Mainstream Press sicked on
Sheriff Joe Arpaio

As usual, I will tell you the third form of the story, the one you aren't hearing anywhere else. Form one of the story is that Sheriff Arpaio from Arizona county Maricopa is a hero for being the toughest sheriff in the USA. Form two of the story is that Sheriff Arpaio is a media-hungry old man who is soft on sex crime. This second form of the story erupted just this week (December 2011). Suddenly, Arpaio is being attacked from all quarters, left and right, in almost every mainstream source you can think of. Legislators and “reporters” and minor celebrities are crawling over one another to be the first to demand his resignation. He is one of the headlines of the week. You may ask yourself why. And I will tell yourself why. It is because both forms of the story are false, as usual.

Sheriff Arpaio is definitely an old man (age 79) and he is definitely tough. But the rest of these stories are manufactured. What they don't mention is that Arpaio has become a thorn in the side of some powerful people. Like a few other local sheriffs nationwide, Arpaio is standing up to the feds, telling them to mind their business. He and other sheriffs have pointed out that localities are run locally, and that the feds have no jurisdiction on most matters. [Just think of all the lives and ammunition saved if the local sheriff had been in charge of law enforcement in Waco, Texas, 1993]. In this way, the question is a variation of the 10th amendment fights that are being fought right now, not just between sheriffs and the feds, but between governors and state legislatures and the feds. This is a fight to limit the ever-growing authority of the federal government, across the board. It is a fight to re-affirm the Constitutional rights that are given to the States and the the people to run their own affairs.

But there is much more. Sheriff Arpaio has recently opened an investigation into Obama's place of birth, and he was about to release a preliminary report. He said that because those who should have done it (federal authorities, national media) didn't do investigate, it was up to local people. Can't disagree with him there. What investigation has been done by federal authorities or national media? None. Nothing. Nada. They haven't even thought to go to Kenya to question people there. We have had no investigation in Hawaii, either, unless you consider accepting a person's off-the-record statements as true an investigation. No one has been questioned under oath, no paper documents have been produced in court, no official testimony has been given or asked for. If you believe Obama has a real birth certificate, you believe it based only on what the media has told you. You have taken their word for it. If you believe it based on the photoshopped document released a few months ago, it is because you have never used photoshop. Everyone who has ever used photoshop knows that certificate was a horrible fake. You can study it, or you can ignore it, but if you ignore it you can't say you know what you are talking about. Everyone who has studied it knows it is a fake. Period. (Except those paid to lie).

Because I know that certificate is a fake, I also know that all these accusations against Arpaio coming out now are fake. Just look at the timeline: Arpaio, in office for almost 20 years with no major problems, decides to look into Obama's birth. Within six weeks, he is under investigation by the FBI, the Justice Department, and the state legislature. The form of the accusations is also a tip-off: the accusations include children and sex. That is the MO of the federal mobsters. Whenever they want to bring someone down now, they do it with allegations of sex, often involving children. They don't have to have any evidence. Just the suggestion that sex and children were involved is enough to get most people to make a snap judgment. If you read these mainstream stories, the most you get is “the FBI has evidence.” Oh, yah, so what is it? Notice how the press never gives you any evidence, they just tell
This is precisely why no one else in any position of power has investigated Obama's birth. If you begin investigating things, the CIA, FBI, Justice Department and so on begin investigating you. Just ask Eliot Spitzer, the former Governor of New York, who began investigating things (bankers). Before he could shuffle his papers, he was on all the front pages next to pictures of hookers. He is just lucky they weren't pictures of under-aged male hookers or under-aged transvestite gerbils. The media are controlled by the feds, and they can make up anything they want.

Just ask Dominique Strauss-Kahn, former head of the IMF, who got crossways with the government mobsters, and who is still fighting allegations of rape. DSK is a banker himself, but he disagreed with the plan to further destroy European hegemony (he is French), and he was taken down. DSK was powerful enough to fight the (first round of) allegations, and he won, but it is doubtful Arpaio has the connections that DSK does.

Now I will tell you what to learn from this. No, let me tell you what I learned from it. That is more precise. What you will learn depends on what you want to know. I am just giving you hints of how to do your own research, if you want to do your own research. If you don't, you won't learn anything about anything. What I learned is a fuller list of media sources not to trust. Since I can tell that a press memo was sent from on high out into all the media sources, left and right, I can now scan those media to see who chose to print these allegations, based on no evidence. In other words, I can tell who is best linked up to the propaganda hose. I can tell which magazines and stations are the go-to magazines and stations for the current psychological operations. I can then take my little stamp and stamp those media "compromised," "do not trust."

One of those is Mother Jones, which is sold as a liberal or progressive mag. I have known for quite a while that MJ is compromised, but this proves it once more in pretty spectacular fashion. Here is the link* to the MJ story on Arpaio, which is utter misdirection. We should remember that name, Tim Murphy, too, and put him in the list of paid propagandists, with Anderson Cooper, Steven Milloy, Jim Meigs, Chip Berlet and thousands of others.

I lead with Mother Jones because some people haven't yet realized that even these progressive mags have been taken over by the CIA. After the Congressional hearings in the 1970's (Church Committee), everyone should have known that the CIA was controlling the big sources, like the New York Times, TIME magazine, Newsweek, the Washington Post, Los Angeles Times, and so on. Why? Because they admitted it. It is on the Congressional Record. But for some reason, a lot of people assumed that smaller sources were left alone. It turns out that is false. Popular Mechanics, Scientific American, American Thinker, Mother Jones, and almost all other magazines and newspapers in print are completely or mostly controlled.

And, yes, this includes online sources like Huffington Post, Salon, Wikipedia, Yahoo, Snopes and so on. Some of these were semi-independent in the beginning, but as soon as they became popular, they got a memo. You can assume that any source that is getting a lot of hits is pre-read, censored, and often ghost-written by the government. One of the few exceptions there, I assume, is Infowars, which gets a lot of hits. I can't see how the information there benefits the government, so I assume it is independent. But it is hard to tell. The government likes to control both sides of every argument. I no longer trust Counterpunch, for instance, due to Cockburn's position on 911. Once we know the truth, we can see who is lying.
A larger list of sources compromised by this Arpaio story includes:
The Arizona Republic
Huffington Post
NPR
Los Angeles Times
Phoenix NewTimes
ThinkProgress
San Francisco Chronicle
Facebook
ABC News
Alternet
Global Post
Daily Kos
Yahoo
Fox News
Tucson Citizen
Conspiracy Planet
Little Green Footballs
Third Age
Gawker
Democratic Underground
Associated Press, John McCain, Jon Kyl

Basically, just remember what your Daddy told you about believing what you read. A very large percentage of the media is no more than paid advertising for the government, or paid protection for the government. Any time you see a bunch of people piling on one guy, you should be very suspicious about what they say of him. I won't compare Arpaio to Jesus, but Arpaio is being piled on in a suspicious manner. You should look into a bit more deeply.

Addendum, December 22, 2011: Amy Goodman just had a show today on NPR, allowing all these allegations against Arpaio to be aired with no questions asked about the timing of charges. In other words, Goodman didn't think to ask if this had something to do with Arpaio's investigation of Obama. That would be the first question I would ask any guest on my radio program. Although Goodman does some good work, she often gets railroaded into these mainstream propaganda stories. Whether this is due to a lack of research on her part, a continued naivete (despite the fact that she should now know better, after being roughed up by the New World Order goons), or whether it is because she is actually a shill, I can't yet say. She acted strangely with David Ray Griffin several years ago, regarding 911, and that was the first time she was suspected of being a government operative. If she is a gov op, she is well disguised.