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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The political and cultural 'right' has always been a movement based on traditional values and, in general, on conventional Christian attitudes. This naturally included respect for the special role of the heterosexual family as the foundation stone of society. This in turn has led on to opposition to the cultural Marxist-led campaign to promote homosexuality as a 'valid lifestyle choice'. Recent years have, however, seen the appearance of more and more 'right-wing' homosexuals, particularly motivated by opposition to Islamisation on the grounds that it is "bad for gays". The so-called 'Alt-Right' in particular is now coming to resemble a 'gay mafia'. This is true not only of its 'liberal' wing, sometimes known as the 'Alt-Lite', but also of its hard-right fringe. Can such a phenomenon truly be described as being of the 'right' at all, or is it in fact nothing more than a new brand of liberalism seeking to hijack traditionalist resistance to the threat posed by Islamism? This study examines the homosexualisation of the 'right' and places the phenomenon in the context of a broader effort to capture and exploit the growing grass-roots resistance to the globalist assault on tradition and identity.

PERSONAL INTRODUCTION BY THE AUTHOR

The facts revealed in this book will deeply shock many people. Some are frankly hard even to believe, but all are true. So the first thing I must do is to urge you to take nothing for granted. I have provided extensive references and I urge you to check them for yourself. This is why this study is extensively referenced. Do your own research and confirm for yourself that it is all true. Don't be a sheep! Read, think, investigate and make up your own mind!

Readers who have previously been sympathetic to some of the characters discussed here, perhaps agreeing with the common sense spoken on their video platforms, may well find the truths contained herein particularly disturbing. I can only impress on them the need to judge people not so much by what they say but by what they do. If we judged people by their words, then George Bush and Tony Blair would be highly regarded as great leaders but, as always, "by their fruits shall ye know them."

In particular, when examining those whose Alt-Right stance has given them lucrative careers as vloggers, podcasters and Twitter personalities, a good starting point is to ask how much of their massive incomes they are ploughing back into the movement? Throughout my five years as a Member of the European Parliament I gave, as I had pledged, a Biblical 10% tithe of my pre-tax income, plus...
thousands of pounds from my surplus expenses, to good causes in my constituency.

Some of the individuals named in this study earn very much more from their Alt-Right activities than I ever did, but what do they give to others? What do they give back to the Cause that funds their comfortable lifestyles? Why do so many hide behind pseudonyms? How much of their fire and passion is genuine, and to what extent are they just telling people what they want to hear, making fortunes by selling easy answers and false hope? I call on them to do the right thing.

I have not enjoyed researching and writing this book. I have in the past worked with several of those who I criticise for the company they keep and the direction they have taken, and it is uncomfortable to have to do so. But I have a duty to speak out, just as they have a duty to wake up and do the right thing from now on.

Anyone who observes the spread of homosexualism within the movement, and fails to speak out against it, thereby becomes an accessory to the predatory crimes of pederasts against the idealistic and naïve adolescent boys who get involved. To see, and not to speak, would be the great betrayal.

I will no doubt be condemned for criticising the young women of the Alt-Right, since it is only natural for men everywhere to appreciate the combination of looks, charm and the common sense that they so often speak. But when I was their age my wife and I already had three of our four children. My two eldest children are now their age, and they each have three children of their own. If these often very pretty and intelligent young women do not change course and settle down quickly, it will simply be too late for them to do the same.

Given that the very heart of the existential crisis of the West is demographic, that would be a loss to our people as well as a personal tragedy for them and their parents, who will miss out on the unique joy of being grandparents. Perhaps they will resent the message at present, for it is in the nature of youth to feel immortal and ageless, but they need to hear and heed it all the same.

Some people who stood with me when I was Britain’s most notorious “Islamophobe” may briefly wonder if I have ‘gone soft on Islam’. The answer is that I am as opposed to the Islamification of the West as I have ever been. But that does not remove from me the duty to oppose and expose those who want us to fight Islam, not in order to protect our peoples, homelands and traditional values and faith, but merely to use our sons and our taxes to do their dirty work in the Middle East.

I was speaking out about the problems and screaming injustices done to innocent people in Britain by the elite’s immigration and Islamification projects while most of those now making a good living on the back of the same issues were still well-behaved little liberals. My family and I paid the price for it in three gruelling Crown Court trials, under laws that state that “the truth is no defence”, and in countless experiences of persecution, intimidation and violence.

It is good that others are now speaking out; I wish the many who are sincere all the best. But I cannot sit back while the knaves among them twist my words to make a trap for fools.

In particular, I do not have the right to stay silent while they present Islam as the only problem and tell the many good people who worry about it that all will be well if they only send them a donation or sign their petition to ban the burqa. Because the Islamification we all oppose is only a symptom of a far deep sickness in the body and soul of the West. And while people are fixated on the admittedly unpleasant symptom then they will not see or face, let alone cure, the cancer.

Islam, and immigration more generally, is only filling a void created by liberalism and by the loss of faith and of confidence in our own society. By the refusal of our elites to be true to our traditional values, by the refusal of so many of our people to have children.

Let me be blunt: If the choice was between some of the repulsive creatures exposed in this book and fundamentalist Islam, then I would rather see my grandchildren grow up in a land ruled by the Koran than by these deviants, or by those who are insistent
that we must ‘tolerate’ them forcing their anti-values and behaviour on every child in the country.

Fortunately, that is not the choice. We can reject both Islamification and the liberalism and the vested interests which opened the gates of the West to its barbarism.

I will put this very plainly: It is true that the predators among the Muslims, the hate-filled followers of arguably heretical Wahhabism, want your daughters. But the homosexualists want your sons, and the liberals want to abort both your sons and your daughters, because they aim to replace you and your people in your own homeland.

To hell with them all! Our peoples have the right to their places under God’s sun; we have the right and the duty to preserve the traditions and the Christian faith of our forebears. And understanding the true nature not only of our open enemies, but also of false ‘friends’, is the first step on the long road ahead.

**INTRODUCTION**

For decades, ‘right-wing’ ideas were crushingly portrayed by the liberal media as ‘uncool’ and the preserve of an elderly minority of reactionary ‘Colonel Blimps’ and foul-mouthed ‘Alf Garnets’ and ‘Archie Bunkers’. Recently, however, growing numbers of young people are getting actively involved in the opposition to the leftist-backed Islamisation of the West.

Traditionalists, including Christians, patriots and nationalists, naturally welcome new movements such as the self-styled Counter-Jihad and the Alt-Right as intellectual and spiritual ‘comrades in arms’ against both Islamism and the far-left.

A closer examination, however, shows that this is one of those times when it is wise to recall the old adage that “my enemy’s enemy is not necessarily my friend”.

Various experienced observers have pointed out that a ‘Counter-Jihad’ which is based on the vested interests of the American military-industrial complex or the most extreme and racist Zionist fringe is not automatically going in fact to have an agenda which is in the best interests of Christians in the Middle East, or of the peoples of Europe or of European stock.

This Intelligence Report exposes and examines the increasing dominance of the Alt-Right by homosexualists and their pro-gay fellow travellers. In doing so, it scans the entire Alt-Right spectrum, in broad terms from its ‘left’ to its ‘far-right’, and it finds the same trend across the board. It also notes an even more disturbing trend towards Satanism.

The study goes on to investigate who is behind this phenomenon, and whether this hijacking is good or bad for the traditionalists who still make up the overwhelming bulk of the movement for national sovereignty, identity and the survival and freedom of our peoples?

In particular, given that the problems of mass immigration and the Islamification of the West have at their very root a demographic crisis created by the policies and dogmas of liberalism, is it possible that they can be ‘cured’ by an additional dose of liberalism and decadence, injected into the heart of the traditionalist resistance?

