

It's All Fake News



by Miles Mathis

First published January 3, 2023

It's all fake news all the time, even on the alternative sites.

As you know, I have been ignoring all “news” for the past month, focusing on outing more historical figures. It is meatier. Better work. But now that we are into a new year, I thought it my duty to hit the news again, at least for a day, to remind you that *none* of it is true.

You may remember that I have admitted avoiding mainstream news almost entirely. It is completely worthless. I don't have a TV, but I do look at a few online sites everyday, just to keep my hand in, but they are all alternative sites like Infowars, Zerohedge, NaturalNews, GatewayPundit, and Breitbart. They are also fake, being controlled opposition, but it is a bit easier to glean some small amounts of truth from them regardless. Besides, you can tell what the mainstream is selling by reading them, since they are selling you the opposite. The factionalism in the US is complete, with the two sides spread wide on purpose. Job one for all of them is making you hate the other side, since they are promoting civil war to pre-empt revolution. They want you going after your neighbors instead of them.

We will start with the fake war in Israel, which hasn't gotten any realer since October. Some people tell me the deaths on the Palestinian side are real, but I have seen no evidence of it. All I have seen is a lot of fake footage, CGI'ed film, staged atrocities, and numbers coming from untrustworthy sources. But the main reason I don't believe it is logic: I know Hamas is fake and I know the Palestinians are not armed. They are not allowed to be and the entire country is swept for weapons on a regular basis. So if Israel wanted to take Gaza or *all* Palestinian territory, it could do it overnight with no bombing at all. They would just drive some tanks into the largest cities and make an announcement. The idea Israel would need to start a war and bomb Gaza for three months is absurd, because a war takes two sides. An unarmed country cannot wage war, not even guerrilla war. Even more absurd is the idea Israel would need the US to back them up with aircraft carriers, to fight a few guys on old Vespas. When you

see that you know you are watching some kind of vaudeville. It is proof this is just about spending money and creating a huge diversion for the news, to keep your eyes off other things like the vaccine genocide.

So why doesn't Israel just take all of Palestine tomorrow? Same reason England doesn't take Ireland tomorrow: it would look bad. They prefer to do it in slow motion, under the cover of darkness, while pretending they aren't. Besides, England already took Ireland and Israel already took Palestine long ago, they are just pretending they didn't. The same people own the whole world and the borders are just for show. Israel may be using this fake event to move some poor Palestinians out to make more room for rich Jews, as part of the continuing gentrification of the area, and as part of that they may be destroying some derelict buildings. For real. I am not saying they aren't. But since there is no reason to be murdering a bunch of innocent people, I am assuming they aren't doing it.

You will tell me it makes Israel look bad, so why do it this way? Because, like the CIA, Israel doesn't care if it looks bad. They like looking like tough guys, since it keeps fear levels high everywhere. What they care about is creating worldwide division and conflict, to keep eyes off reality. And they care about using up a lot of weaponry, so they have to replace it with your taxdollars. If they have to bomb old empty buildings to do it, well, it beats bombing the desert. Same thing they did in Vietnam, but there they really did just bomb the forests.

What the Israeli War achieves in the US is yet another division point, and they are playing that for all it's worth. Like the BLM riots, the Palestinian riots in the US are also staged. Those people aren't real Palestinians or Palestinian supporters. They are the usual CIA agents in costume, waving flags they ordered in bulk from Ebay or somewhere. And, yes, that applies to the bozos on "liberal" campuses as well. More pretend liberals for you to hate on, so that the agents at Breitbart and Gateway Pundit have something to key on.

In that way, this War in Israel is like the Roe v. Wade project they dredged up in 2022 to cause more division. They are dusting off every possible division they have ever created and giving it new legs, to keep your eyes off the vaccine genocide and prevent a revolution.

I saw yesterday where **actor** David Schwimmer was paid to ask "where is the outrage" about the raped and murdered Jewish women from October 7. Well, Ross, I'm sorry but I don't get outraged about things that never happened.

