wouldn't it? We have seen that these top Hollywood actors often have family ties to their characters, and that may be what is happening here again.

So let's do a big more digging. Leo Ryan's wife is given as Margaret **Casson**, and she had also been married to William Preston Berger. This is a possible clue because there was a Margaret Casson, Lady Casson, wife of the famous architect and broadcaster Sir Hugh Casson. His brother was the **actor** Sir Lewis Casson. They were the children of a wealthy banker from . . . Northern Wales. Lewis' daughter Anne was also a famous actress. Notice we are dealing with actors here, since we have seen that Congressman Leo Ryan was also an actor. Which takes us to the Cassons of the peerage. See the 10th Viscount Chetwynd of Bearhaven (b. 1935), whose mother was Joan Casson. Her mother was a **Russell** of the Dukes of Bedford. This also linked them to the Barons **Eden**, as well as the Earls **Finch** and the **Pagets**—Marquesses of **Anglesey**. Also see Sir George Casson **Walker**, and remember that the Walkers are closely related to the Buses—as in George Herbert Walker Bush. While searching on this, I came across my own paper on a Google search. Which one? My second one on Paul [McCartney](#). The important paragraph is here:

The Macartneys are closely related to the Bennetts, and recently. See James Macartney, b. 1911, who married Margaret Casson Bennett. Their son married a Vaughan (which may link us to David Vaughan Icke). The Macartneys are also closely related to the Moores. See Edward Hardman Macartney, b. 1835, who married Georgiana Moore. Their son married a King and their granddaughter married a Byrne. This links us to C. S. Lewis and F. Scott Fitzgerald.

The Cassons in the peerage are also related to the Turners, Gilberts, Murrays, MacLellans, Alexanders, Hicks-Beaches, Cleveland, Vesey, and Huttons.

So it's sort of curious that Leo Ryan's wife was named Margaret Casson. Since these families stick together, I take it as a clue that Ryan is from the Ryans of the peerage. These Ryans are linked to the **Kahns** and **Barings** (Barings Bank) and [one of them](#), Virginia Fortune Ryan, married an **Ogilvy**, 8th Earl of Airlie, in 1952. She became the Countess of Airlie. And who were the Ogilvys related to? The Grahams, the Drummonds, the Stanleys, the Gores, the Foxes, the Wakes, the Harmsworths, the Guinneses, the Lloyds, the Tennants, the Balfours, the Child-Villiers, and the **Windsors**. Yes, the brother of our Ogilvy here married the Princess of Kent in 1963. Her parents were George, Duke of Kent (son of George V) and the Princess of Greece and Denmark.

In further support of my guess, see the [4th Baronet Sir Derek Ryan](#) (b. 1954). He married Roberta **Walker**. Since we just saw the Cassons of the peerage also related to the Walkers, we can link the Ryans and Cassons that way. Which indicates the marriage of Congressman Leo Ryan and Margaret Casson was not a one-off. These families have been related for centuries in the peerage, which tells us Leo Ryan was probably from the peerage Ryans. Need more? Well, where do you think this Baronet Derek Ryan went to school? If you guessed San Francisco, you win the prize. He went to Berkeley*. As of 2003 he lived in Idaho.

In fact, we find an Edward **Leo Ryan** in the peerage, b. 1965. Too young to be our Congressman Ryan, but notice that his middle name is Leo, not the expected Leonard. I take this as another clue. His grandmother was Merlin **Stuart-Forbes**, which is yet another clue. Remember Forbes Burnham, President of Guyana? This indicates to me that Leo Ryan may have been related to Forbes Burnham, explaining why they were both involved in this fake.

My best readers should study how I walked around the genealogy block on Leo Ryan here. Ryan's pages had been nearly completely scrubbed, and the only crumb left was his wife Casson. But look at all we were able to get just from that.