If you think so, then there’s really no point you reading any further: Stop wasting your time and don’t come back and waste ours. But if you, like us, are troubled by the homosexualist hijacking of the ‘right’, then read on, find out more, and then do something about it!

This study also has one final aim: There are significant number of straight young men and women involved in the Alt-Right at points all along its spectrum. Many are involved with the very best possible intentions and, up until now, have been entirely in the dark as to the sinister forces and powerful vested interest groups pouring money and effort into leading the movement into a blind alley of exploitation and impotence.

If this book wakes up just a few of them, making them realise that the ‘gay-right’ agenda is not some clever and harmless tactic to overcome demonisation, but a fundamental and very dangerous error, then it will be well worthwhile.
As we note further on in the text, our study involves a multitude of personalities and factions, many of them mutually hostile and some of them strange and extremely divisive characters. Even while researching and writing this, various changes, fallings out and several entertaining catfights have necessitated updating several sections.

By the time you read this, more changes will have occurred and more facts will have emerged. What you have here is therefore a snapshot. It’s a bit like looking through a pink kaleidoscope which gets a new twist every day. The pattern changes, but the dominant colour stays the same.

THE ‘GAY’ TAKEOVER

The Alt-Right is far from being a single entity. The term is used by or applied to a wide sweep of political views, ranging from libertarians and Zionists through Trump supporters, America Firsters and white separatists, and then on to white supremacists and the outright Nazis who popularised the Alt-Right’s distinctive Pepe the Frog meme.

As Milo Yiannopoulos and fellow Breitbart writer Allum Bokhari wrote in their essay, *An Establishment Conservative’s Guide to the Alt-Right*: “There are a myriad of agreements between its supporters over what they should build, but virtual unity over what they should destroy.”

The left and the mainstream liberal media like—often for dishonest propaganda purposes, sometimes out of sheer ignorance—to present them all as essentially the same. In terms of the actual views and aims of all those on the Alt-Right spectrum, that simply is not true. But the hugely disparate factions of the Alt-Right do indeed tend to share one more factor above their common detestation for leftists and hostility to Islamism: Their enthusiasm for, or tolerance of, homosexualism.

I use the term ‘homosexualism’ as opposed to ‘homosexuality’ quite deliberately. Because the Alt-Right’s tolerance goes far beyond not having ‘anti-gay’ witch-hunts, beyond the old “Don’t Ask, Don’t Tell” position, whereby the fact that an individual could be a homosexual is regarded as a non-issue in return for their not publicly flaunting the fact. ‘Homosexualism’, by contrast, involves making an issue of it, turning it from a shameful vice into a loudly proclaimed modern ‘virtue’. To put it bluntly, waving it in our faces.
Ideologically and politically, the different strands of the ‘Alt-Right’ are so disparate that it is almost absurd to try to pin a common label on them. As this study shows, the only thing many of them really have in common is the position of many of their leading lights on same-sex relationships – apart from their opposition to Islam, which seems in many cases to be a reaction to Islam’s hostility to homosexuality.

MILO YIANNOPOULOS

Let us start with perhaps the internationally best-known ‘member’ of the Alt-Right, the British-born provocateur Milo Yiannopoulos, who ‘married’ his Afro-American boyfriend in September 2017.

Milo is an upper-class, self-proclaimed Catholic of Greek, Irish and Jewish heritage [1]. Intelligent, articulate and clearly possessing considerable personal courage, this self-confessed homosexual has in the past even said that gays should “get back in the closet”. More recently, however, he has become more and more outrageous and has become a figurehead for the ‘gay Alt-Right’.

Milo’s outspoken criticism of Islam and of its thuggish far-left apologists made him something of a cult figure among ‘right-wing’ students in Britain and the USA. He also became the Tech editor of the hugely influential right-wing news portal, Breitbart.

One episode of The Milo Yiannopoulos Show in particular gave a valuable insight into the process at work in turning gay intellectuals to the ‘right’. Immediately after the Islamist massacre at the homosexual nightclub in Orlando, Yiannopoulos sat down to talk with fellow homosexual British writer, journalist, and neocon think-tank spokesman Douglas Murray. [2]

“I wanted to get you on the show this because I’m sat here in a hotel room… sitting here in Orlando, in Florida, where a Muslim gunman has just executed 50 homosexuals in a nightclub, and injured another 50,” said Yiannopoulos after welcoming Murray to the show.

“Barack Obama took to the air immediately afterwards and spoke more about gun control than he did about radical Islam, which is to say he didn’t mention radical Islam at all,” he continued. “Why is it we’re hearing so little from the mainstream liberal
media about the real reasons for these sorts of crimes. Why do they keep making excuses?”

Yiannopoulos and Murray also discussed “how the left is betraying homosexuals.”

Even while working for the supposedly conservative Breitbart, however, Milo went out of his way to shock and provoke, and arguably to subvert the traditional right-wing by mocking the church to which he claimed to belong, approving of immigration (as long as it involved non-Muslims) and blatantly flaunting his homosexuality.

Despite this ‘right-liberal’ position, leftist liberals were outraged when Milo announced that he had signed a book deal with, and was to receive a $250,000 advance for, his autobiography – Dangerous – courtesy of publishers Simon & Schuster.

Then, however, he made a provocation too far during an internet livestream and circulated in an edited video on Twitter.

Leftists with a long history of wanting to lower the age of consent rather hypocritically, but wholly accurately, complained that Milo was endorsing sex between “younger boys” and older men’

The American Conservative Union rescinded a high-profile invitation to Yiannopoulos to speak at its annual CPac conference over this “offensive video … condoning paedophilia”. Matt Schlapp, the ACU chairman, said Yiannopoulos’ response was “insufficient” and urged him to “immediately further address these disturbing comments”.

Simon & Schuster promptly cancelled the publication contract, while his fellow Breitbart employees threatened to quit if he is not fired, leading to his resignation from the post, while claiming lamely that his comments had been “taken out of context”.

Let us be blunt though, for this brings us to the very crux of the matter: Homosexuality is not a ‘victimless crime’. There is a close and scientifically proven link between homosexuality and pederasty. The truth is remarkably similar to the Counter-Jihad’s dictum that “Not all Muslims are terrorists, but nearly all terrorists are Muslims” because, while not all homosexuals are pederasts, most pederasts are homosexual.

This document is not the place for a lengthy explanation of this fact, but among the studies supporting this assertion, homosexual Alfred Kinsey, the preeminent sexual researcher in the
history of sexual research, found in 1948 that 37 percent of all male homosexuals admitted to having sex with children under 17 years old.

More recently a study published in the Archives of Sexual Behavior (2000) found that “The best epidemiological evidence indicates that only 2-4% of men attracted to adults prefer men. In contrast, around 25-40% of men attracted to children prefer boys. Thus, the rate of homosexual attraction is 620 times higher among pedophiles.” [4]

The entire Counter-Jihad movement repeatedly criticises Islam as paedophilic for its support for marriage between adult men and pubescent girls, and for the widespread silence of Muslims on the scandal of grooming gangs targeting young girls for sex.

This criticism is of course absolutely correct and justified. But it is also deeply hypocritical if those making it refuse in turn to criticise homosexuals for their involvement in or silence over the targeting of pubescent boys.

Muslim groomers want your daughters; homosexual chickenhawks want your sons. How is one less evil or less dangerous to the future demography of the West? And the homosexualists and the liberals are forcing pro-gay and ‘gender’ indoctrination programmes in virtually every junior school in the West to help them get them.

I challenge the Alt-Right to condemn both these evils with the same vigour.

BREITBART AND STEVE BANNON

Breitbart’s other best known figure, co-founder Steve Bannon, is also regarded by the liberal mainstream as being a member of the Alt-Right. The architect of Donald Trump’s election victory, who later lost the tussle for the President’s ear to the neocon clique around Jared Kushner, is a much more orthodox Catholic than Milo. As such he holds traditional Christian views on key issues such as abortion and the importance of the family.