Other actors were paid to ask where is the outrage about Palestinians calling for genocide. Again, I don't get outraged about CIA actors calling for genocide. I ignore it. But even if it were true, I think I might be more outraged about Israel *pursuing* genocide than Palestine just talking about it. If we are to believe the news, Israel has killed ten Palestinians for every Israeli killed, so getting outraged about yapping Palestinians is illogical. Palestinians talking about "the river to the sea" is meaningless, even if they are real Palestinians and not CIA/Mossad agents in costume (which they aren't) because there is zero chance of that happening. Again, the Palestinians are unarmed, so it doesn't matter what they *say*. Israel has nothing to fear from them and knows it, and **actors** like Michael Rapaport also know it, so you should treat this as just another part for him. It is all a Hollywood fiction.

You will say "What about Iran or Syria or Saudi Arabia? The entire Arab world could come down on Israel!" No, since we own all those people, too, and always have. They are reading from the same script as everyone else here.

Just so you know, the blasts reported today in Iran also look fake. The first 30-second video shows nothing but a crowd milling, and the second 10-second video shows some non-EMTs carrying a stretcher, which proves nothing. But no doubt this will be used to continue to escalate the fake tensions in the area, leading to more saber rattling, defense spending, and fearporn in the US. We will be told Iranian terror cells are coming through the southern border with backpack nukes. Just wait for it.

Next day: ISIS has now claimed responsibility for the bombing, proving it was fake. Like Hamas, ISIS is another CIA-front cardboard cut-out. It exists only on paper and on stages.

OK, let's move on. What about the Jan. 6 “prisoners”, which the alternative sites are always pushing? Again, fake. [As I have been telling you since January 2021](#), that entire event was also scripted and staged. It wasn't just infiltrated by a few agents from FBI or DHS, it was scripted from the ground up. There were probably more government agents there than ordinary citizens. Thousands. That being so, you should have always assumed these prisoners are more agents, faking their prison terms why? To make the event look real, of course!

These prisoners are all members of some fishy group like the Proud Boys, Oathkeepers, etc., which we now know were *also* heavily infiltrated by government agents. The alternative sites now admit that, confessing that not only was January 6 rife with agents, but these groups were as well. What the alternative sites don't admit is that these groups, like January 6 itself, weren't just infiltrated, they were **created from the ground up** by agents. Their leaders and founders are also agents, which means all these groups are completely fake. They are government fronts, just like Antifa and BLM on the left. That being so, their jail terms must also be fake, including all the stuff about solitary confinement and so on.

I repeat, there are no January 6 prisoners and never were.

What about the to-do concerning Harvard president Claudine Gay? Again, that entire story looks staged as well, as part of the race wars they have been staging for many decades. It doubles as a player in the gender wars, since she can claim sexism as well as racism. And it possibly triples as a player in the sex wars, since many will assume she is gay (though apparently she isn't, since she has a husband). And of course it quadruples as part of the Israel/Arab war. So it is another great story for creating division.

You will remember it started when she testified in Congress about student groups on the Harvard campus (allegedly) calling for genocide against Israel. She was asked if she categorically condemned that, and she answered wrongly, putting a target on her head. But the entire event looks staged, since we never get an answer to why the questioning in Congress was so slanted. At the same time, top civic leaders in Israel were calling for wiping Palestine off the map, but Gay wasn't asked about that. Some Jews in the US were saying the same thing, and I would assume some of them were on the Harvard campus. But nothing about that. Also nothing about Netanyahu and other top officials claiming it was now fair game to target civilian populations and hospitals, which was far more egregious than what some college students in the US were saying, since Netanyahu had the power to achieve that, while the college students and Gay had no power to do anything in Palestine.