But there's more. There are peerage Ryans in British Columbia, related to **Breretons**. One of them married a **Schachte** in 1987. Scan the names above and you will remember there was a Schacht on the list of dead in Guyana. Also see Maj. Gen. Sir Charles Ryan, [whose daughter Ethel](#) married Richard Gardiner **Casey**, Baron Casey. She was a pioneer aviatrix like Amelia Earhart. Her aunt married Lord Charles **Montagu Douglas Scott**, 5th Duke of Buccleuch. Her brother married the daughter of the Earl of Erroll. Baron Casey was in Churchill's cabinet, was Ambassador to the US, and was Governor-General of Australia. Why does this matter? Well, remind yourself of a guy named William Casey, who would be head of CIA in 1980, just two years after Guyana. William Casey was related to the peerage Caseys, of course. In the late 70s Casey was Chairman of the Import-Export Bank of the US. His son-in-law **Owen** Smith was head of the Institute of World Politics, the premier graduate school of spookery. Casey was also of counsel at Rogers and Wells at the time, and that law firm was one of the premier spook firms in the world. In those years it was joined at the hip to Merrill Lynch as well as to Intel.

On the way out, I want to comment on another oddity. When Penn played Milk, some gays complained that a straight man was playing a gay—keeping a gay actor from playing him. We heard the same thing when Tom Hanks played Andrew Beckett in *Philadelphia*. But since most actors in Hollywood lie about their sexuality, we have no real proof that was what was happening. Penn and Hanks may be gay for all we know, with the gay complaints being planted to make us think they are straight. But more importantly, turn the idea over. Since most actors are gay, almost every straight man in a movie is played by a gay man. Do you ever hear straight guys complain about that? Well, they should, because it totally skews the heterosexual relationship. People learn their roles in society in part from films, and there the heterosexual relationship is almost always played by two gays (the woman is also gay). Imagine what confusion that must create in an audience which isn't aware of it.

I started thinking about this watching old classics. Many of those long-dead actors have been outed, and we now know they were gay. And, since the acting wasn't so good back then, we can often *tell* they were gay. When we have to watch a gay man kiss a gay woman, it is a bit painful—for them as well as for us. It is cringe-inducing, frankly. Well, although the acting is now better, the same things applies today. If you look closely, you can tell you are watching a gay man court, kiss, or pretend to have sex with a lesbian. It's icky. But if you don't *know* that's what you are watching, it is even worse. Because in that case you may pattern yourself after what you are watching. You may think that acting gay will help you get the girl, for instance. Or, if you are a woman, you may think that straight men respond to the actions you are witnessing on screen—when they don't. You will be totally messed up.

And that is what we now see in the greater society. We see a lot of sexual confusion. As I have told you, that is no accident. At first, it was just an accidental side-effect of Hollywood. They didn't have any straight people to play parts, so this is what we got. But later the governors realized how this phenomenon played into their other projects, and they embraced and accelerated it. They were quite happy to see you screwed up by your Hollywood role models, because it meant you would ruin all your sexual attempts by sheer ineptness. Frustrated, you would spend far more money across the board.

So remember that next time you go to a movie or watch TV. What you are aware of you can resist.

*Berkeley plays a big role in the Jonestown story, of course. [As just one example](#), the survivors returned to the US and were housed at the Center for Human Freedom at Berkeley campus. At that link, I draw your attention to one other thing. There we are told that Jim Jones taught that psychiatry was nonsense. This was another part of his role as an Anti. Remember, Antis attack everything the governors wish to promote, after which the Antis fall in inglorious defeat. This is meant to blackwash all their teachings. So, after Jim Jones is proved to be a madman, you will remember what he said about psychiatry. You will naturally think to yourself, “Ah, only madmen think psychiatry is nonsense. Therefore psychiatry must be sensible”. You see how it works.

**Actually, the *NYT* admits she *was* ill in 1978, allegedly with cancer. Pretty good guess, hunh?

[return to updates](#)