Quoted in an article on Buzzfeed, former Breitbart staffer Austin Ruse, a long-time Catholic conservative activist who heads the Center for Family and Human Rights, said he thinks Bannon would feel strongly about cases like “if a Catholic NGO was made to violate their beliefs in the name of honoring gay rights. But the editorial line at Breitbart was to attack LGBT rights activists, but not weigh into fights over homophobia itself. [5]

“The thing about Breitbart and LGBT – and this was discussed – we don’t want to be mean to gays; we want to expose them when they’re being bullies,” said Ruse.

This is not the most natural fit for Ruse, who has lamented that conservatives have stopped campaigning against sodomy as a social ill or alleging a connection between homosexuality and pedophilia. But unlike older social conservative media, Ruse told BuzzFeed News, Breitbart is not so concerned with fighting over the morality of homosexuality or even bolstering efforts to reverse marriage equality after the Supreme Court’s 2015 ruling.

BREITBART AND THE MERCERS

Breitbart has close links with the secretive hedge fund billionaire Robert Mercer and his family. The hugely popular ‘Alt-Lite’ social media news site is supported by Mercer both with funds and shared offices with his Glittering Steel production company. Mercer also owns Cambridge Analytica, the political data firm which was heavily involved both in the Brexit campaign (he is friendly with Nigel Farage) and the Trump victory. [6]

Much of the day-to-day running of Robert Mercer’s
often very constructive conservative campaigning is overseen by his eldest daughter, Rebekah, who runs the family’s foundation and served on the executive committee of the Trump transition team. In September 2016, Politico described her as “the most powerful woman in GOP politics.”

“Rebekah Mercer loves Milo,” said a source familiar with both Yiannopoulos and the Mercers, of the eldest Mercer daughter. “They always stood behind him, and their support never wavered.”

This even included the period immediately after Yiannopoulos had to resign from Breitbart after revealing his sympathy for pederasty. Why a traditionally right-wing Christian and family man such as Robert Mercer should be sympathetic to such un-Christian deviancy is unexplained.

Quite possibly, he just leaves the decisions to his socially liberal daughter and doesn’t even think about it. If so, he and others like him need to think again and to make a point of standing up for their traditionalist position. Because liberalism cannot be defeated by more liberalism.

Less than a week after resigning in disgrace, Milo had this to say in an email titled “Entity” and addressed to a handful of staffers, his lawyer, and Breitbart Editor-in-Chief Alex Marlow mentioned the Mercers as funders of his new venture:

“We must aggressively start researching what kind of entity we set up that can be invested with my IP, run my core operations and accept Series A funding (Mercers plus potentially others).”

The same day, in an email titled “NDAs” and addressed to his attorney, Marlow, and others, Yiannopoulos wrote, “I have 21 and 22 year olds working in proximity to and even potentially communicating directly with the Mercers, outside the cover of Breitbart or GS contracts...I can’t wait any longer to issue NDAs, even if they’re not perfect and have to be replaced later.”

GS here refers to Glittering Steel, a Mercer-funded production company that shares an address with Breitbart News.

Given how far this is from the traditional American Christian right’s total rejection of homosexuality, it is possible to see Breitbart’s editorial position as deliberately deceptive - the very start of the Alt-Right’s pro-gay continuum, the thin end of a ‘gay wedge,’ designed to shift the position of the entire ‘right’ on this subject.
An example of this approach is provided by this article by Breitbart’s London staffer, lapsed Muslim Raheem Kassam, who, while criticising the blatant excesses of the LGBT lobby, frequently criticises Islam for its ‘homophobia’.

For several months after his pederasty outburst, Milo adopted a low profile. He seems to have used the time to write his book. His announcement of its imminent appearance promptly sparked a small orgy of sycophantic support among the more openly liberal sections of the Alt-Right.

Based Stickman (of whom more follows), for example, is a fan, and will no doubt threaten to take his stick to anyone reminding the world that Milo is a fan of older men having sex with adolescent boys. This appears in part to be payment-in-kind for Milo’s enthusiasm for Based Stickman, as evidenced by this screenshot from Yiannopoulos’ own blog:

Apart from major investments by the Mercers, Breitbart’s other key source of funding for its rapid expansion as a major alternative news outlet was Breitbart’s “friend Aubrey Chernick”.

Aubrey Chernick and his wife are among the biggest funders of the global neo-con and ultra-Zionist network. As well as Breitbart, they plough millions of dollars every year into organisations such as the Horowitz Freedom Centre, the Henry Jackson Society (explored in more detail below), Pajamas Media, Frank Gaffney’s Center for Security Policy (CSP) and many others. [7]

To close the circle, and again to highlight just how much all this is interlinked, note that Gaffney’s CSP provided the backroom manpower and the money to create the English Defence League, complete with its Gay Division. [8]

Frank Gaffney was a key apparatchik for the Project for the New American Century – the hugely powerful think-tank that led the successful campaign to drag America and Britain into the disastrous Bush/Blair war in Iraq – and for Dick Cheney at corporate giant war profiteer Halliburton.

Now running the Center for Security Policy, his myriad of front groups – including the Gates of Vienna, complete with the counter-jihad version of the IRA’s Green Book, in which British police are strangely uninterested – were also financed with the help of Irving Moskowitz.
Together with Nina Rosenwald, whose role we will examine shortly, Casino king Moskowitz funded illegal ‘Greater Israel’ settlements on the West Bank and in east Jerusalem. [9] For the purposes of this study, however, the key factor is this clique’s central involvement with funding the cats’-cradle of alternative media outlets which continuously promote a ‘gay-friendly’ anti-Islamism in the West.

POWER GRAB ON THE UK’S ‘SOFT RIGHT’

Milo’s friendship with Douglas Murray leads us to the next part of the saga of the homosexualisation of the ‘right’, specifically to the remarkable degree of ‘gay liberalism’ in the UK Independence Party.

This is despite Ukip’s position as the main receptacle for the massive British traditionalist vote that saw Brexit as the best way to retaliate against the political elite’s entire liberal project, in which mass immigration and an obsession about LGBTQ+ issues go hand-in-hand with European Union integration as part of the left’s Long War on national identity and Christian values.

“ZIONISM” – A FACT, NOT A CODEWORD

Zionism is the name of the idea that the Jews should have their own nation state. It was founded by Theodore Herzl, a Jewish theorist who adapted 19th century European nationalist and colonialist ideas to apply to his people.

Several different places were considered for the Jewish homeland, but in the end, everyone (except the indigenous Palestinians, Christian and Muslim alike) agreed on Israel. Not all Zionists are Jews. Winston Churchill and Adolf Hitler, for example, were both Zionists – the former because he greatly admired Jews and believed that they had as much right to their own state as any other people; the latter because he hated them and believed they were subversive parasites who should be thrown out of Germany.

Zionism is a real and important phenomenon in global politics; it is not a codeword for ‘Jews’, nor
is it even a single line of thought. Not all Jews are Zionists. Many Orthodox Jews believe that only God has the right to re-establish Israel, so regard the present Israeli state as blasphemous.

Zionism includes all points on the left-right political spectrum. There are many moderate, left-wing Zionists. At the extreme right end of the ideology, the multi-millionaires behind some of the initiatives examined in this book are followers of versions of the ‘revisionist’ wing of Zionism and of Israeli parties that are hard-line that, if they were anywhere in Europe, would be regarded as fascist.

Most of the Zionists exposed in this study believe in Eretz Israel. This is a racist Greater Israel that should be ruled by Jews and only inhabited by Jews. They regard war and ethnic cleansing as legitimate means to that end.