In the same way, the plagiarism charges looks fake as well. I have seen a couple of the instances they are claiming, and they don't look like much to me. Don't get me wrong: I don't like Gay or her politics, but if she was going to go down it should have been for something more substantial than this. I am sure there are far scarier skeletons in her closet than this. You don't get to be president of Harvard for being a good person. For instance, her research focused on voter turnout, so we know that if she had been honest she would have blown the whistle on the entire American system, which is fraudulent and always has been. Every close election since the beginning has been stolen. Gay would also have pushed back during Covid, not allowing Harvard to be bought out by Pfizer, or to be masked or vaxxed. She didn't.

That said, her opponents in this fracas are definitely worse than she is: Larry Summers, Bill Ackman, and those guys. But remember, this is all just more Jewish theater. You can be sure that Gay was reading from a script and that she will be taken care of. No doubt she will pop up in 2024 in some more exalted position, possibly on *The View* or MSNBC. And of course the result will be that the Phoenicians will hold Harvard and all other campuses even tighter in their iron fists, using this as an excuse to crack down even more on free speech and dissent. They won't even have to pass ordinances to do it in most cases, since no doubt students will police themselves all the more now, due to peer pressure. After all the fake rapes and tortures and baby beheadings, it will be all the harder to question anything the Phoenicians do.

What about the whole Epstein saga, with the newest thing being the confirmation of Clinton on his passenger list? More misdirection, since Epstein is still alive, Ghislaine Maxwell isn't in jail, and [Clinton isn't even straight](#). Clinton could care less about Lolitas. Same as Harvey Weinstein. It is all just fake news to keep your eyes off other things. Like the rest of these people, Clinton has always just been an actor, and he has never been opposed to being dragged through the mud if such were his orders. As with the Lewinsky thing 25 year ago, this will never go anywhere, it will just grab headlines for several more years. Clinton is actually good at playing along with these farces, since he likes the attention and is a fair actor. And playing the bad guy is always a better part than the good guy. If he is really lucky, maybe they can impeach him again. You will say he isn't President anymore, but that doesn't matter. Neither was Trump during the last impeachment. In fact, none of them were *ever* Presidents, they are all just actors pretending to be. A President is a decision-making person at the head of a Republic, which doesn't describe any of them. They are all just dangling puppets.



That's one of my favorite photos of the day. That is Chicago mayor Brandon Johnson. I'm sorry, but is he aware his head is pointed? Is he aware he looks like an idiot? Answer: YES. It is his job, part of his role. CREATE DIVISION and sow hatred. You aren't supposed to like him, or any of these people. Somehow, even with the glasses and the pointed head, he still doesn't look like a pointy-headed intellectual. Maybe that is because I know something of his history. He led the ill-named “Just Housing” ordinance, which prohibited landlords from asking about the criminal history of renters. Really? How does that make any sense? Since when are criminals due anonymity? Since now, I guess: end of the world. I don't understand how that survived any lawsuits. It conflicts with an owner's right to do as he wishes with his property, within reason. Not wanting to rent your house to a murderer would fall “within reason”, I would say. Go by to pick up the rent check, get shot in the face.

And as for the whole no-fault eviction ruckus, I don't understand that, either. Just so you know, my last landlord basically evicted me no-fault, just because he wanted to sell the house. But I didn't even question it, since I agreed it was his right. What is he supposed to do, let me live there the rest of my life, though he may need to move out of state and may need the money? No, that is his right as the owner and I would never have thought to fight it. Now, if I had a three-year contract and he wanted to evict me after two years no-fault, that would be different. The only thing I can figure is that the Phoenicians are hoping to completely destroy the housing market, both sale and rent, in order to move everyone into government-owned smart cities, aka projects or concentration camps. The Chinese model the WEF so loves. No one will want to put houses up for rent in these cities, driving all people who can't qualify to buy into slums or on the street—which is what we are seeing. So although Johnson would seem to be promoting ordinances to help his people, it looks to me like he is doing the opposite.

So where should criminals live? I don't know. I am more concerned with where non-criminals should live. What I see happening is that all poor people are now treated as potential criminals, due to these stupid ordinances. A landlord in a certain income class has to just *assume* his clients are criminals, and act accordingly. To cover his bases, he has to treat everyone as a danger and a flight-risk, demanding huge deposits, proof of insurance, slanted contracts, and higher rents to cover losses.