While often holding non-Jews – sometimes referred to as ‘goyim’, meaning ‘cattle’ – in racist contempt, these people are happy to incite, manipulate and stampede public opinion into support for their wars and quarrels. This is what lies behind their promotion of the ‘counter-jihad’.

Very often, however, these extremists reserve their most bitter loathing for the large numbers of moderate Jews, both in Israel and in the world, who seek peace with Israel’s Arab neighbours.

Further evidence of the ignorance or dishonesty of Alt-Right anti-Semitic conspiracy theorists when they seek sell their simplistic “it’s the Jews” line, is provided by the brave and well-informed work of individual Jews such as Seymour Hersh. A long-time critic of American militarist aggression, Hersh exposed the warmongering alliance between the CIA and Sunni Muslim and Zionist extremism (which led directly to the creation of ISIS) in a far-sighted study, The Redirection, published in the New Yorker in 2007.

Thus when this book refers to ‘Zionists’, the word must be read as intended; it refers to this powerful, manipulative but largely unrepresentative fringe, and not to those who follow less extreme versions of Zionism, still less to Jewish people in general.

1 Eretz Israel – http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Greater_Israel
2 Goyim – Mas. Shabbath 31b: On the house of the Goy [Goy means unclean, and is the disparaging term for a non-Jew] one looks as on the fold of cattle.
3 http://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2007/03/05/the-redirection – http://archive.is/0zlPz
Even while Nigel Farage was leader, there were hints that, behind the right-wing vote-harvesting anti-PC bravado the reality of the party was much closer to the liberal mainstream than was usually admitted. As well as favouring immigrants from Africa and Asia over Christian East Europeans, Farage appointed one Peter Whittle as Ukip’s Culture spokesman.

Born and educated in south London, Whittle worked for some years in California before returning to Britain. In 2006 he founded the New Culture Forum think-tank, with the help of publicity and backing from the Henry Jackson Society, with whom it shares a number of keynote speakers and advisors. [10]

The HJS is one of the most influential trans-Atlantic think tanks. It has a strong neocon agenda, aiming to pull the American ‘right’ away from its traditional isolationist position and towards ‘liberal interventionism’ (their phrase for using Americans’ taxes and soldiers in counter-productive foreign policy adventures such as the Iraq war, on behalf of their military-industrial complex and Eretz Israel billionaire sponsors).

Its founding Statement of Principles was signed by, almost the entire powerful neocon clique which took America and Britain into the Iraq War on the basis of fake ‘intelligence’. They include Richard Perle, William Kristol, Irwin Stelzer and the founder of the Project for the New American Century (PNAC) Robert Kagan. [11] In the UK, it has close ties to the neocon clique around Tory Minister Michael Gove.

The Henry Jackson Society’s other great foreign policy obsessions, are promoting war on Iran and confronting Russia in her own backyard. In both cases, on top of the financial and geopolitical considerations, the HJS’s heavily ‘gay’ intellectual cadres (many recruited at Cambridge University, in a sort of mirror image of the old Communist internationalism) appear to be driven emotionally to their hyper-aggressive attitude to Russia and Iran by these traditionalist powers’ less than favourable attitude to homosexuality.

DOUGLAS MURRAY & THE HENRY JACKSON SOCIETY

In Britain, the HJS pushes the same warmongering agenda, but its main media spokesman Douglas Murray is also a vocal opponent of both Islamism and the ‘far-right’. Once again, the openly gay Murray appears to be influenced in his political choices by considerations of the perceived self-interest of homosexuals.

Author of Neoconservatism: Why we need it, self-confessed atheist Murray has been Associate Director at The Henry Jackson Society since joining it in April 2011. Before then he founded the Centre for Social Cohesion, whose main criticism of radical Islam is that it hinders the ‘integration,’ i.e. the socially engineered transformation, of Britain into the secular, mixed-race, metrosexual society which Murray and his globalist financial backers believes is its destiny.
The Centre for Social Cohesion was in turn set up in 2007 by the right-wing neo-liberal think-tank Civitas, with funding of £274,669. To this day, Peter Whittle’s New Culture Forum shares offices with Civitas at 55 Tufton Street, London SW1 – next door to the Centre for Policy Studies. [12] This pattern of closely connected groups pushing the same agenda from apparently different angles is one which emerges throughout this study. The ideological and moral corruption of the traditionalist ‘right’ by hyper-liberal globalism is not an accident.

We have already noted Douglas Murray’s friendship with Milo Yiannopoulos, but Murray has also long been an intellectual cheer-leader [13] for the EDL’s Tommy Robinson. This strange connection between delicate intellectual and working class street activist may at first look like a bit of rough trade fantasy, but in fact there is a much deeper connection.

As well as their common concerns about Islam and enthusiasm for social liberalism, including multiculturalism the two men’s respective organisations were both heavily funded and organised, through a network of think-tanks and front groups, thanks to thegenerosity of the same American-based Zionist billionaires. [14]

To give just one example, among HJS’ funders is the Abstraction Fund, whose president and treasurer is Sears Roebuck heiress Nina Rosenwald. [15] She and a coterie of like-minded ultra-Zionist billionaires also fund the network of Counter-Jihad operations [16] centered around Gatestone, Geert Wilders, Daniel Pipes, Frank Gaffney and Pamela Geller (seen here telling a ‘Gays Against Sharia’ rally shortly after the Orlando massacre that “Pro-gay equals anti-Sharia”).

Of particular note is former PNAC member Frank Gaffney’s neocon Center for Security Policy (CSP), whose subsidiary Center for Vigilant Freedom employed Chris Knowles, one of the shadowy figures who organised the founding and equipping of the supposedly ‘grass-roots’ and pro-gay EDL. [17]

Thanks to funding from the same clique, a vast network of apparently grass-roots and independent academic groups work tirelessly to exploit natural and self-defensive concern about the Islamification of the West, and to turn it into pressure for more of the very Western military involvement in the Middle East which helped create Al Qaeda and Islamic State, and hence the refugee crisis, in the first place.

A good example of the scale of the network, which also shows the extent to which it is connected with the fascist politics of Israel’s Likud hard-liners, is provided by the endless stream of ‘Clips for the Counter-Jihad’. These are churned out by a team of translators from the Hasbara counter-jihad sub-section for distribution on sites such as the VladTepesBlog. Interestingly, Ezra Levant’s Rebel Media heads the roll of recommended blogs that concludes each video.

Like similar offerings from the closely related MEMRI operation, these videos very often cover subjects of real interest and importance to everybody.
concerned with the threat of Islamification, whether or not they are sympathetic to the vested interests of the Hasbara network.

Some of their outlets even profess to take a traditional Christian stance, but the moment you look at the people and operations they promote, you are back at square one and surrounded by homosexualists. As always, it is more instructive to take notice less of what people say than of what they do and who they associate with.

In producing anti-Islamist material, however, those responsible are no doubt aware of the potential inadvertently to unleash a genuinely right-wing Christian or nationalist movement; one which would be aware of and resistant not just to Islamification, but also to extremist Likudnik Zionism, whose interests and activities are very often at odds with those of Christians, both in the Middle East and in the West.

This at least partly explains their decision to push multi-culturalism and ‘gay rights’ to the forefront of the ‘Counter-Jihad’. It’s an ideal way of scaring off and shutting out the ‘far-right’ and Bible-true Christians, who are repulsed by these positions. Additionally, members of the public mobilised to anti-Islamist involvement on such a basis are likely to be ‘inoculated’ against being ‘infected’ by such genuinely anti-liberal ideas.

NEW CULTURE FORUM
Peter Whittle's New Culture Forum is just one among the incestuous network of groups created and employed to such purposes, as well as to promote profitable elite ideas such as privatisation. In a March 2009 presentation ConservativeHome editor Tim Montgomerie described the NCF as “part of the infrastructure of the conservative movement in Britain.”

No-one appears to have questioned how a self-confessed atheist such as Whittle can really be a guardian of culture, or “part of the infrastructure”, of a genuine conservative movement in a nation whose cultural identity is intimately and inextricably linked in with Christianity. Perhaps the crucial word there is ‘genuine’.

Whittle (above, right) is closely associated with key members of the large-C Conservative establishment, including Michael Gove and other members of the hugely influential neocon Policy Exchange think-tank. Despite this, however, he was adopted under Farage as Ukip's candidate as Mayor of London and its lead candidate for the London Assembly.

Openly gay, Whittle was the only LGBT candidate currently selected by any of the parties for the 2016 Mayoral election. [18]

Although a distant fifth in the mayoral race, Whittle was elected as an Assembly Member. Whittle's personal hostility to Vladimir Putin (who he has described as ‘deeply unsavoury’) is another example of homosexuals finding it hard to disentangle their personal lives from politics.

Whereas leftist causes including gay partnerships were championed by the Bolshevik regime, Putin's re-Christianised Russia puts increasing emphasis on traditional values and family rights. As such, while homosexuality is tolerated, Putin enacted a law to protect children from pro-homosexual and ‘gender’ propaganda. This is seen by thin-skinned ‘gay rights’ campaigners as one step from putting their Russian counterparts into concentration camps, hence their hysterical hatred of Putin's Russia.

Despite this difference of opinion with Nigel Farage, and being so out-of-step with most Ukip members and supporters, Whittle became the Deputy Leader of the UK Independence Party in November 2016.

He even went on to be a candidate in the 2017 Ukip leadership race, caused by the resignation of Nigel
Farage’s successor Paul Nuttall after the party’s disastrous collapse in the 2017 general election.

There were reports that Whittle and his rival for the Ukip crown, Anne-Marie Waters, considered a deal to consolidate their chances, with one agreeing to accept the post of Deputy leader in return for withdrawing and offering the other their support.

In the end, however, the deal failed to materialise and both lost.

If Peter Whittle would be a strange choice to lead an essentially socially conservative and English-based party such as Ukip, then the lesbian former left-wing Labour activist [19] and “secular fundamentalist” Anne-Marie Waters would have been nothing short of bizarre. However, her allies in the Counter-Jihad movement put a significant amount of effort into trying to make it happen.

Waters is a militant atheist. Formerly a spokesperson for the National Secular Society she was involved in a campaign to stop the state funding of Church of England primary schools. [20]

Her anti-Christian prejudice also came into play when she co-signed a letter to the Guardian in September 2010 which opposed the British state visit of the Pope on the grounds of his opposition to contraception and abortion, and in particular for “opposing equal rights for lesbians, gay, bisexual and transgender people”.

One of her co-signatories, Maryam Namazie, a member of the Central Committee of the Iranian Workers Communist Party, provided Anne-Marie’s personal reference to Brighton Labour Party when she applied to be chosen as a parliamentary candidate in 2010.

Waters went on to become a leading figure in the anti-Islamist Pegida movement.

In 2016, together with her ally Tommy Robinson, she made a serious effort to incite anti-Islamists including Britain First and the KTI to organise groups of young men to go into Muslim areas waving placards carrying obscene cartoon of Muhammad having sex with goats and pigs. When told that such actions could start riots in which dozens of people might be killed, or even trigger civil war, both she and Robinson said that this was the whole idea. [21]

Curiously, when this insane provocation was reported to the police, Britain’s security forces – usually obsessed with ‘far-right extremists’ and quick to arrest and jail anyone seen near a mosque with a bacon sandwich – did not even bother to return phone calls or to take a statement.
In September 2017, Waters came second in the UKip leadership race. The support she received from outside the party failing to overcome the traditionalist resistance to her ultra-liberalism. The attitude of UKip’s former Commonwealth spokesman, West Indian former boxer Winston McKenzie, was typical:

“I set-up and ran the new UKIP Branch of Croydon North & Lambeth. As we had many new members arriving, I recall initiating training courses for potential candidates on behalf of the Party. Anne-Marie Waters was one such local resident who arrived at my monthly meetings.

“After a couple of months, I began to tutor Waters on media and presentation. Although she was a natural, I encountered a point during this intense period of training, where she seemed to exhibit an unnerving bias and hostility against men….Her delivery, in my personal opinion, was aggressive and spiteful. She was a bitter woman and not a patriot for England.” [22]

Early in the leadership campaign, the party’s more conventional managers were appalled to learn that Waters’ chances of winning the UKip leadership had been artificially boosted by strong support from her liberal Counter-Jihad associates. More than 1,000 new members signed up after Tommy Robinson took to Twitter and YouTube to urge people to join UKip and vote for her.

Meanwhile, Tommy’s employers at Rebel Media set about producing and distributing a string of promotional videos to raise Waters’ profile on social media. Together with the aggressive harvesting of emails, they planned to organise a campaign to “get the vote out“ for Anne-Marie.

Proudboy’s web editor Jack Bucky also got heavily involved in the campaign to promote her, which was joined by Breitbart, with a number of articles in her favour by its London editor-in-chief Raheem Kassam.

Waters was a key organiser for the Gays Against Sharia protest in Manchester, held a month after the Manchester Arena Islamist bombing atrocity.
Although only a handful of homosexuals turned up to support it and wave a couple of token LGBTQ rainbow flags, the event was backed by the recently formed grass-roots Football Lads Alliance and by forces veterans mobilised through closed groups on Facebook.

As a result it was attended by at least 6,000 people, with Tommy’s announcement that Waters would be “the next leader of Ukip” greeted with cheers. Had the overwhelmingly straight and genuinely ‘right-wing’ audience known anything about Waters’ far-left past and ultra-liberal agenda, their reaction would surely have been very different. But that, of course, is true to the whole homosexualist takeover bid on the ‘right’.

She followed this up by organising another ‘Gays Against Sharia’ protest, this time in Bristol. Among those who said they would attend is Ukip NEC member Anish Patel, who was one of the few established Ukip figures to approve of the ‘outsider’ Waters.

Despite such support, even while campaigning for the Ukip leadership, Waters was already preparing the ground to form a new anti-Islamist party in Britain in the event of failing to win the contest.

**TOMMY ROBINSON – THE ENGLISH DEFENCE LEAGUE & BEYOND**

Tommy Robinson’s English Defence League was, as has already been noted, overtly pro-gay from its early days. The football hooligan firms which were mobilised with the help of large sums of money from the USA passed through Chris Knowles and millionaire Alan Ayling, aka Alan Lake (in whose plush Barbican apartment the EDL was formed) were first confused and then angered by the LGBT rainbow banners and the Israeli flags which rapidly appeared at EDL demonstrations.

Many of the soccer hardmen from traditionally far-right teams such as Chelsea gave up attending EDL rallies as a result but, despite this, Robinson’s backers insisted on the high-profile flaunting of Gay and Jewish ‘Divisions’ in the EDL.

Despite this, mainstream and left-wing British Jewish organisations have repeatedly denounced Robinson’s efforts. Significantly, his Rabbi ally shown in this photograph, Nachum Shifren, is no ordinary religious figure, but the former bodyguard of Zionist zealot and terrorist-inspirer Meir Kahane, who founded the Jewish Defence League. [23]

Robinson’s attempt to influence the Ukip election
was not the first time he has played with political parties. In April 2012 he briefly became Deputy Leader of the British Freedom Party. This was a short-lived liberal splinter from the BNP. Its National Nominating Officer, officially registered with the Electoral Commission, was Peter Stafford, who posted this photograph of himself on his Blueblood1920 Facebook page:

Robinson’s cousin, Kevin Carroll, a member of the notorious IRA Carroll clan from South Armagh, became BFP leader for a short time in 2012. Carroll stood as BFP candidate in the Police and Commissioner elections in Bedfordshire, coming fourth with 8,675 votes (10.6%).