Johnson also promoted the fake George Floyd event, using it to drive his “Justice for Black Lives” resolution to defund police and jails. He walked back that promotion somewhat in his run for mayor,

but it pegs him as a tool of the elites, driving division. Of course we already knew that, or they would never have allowed him to be elected. Anyway, I have already told you what to think of “defund the police”. No one in power, Dem or Rep, black or white, really wants to defund the police or anything else. They want ever higher funding of everything. But the best way to increase police funding is to decrease it, fake a bunch of violence, then watch as you demand big increases in funding. It is wag-the-dog 101.



What about that, which came out today in the alternative media? As I have been telling you for three years, these polls are all faked as well. Every month for the past two years they have been telling us Biden's numbers have hit an all-time low, but oddly they never change. How can something continuously drop but also stay the same? I remember that they hit 39% by late 2021, then hit 37% some time not long after. They admitted his popularity was tanking fast, and that was easy to believe just by opening your eyes, but somehow the dive stalled and they never let it go below 37%. They did what they do here: lie and claim it was 46% some months earlier (it never was after early summer 2021), so they can report it dropped to 40%. Looks bad for Joe, but really not so bad, since 40% isn't nearly as bad as the truth. The truth can be gleaned from other reports, though you have to do some digging and some math. [I did that math here](#), and the truth is Joe's popularity is **under 10%**. Dylan Mulvaney is more popular. Trannie swimmers and bikers are more popular. Herpes is more popular.

Biden couldn't win an election against a carton of spoiled cheese or a case of Menudo 8-tracks. And yet they have him running even with Trump in some polls. Biden couldn't run even with Dangerous Diaper Dan or a bag of used dentures.

The same for Kamala Harris, who is supposedly polling in the 30s. She couldn't poll in the 30s against a dead Tauntaun or a cave full of Mynox.

I have a double tack-on today. First, a lot are asking exactly what biotin and collagen I use for my hair. I use Pure Encapsulations biotin and Mercola bone broth organic collagen. Organic collagen is hard to find, but it is the way to go. Read labels carefully: some look organic at first but aren't. I take one or two collagens a day, and one biotin every two or three days. The biotins are strong, so I don't take them everyday. You could take three collagen pills a day, but they are expensive so I don't.



The other thing I have for you is a surprising movie recommendation. Some of you know I am a *Bewitched* fan, with an old crush on Samantha that goes back to about 1969. [Which you know me too well to take as a plug for Satanism.] So when the 2005 film with Nicole Kidman came out, I skipped it. I figured I would hate it. I was not a fan of Kidman, finding her beautiful but chilly, and in 2006 I was also not a fan of Will Ferrell. Also not a fan of Nora Ephron, see my bad review of *You've Got Mail*. Also not a fan of Shirley MacLaine. Plus, the reviews for *Bewitched* were awful. Everyone seemed to hate it, even the people paid to like everything. It was savaged at Rotten Tomatoes and everywhere else. But I watched it last night. . . and liked it. Hey Mikey! I know, I am as shocked as you are. I found it very watchable, more than I can say for just about every other movie I have tried to watch recently. Kidman is no replacement for Montgomery, but she is cute enough in her own way. A bit skinny, even for me, but it works. Light on the broom, I guess. A very pretty mouth, I must confess. And wardrobe played to my weakness, putting her in white skirts and little pink sweaters and things like that. Ferrell does the *Anchorman* thing, though not as stupid, and it also works. Steve Carrell is amusing as Uncle Arthur, and Michael Caine and Shirley MacLaine are also pretty well cast. Even Aunt Clara does a good job. Ephron handles the nostalgia very well, updating it without totally ruining it, at least for me. She treats the original with full deference. So I don't understand why everyone else hated it. If you hated it, don't write and tell me why, just let me enjoy it in ignorance. God knows it is rare enough.