Elsewhere, however, BFP results were so low that it quickly became clear it was going absolutely nowhere. Peter Stafford, for example, received a mere 50 votes in Liverpool Fazakerley. Robinson left shortly afterwards.

Not, it should be noted, out of disgust at the antics of Stafford, because here is Tommy more recently, confirming that his enthusiasm for LGBT didn’t fade with the EDL.

He left the EDL in October 2013 in a blaze of publicity and went to work for the Quilliam Foundation, later claiming that police blackmailed him into leaving the EDL. He admitted to passing information to the police. Subsequently, observers with experience of the workings of the British security forces in Northern Ireland have said that his efforts alongside Anne-Marie Waters to incite people to provoke conflict with young Muslims – and the complete lack of police interest in what they were doing – are classic signs of the work of a police informer and agent provocateur.

It is interesting to note that the Quilliam Foundation has had multiple connections to Henry Jackson Society circles ever since it was founded. Among Quilliam’s first ‘advisers’ were Michael Gove and David Green, director of Civitas.[24]

The two think-tanks operate a revolving door policy for staff: Quilliam’s current Head of Research, Ghaffar Hussain was formerly an Associate Fellow of the Henry Jackson Society and until recently the HJA website declared Hussain to be part of the organisation’s “Professional Staff”, noting also that “he also works as Head of Outreach and Training at the counter-extremism think-tank, the Quilliam Foundation in a freelance capacity”. [25]

In the other direction, in May 2017, the HJS website announced that:

“We are delighted to announce that Nikita Malik will be joining the Centre for the Response to Radicalisa-
tion and Terrorism at the Henry Jackson Society as a Senior Research Fellow. Malik joins us from the Quilliam Foundation, where she headed work on protecting women, children, families, and asylum seekers against radicalization. Malik created ‘Fempower’, a pioneering outreach program focusing on gender extremism, providing training workshops to women in local communities, schools.”[26]

In Robinson’s autobiography, he also reveals that the police urged him to work for them, in particular by seeking to “unite the right” so that they could gather intelligence on all concerned.
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Rebel, and its processor, SunTV, have been major promoters of the Counter-Jihad movement from its inception. Levant himself was involved in pushing the Danish Muhammad cartoons which caused major controversy in 2006 and provoked many Muslims into displaying their religious intolerance of mockery of their prophet. [28]

REBEL MEDIA & THE ALT-LITE

As well as working with Pegida and Gays Against Sharia, since early 2017 Robinson has been a fully salaried member of the UK wing of Canadian-based Rebel Media. [27] In North America, Rebel makes a great deal of money by providing the overwhelmingly Christian ‘right’ with imaginative and lively reporting of Politically Incorrect issues, in particular criticism of Islam and establishment appeasement of Islamists.

The Rebel is run by its founder Ezra Levant. A conservative-minded Canadian Jew, Levant is straight himself, being married with three young children. He is staunchly pro-Israel, although he has criticised Canada’s Jewish community for its key role in promoting the so-called ‘hate-speech’ laws which are now severely restricting the rights not just of the Holocaust-deniers at whom they were originally aimed, but now also critics of Islamisation.

Here is Rebel Media’s Gavin McInnes (with beard), kissing his good friend Milo. Vice co-founder McInnes has been married since 2005. He now has three children and said in 2013 that after being an atheist most of his life, fatherhood made him believe in God and he became a Catholic. Hopefully his life journey is not yet over and he goes on to become a real Catholic and to put this libertarian decadence in the past with his atheism.
It should be noted that the ‘western chauvinist’ McInnes and everyone’s favourite LGBTQ+ provocateur Milo Yiannopoulos are on the “centrist” side of a split between Alt-Right neo-Nazis and “Alt-Lite” Counter-Jihad, civic nationalist, conservatives. While the whole spectrum of the Alt-Right is infested with homosexualism, it is far from homogeneous, being riven by political and personal divisions.

McInnes has played a key role in mobilising the moderate wing to use physical force to defend freedom of speech from the far-left violence and intimidation of Antifa. In 2016, McInnes founded the Proud Boys, who he has led in several dramatic street confrontations, invariably against much larger numbers of violent leftists. In February 2017, McInnes released a YouTube video through The Rebel entitled “Fighting Anti-Fascists is Fun”. [29]

There is a strange, almost schizophrenic, contradiction at play here. On the one hand the ludicrously ‘camp’ name and the double entendre of the cock in the logo. The Proud Boys website and social media campaigns are run by Jack Buckby, who is engaged to New York burlesque performer Martina Markota. This despite the fact that Buckby reportedly resigned as Editor-in-Chief of Proud Boy magazine after being trolled by leftists. [30]

According to Buckby “Martina and I found each other because we both intend to lead a traditional, conservative life. We genuinely support Trump’s vision – we believe in returning to the values that really did make America great, and we just want to live a happy life and raise healthy children.”

All well and good, but Buckby uses his social media platforms not to promote traditional family life, but to run a constant campaign to ‘normalise’ homosexuality. He even attends ‘Gay Pride’ events and tries to convince those on them to join the Alt-Right struggle against Islam. He has never explained how the militant homosexual demand to be permitted to indoctrinate children from kindergarten upwards can possibly be compatible with raising healthy children or with conservative values.

On the other hand, there is the good way in which McInnes personally speaks out to encourage his young followers to go out and chat up girls, with a view to getting married and having the children needed to reverse the demographic decline of the West. Indeed, McInnes is far sounder on this point than some neo-Nazis whose unnatural anti-female hatred we will examine in due course.

**BASED STICKMAN**

In Berkeley, a Proud Boy affiliate named Kyle Chapman rose to internet fame as the “Based Stickman” for hitting a Communist on the head with a stick after Antifa turned up threatening to ‘smash’ a pro-Trump demonstration. The next month he organized another Alt Right event in Berkeley that included openly White Nationalist speakers like Brittany Pettibone, Proud Boys, The Right Stuff, and Identity Europa. Together they ran Antifa out of town.

Two weeks later, McInnes flew to Berkeley to appear alongside Chapman, who he announced was forming a “military division of the Proud Boys” called the “Fraternal Order of the Alt Knights”. [31]
some Alt-Knights were soon to attack (and in turn get beaten by) League of the South demonstrators calling for an end to the liberal ‘cultural cleansing’ of Confederate symbols and statues.

41-year-old Chapman also angered the neo-Nazi wing of the Alt-Right by condemning racism and boasting his own anti-racist credentials on account of his non-white wife, senior Uber paralegal Haley Ly. [32]

Court records show that Chapman is a genuine hard case, the thrice-convicted felon has served a total of ten years behind bars in three separate prison terms, jumped bail, twice violated parole, used cocaine, LSD, and meth, and was described by his own lawyer as having “severe psychological problems”. [33]

**AUGUSTUS SOL INVICTUS**

Worrying at a much deeper level is the involvement in the Alt-Knights of Libertarian Party lawyer Augustus Sol Invictus. Invictus has five children by his Hispanic wife, which he says clears him of the ‘charge’ of being a ‘white supremacist’. He does, however, have a fascist tattoo, which was applied by the Satanist homosexual Jack Donovan (of whom more later).

Invictus was a member of Ordo Templi Orientis (‘Order of the Temple of the East’) for eleven years. [34] The most infamous member of this openly Satanist body was Aleister Crowley. Once again, we have to ask what sort of ‘Catholic’ McInnes is to associate with such an individual?

In response to Invictus’s campaign on the Libertarian ticket, the chairman of the Libertarian Party of Florida resigned, calling Invictus a “violent Fascist and Neo-Nazi” whose ideology was incompatible with libertarianism and saying he did not want to be part of the same party.

He also accused Invictus of supporting eugenics and of “sadistically dismembering a goat in a ritualistic sacrifice”. Invictus responded by admitting that he once wrote a paper advocating eugenics but saying that he has since changed his mind.

Invictus admitted sacrificing the goat and drinking its blood as part of a ritual to give thanks for surviving a pilgrimage in spring 2013, but denied the ritual slaughter was “sadistic”. [35]

**LAURA LOOMER**

Rebel’s New York correspondent is Laura Loomer. Formerly with Project Veritas, Loomer came to pub-
lic attention with several eye-catching stunts, including storming the stage of New York’s Delacorte Theater to protest at a production of Julius Caesar which she said was inciting the murder of Donald Trump.

On July 14th 2017 the New York Jewish socialist newspaper, The Forward, covered Laura’s work with Alt-Right campaigners such as Jack Posobiec and InfoWars host Mike Cernovich, and her clashes with Richard Spencer and other ‘hardliners’, who decry her and her fellow ‘moderates’ as the ‘Alt-Lite’.

Headed “Laura Loomer Is The Jewish Voice Of The Far Right. Can She Tame Jew Haters?” the lengthy piece included this very telling assessment:

“She’s part of a Twitter-savvy crew of Trump fans that are trying to use the tactics of the ‘alt-right’ – memes, social media targeting and aggressive misinformation – to purge the far right of pervasive anti-Semitism”. [36]

Laura’s own sexuality is a private issue, but she has confirmed that her brother is ‘gay’ and that she personally attends Pride events. This is not something that Rebel Media is keen to bring to the attention of its traditionalist right-wing viewers.

On 28th July 2017, Loomer tweeted in support of former Milo Dangerous Faggot Tour manager ‘Baked Alaska,’ despite his decision to take part in a freedom of speech rally in Charlottesville VA alongside some of the most ‘extreme’ elements of the Alt-Right, including the goat’s blood- drinking Satanist Augustus Sol Invictus. Baked Alaska in turn retweeted her endorsement, suggesting that his recent shift towards the extreme right of the Alt-Right spectrum is less than wholehearted.
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In Britain too, Rebel uses its British wing to push its anti-Islamist message, but is careful not to let its conservative-Christian Stateside donor base learn the extent of the team’s sympathy with homosexuality.
This is not just the non-involved sympathy of married family man Robinson for Gay Divisions in the EDL and Gays Against Sharia. His fellow Rebel UK presenter Caolan Robertson as we began this study was openly homosexual. Robertson’s boyfriend, cameraman and producer, George Llewelyn-John (photo on page 26) admits to having lived as a girl through most of his teenage years, but is now back to living as a homosexual male. The London-Economic blog described him merely as “a Chelsea pretty boy”, but the reality is much worse.

The same blog also noted that Llewelyn-John’s videos have been heavily promoted by Breitbart’s Raheem Kassam (clowning around next page), describing Rebel’s young producer as the ‘protégé’ of former Ukip leadership challenger. [37] Kassam’s first position in the British ‘right’ was as the organiser of ‘Student Rights’, an operation which was in fact the student wing of the Henry Jackson Society, with which it shared offices. [38]

The devout Middle American Christians who help fund Rebel Media have no idea that Ezra Levant happily employed these rancid individuals, who openly discussed their ‘lifestyle’ with him and others during Levant’s visit to London to set up the UK operation.

There has now been a spectacular falling out between Levant on one side and Robertson and his cameraboy friend on the other. Both sides have issued videos making lurid accusations about each other.

According to Robertson, the falling out was partly over editorial policy, with the young gay clique in London wanting to take support for Anne-Marie Waters and her Ukip leadership bid to the extent of launching a full-blown attack on the then Ukip leadership and its alleged role in massive electoral fraud and financial irregularities.

Levant, he claims, vetoed this in the hope of persuading former Ukip leader Nigel Farage to join the Rebel team.

Robertson’s first video was primarily concerned
with allegations of financial irregularities, with Levant using appeal after appeal to raise funds from The Rebel’s trusting conservative support base, but treating the resulting tidal waves of cash as his own. Robertson also used secretly recorded clips of Levant talking about ‘hush money’ and implying that former Rebel employees, including Lauren Southern, had kept quiet about disagreements only because of such hand-outs and legal intimidation.

Levant openly bragged about using the law to ruin individuals who cross him, sounding for all the world like a B-Movie Tony Soprano. Despite this bravado, however, Levant is also heard offering to pay the two ‘hush money’ totalling many thousands of pounds. By using the term, he confirms that there were things that he needs kept quiet, but he avoids saying what they actually are.

As we go to print there are also rumours of much more damaging footage involving cocaine and sex. Whether these exist and, if they do, whether Levant’s legal team or complaint to the police about ‘blackmail’ will prevent their release, remains to be seen.

Levant’s video version of events concentrates on what he says was an attempt by Robertson and Llewellyn-John to blackmail both him and Tommy Robinson, although he gives no details of what material they were threatening to release. It must be pretty hot stuff, for a figure of £20,000 was discussed as the price of silence.

Discussing the two blackmailing homosexuals, Levant implies to his viewers that he did not know anything about them when he hired them. This is a lie, as shown by the photograph of them all together in London when he visited to put the team together.

Not only could no-one meet the ultra-effeminate pair without instantly knowing what they were, but their tendencies were openly discussed at a meeting between them, Levant and Paul Golding of Britain First. Golding was deeply shocked by what he heard, although he naively remained of the opinion that Levant was a “nice guy”.

The most revealing bit in Levant’s video is, however, the moment when he says about the homosexual Robertson and the gay transgender Llewellyn-John that “I knew they were a couple, but I don’t care about stuff like that.”

This admission by Levant that he is perfectly happy to employ and socialise with homosexuals contradicts his earlier implied claim that he hired them without knowing.

This reinforces the now all too familiar picture of liberals posing as conservatives on every level of the ‘Alt-Right’. Is this deception motivated by ideology, money or the old trick of ‘controlled opposition’? Read on, and make up your own mind!
INFO-WARS

PAUL JOSEPH WATSON

Caolan has been described as a “shameless fag”, the assessment is borne out not only by his camp manner but also by his apparent outing on Twitter of the influential British right-wing and anti-globalist vlogger Paul Joseph Watson (see to the right), whose InfoWars videos are often recycled by Rebel Media, even though Watson is significantly more radical.

That said, despite reportedly being married, Watson didn’t exactly damp down rumours about his sexual preferences with this tweet:

As things stand at present, it increasingly appears that the highly influential InfoWars operation is another American Alt-Right outlet which is trying to gently nudge its overwhelmingly conservative Christian support base towards a more ‘tolerant’ position towards homosexuality. Host Alex Jones weathering considerable criticism over his decision to give a platform to Milo, as part of the campaign to rehabilitate Yiannopoulos once the initial outrage at his apologia for pederasty had subsided.

It is possible, of course, that it was tongue-in-cheek but, even if so, the fact that he thought it funny or in any way appropriate is an indicator of the degree of ‘normalisation’ of homosexuality in the Alt-Right.

PJW’s incisive and hugely popular videos have made him a powerful influence on Millennials. If he is straight, then, as a confirmed opponent of all things Politically Correct, he has a duty to use that influence responsibly, not to humour and legitimise the homosexual lobby by sending out signals that he might be one of them.
Tommy Robinson’s new book, *Muhammed’s Koran – why Muslims kill for Islam*, provides a good illustration of how the Counter-Jihad street movement is intimately linked with a pro-homosexual agenda and promoted with the enormous resources of hard core Zionists.