The film also gives away a few secrets, in my opinion. In the scene where Ferrell is telling her he is rich and famous and can make things happen with the snap of his fingers, it suddenly clicked for me: *Bewitched* was never about witches or Satanism, it was about Phoenicians and Gentiles. It is the Phoenicians who can make anything happen with a twitch of the nose. Samantha doesn't want to marry a mortal, she wants to marry a Gentile: a normal, sweating, struggling mess who can't make things

happen so easily, and therefore isn't spoiled rotten. *Bewitched* is about a Phoenician giving up the inheritance and joining the middle class on purpose, in order to experience life closer to the bone. In that sense the movie doesn't really work, because Ferrell is just a different sort of Phoenician. Samantha sees that when she first meets him, but goes along with it to suit the script. The movie still works for me, just because it is cute, but it does have this basic contradiction at its heart, where the TV series didn't.

If you hate me for liking this movie, I will go back to being a movie ogre. Nicole Kidman as Virginia Woolf in *The Hours*? The worst miscast since Ingrid Bergman played Golda Meir. Worse, since at least Bergman was 67 when she played Meir. Kidman was 35.



That's what Woolf looked like before she killed herself.



There's a side-by-side. To make the transfer, they gave Kidman a wider prosthetic nose. *Wider*. How about twice as long and a huge lantern jaw and endless eyelids and a forehead half as high? And do you think Woolf had a high fluted voice like Kidman? Do you think she had a waifish body like that? And how did they make Kidman's mouth ten times uglier, with big crooked teeth? Answer: they didn't.

Why not just hire a less beautiful actress? Because that isn't how Hollywood works. They might have sold even fewer tickets that way. And Kidman won an Oscar for this?



Streep was in the same movie and I kept wondering what she would have done with the part. She was 53 at the time. Still way too beautiful to play Woolf, but infinitely better than Kidman. She has the beginnings of that nose. Did they audition the two side by side for the part? No, obviously not, since this was all pre-ordained for some reason. Is Kidman related to Woolf? I bet that is it! Will you take that bet?

OK, let's go. The first thing we find is weird misdirection, already tending to confirm it. A search on "Nicole Kidman Ethnicelebs" brings in that listing *second*, behind [ncertpoint.com](#). How can [ncertpoint.com](#) outrank it on that search? It is because [ncertpoint](#) purposely tells you nothing about her ethnicity or ancestry. Anyway, at [Ethnicelebs](#) we find she is a Gosling, [Thornton](#), MacKenzie, and Hurst. Think Ryan Gosling and Billy Bob Thornton. At [Geni.com](#) her mother is scrubbed, but in the paternal line she is also a Chambers and a Colbert. Think Steven Colbert. [Geni](#) says she is a See/Seekins, but [Ethnicelebs](#) tells us it is [Lee](#).

These rich Kidmans of Australia are related to the Abel Smiths, and yes these are *the* Smiths, bankers of Nottingham that have come up many times in my research, perhaps most famously in my paper on

the *Titanic*. These Kidmans are in the peerage, and they are also closely related to the Walpoles. Through the Walpoles and Smiths, they are related to everyone, including Perceval, Spencer, Compton, Bennett, Cavendish, **Stuart**, Bacon, Forbes, Tracey, Stanley, **Melville**, Thornton, **Leslie**, **Erskine**, Disney, Boone, Leigh/**Lee**, and Beaumont. It is through Leslie and Erskine that we first link to Virginia Woolf. Woolf's father was Sir **Leslie** Stephen, and his brother Sir James was the 1st Baronet Stephen. His wife's mother was Mary Calvert, and her brother was the 2nd Baronet Verney, who links us to the Hope-Veres and Kinnairds, who link us to everyone, including Carnegie, Dunbar, **Leslie**, Monypeny, **Erskine**, **Stuart**, Hamilton, Stirling, Bruce, Maitland, Barclay, and **Melville**. So, you just lost that bet.