Tommy’s “co-author” (in reality, ghost-writer) is Peter McLoughlin. A Dublin-born former leftist, McLoughlin’s study of Muslim-on-gay violence in London criticises the left for turning a blind eye to Islamist violence against homosexuals. Living for years in London, McLoughlin became something of an expert on the issue of Muslim-on-gay violence. It is, he says, a problem so severe that “leftist gays with any sense have abandoned the Left”. The choice, he says, is clearcut: “Gay rights or Muslims. There is no third option.” [40]

Just like Robinson, whose real name is Stephen Yaxley-Lennon, McLoughlin also uses a second name, Peter Townsend. The use of pseudonyms is something that has emerged repeatedly in this study, so much so that it in itself calls into question the honesty and mental stability of those involved. Are they ashamed of who they are or of what they do? Or do they simply lack the courage of their convictions?

McLoughlin’s growing hostility to Islam led to him producing the best yet account of the scandal of Muslim grooming gangs targeting young girls in Rotherham and other English towns and cities. *Easy Meat – inside Britain’s grooming gang scandal* was published in 2009 by the New English Review Press.

Regular *New English Review* London contributor Esmerelda Weatherwax (yet another former leftist and feminist) was attending EDL demonstrations and reporting on Tommy’s earlier Zionist-funded efforts sympathetically at least five years ago. In 2012, for example, the *New English Review*’s website published her piece “Why Are the EDL Going to Walthamstow Shortly?”

Another regular *New English Review* writer who has helped promote Robinson is Brian Thomas, aka Brian of London. Brian emigrated from England to Israel in 1989. There he became a key figure in the Hasbara campaign to promote Israel and demonise its critics online initiative. Thomas even wrote a ‘How To’ guide for online activists.

He also blogs on *Israelycool*, one of the largest English-language blogs in the country. This was described in *The Electronic Intifada* as a “prominent blog which has close ties to the Israeli army and functions as an outlet for its anti-Palestinian...
This allegation is borne out by the fact that ‘Brian’ was able to take Tommy into the military zone in the Golan Heights. The Israeli military are normally very nervous of visitors with cameras in that occupied part of Syria, given the controversial nature of their collaboration with the Islamist rebels just across the border.

Hence the fact that Tommy was not only allowed to get his picture taken on a tank holding an assault rifle, but was also free to tweet it to 140,000 followers suggests that the claim that his host Brian has close links to the Israeli military is indeed true.

On December 2nd, 2016, Thomas had an article published on the influential *Times of Israel* website in which he responded to criticism in the UK *Jewish Chronicle* of Robinson’s visit, beginning by revealing that:

“I invited Tommy Robinson to Israel for two reasons. I wanted to show him the real boundaries of Zionism today and give him a glimpse of the almost unfathomably deep connection between Jews and Israel……I’ve known him years but hadn’t met him till I arrived to pick him up from the beach in Tel Aviv.”

Thomas went on to explain his reason for befriending Robinson. In doing so, he let slip a previously unknown link to the EDL:

“Through my friendship with Tommy, I can reach out to his growing following. That goes beyond him, reaching the large numbers of disaffected people in the UK who voted to leave the EU and Europeans preparing to elect new leaders, as well as Americans who have sent Donald Trump to the White House.

“Tommy’s followers are people who know Islam because they live alongside it in the UK. What they see is not the ingratiating Islam you meet in your gentrified interfaith dialogue sessions. No – what they see every day is the Islam that beat up my gay, Guardian-reading-friend (who formed the EDL’s LGBT division) on the streets of Tower Hamlets.”

Finally, Thomas displayed the contempt for moderate, assimilated Jews felt by hardcore Zionists such as himself:

“Your timid Jewish community in Britain, which is so careful not to rock the boat or vigorously defend ‘settlement activities’ may not ‘desire any links whatsoever with Robinson and his ilk’. But I can assure you that strong and proud Jews in Israel feel much the same about you and the subservient parts of UK Jewry who seem more concerned with their future peerages than the real security of Israel.”

THEODORE DALRYMPLE & JERRY GORDON

The same mentality surfaces in the *New English Review*, produced by a team headed by senior editors Anthony Daniels and Jerry Gordon (below).
Daniels writes as Theodore Dalrymple, often on subjects related to his years as a medical doctor. With his father from a family of Jewish refugees from Russia and a mother whose family fled persecution in Nazi Germany, Daniels is an eloquent critic of state power. He is also highly critical of Vladimir Putin and a firm supporter of Israel.

His fellow senior editor Jerry Gordon tends to write much more political articles, such as his sympathetic interview with neocon hawk Dr Michael Rubin. As well as condemning ‘peacemongers’ who work to promote a settlement of the Arab-Israeli conflict, former Pentagon Iraq advisor Rubin was the author of a ‘plan’ – enthusiastically touted by Gordon – to ‘deal’ with Christian Russia entitled “Bomb Assad; Arm Ukraine”.

Even more revealing was Gordon’s essay in his New English Review in praise of Ze’ev Jabotinsky, the intellectual godfather of hard-core revisionist Zionism. [43]

Born in Odessa, Russia, Jabotinsky, was a leftist with close connections with Israel Helphand. Also known as ‘Parvus’, Helphand went on to become a key bagman moving money from Wall Street banks to fund Lenin’s Bolshevik revolution and crucifixion of Russia. Jabotinsky organised Zionist street gangs before moving shortly before WW1 to Turkey, where he became editor of the Young Turk newspaper. [44]

Jabotinsky’s writings gave intellectual impetus to the Young Turk movement’s plans to replace the crumbling Ottoman Empire with a new worldwide Islamic state and to fully Islamise Turkey by driving the Christian minorities – Armenian, Greek and Assyrian – out of the country.

This mentality, promoted by Jabotinsky and a small circle of collaborators, provided the theory which became bloody practice in 1915, when the Turks turned on their Christian neighbours, murdering more than a million Armenians and hundreds of thousands of Greeks and Assyrians.

The whole ghastly affair – including the encouragement from the ‘West’ – was remarkably similar to the more recent explosion of psychotic Islamist violence in the shape of Islamic State, which murdered or expelled many thousands of Christians from areas coveted today by Jabotinsky’s followers.

After World War One, Jabotinsky became the intellectual guru of the ‘revisionist Zionists’, accusing the broader leadership of selling out and compromising the struggle to establish a Jewish state in Palestine.

By 1937 he was Supreme Commander of the Irgun, an underground terrorist army inspired by his ideas. The gang specialised in detonating huge bombs in Arab markets but also targeted British soldiers striving to keep the peace between the Arabs and Jewish immigrants.

Irgun’s notorious crimes include the murder of 91 people in the bombing of Jerusalem’s King David Hotel, ethnic cleansing the massacre of 107 villagers at Deir Yassin and the cold-blooded slow hanging with piano wire of British peacekeepers Sergeant Clifford Martin and Sergeant Mervyn Paice.

Jabotinsky’s central demand was the establishment of Eretz Israel – a purely Jewish state with borders...
substantially bigger than present day Israel, even including the West Bank, occupied territories and the section of southern Syria seized in 1967.

**BACKING AL QAEDA**

Likud believe that their nation is locked in a life-and-death struggle, not with radical Sunni Islam but with the Shia-dominated bloc of Iran, Syria and Hezbollah, all of which are at war with ISIS and Al Qaeda. In addition to repeated Israeli airstrikes on Syrian forces, large numbers of Al Qaeda’s Al Nusra fighters injured in Syria have been treated in Israeli military hospitals. [45]

These facts demolish any attempt to claim that the Zionist promotion of the Counter-Jihad in the West is based on a need to fight a common enemy in the ‘War on Terror’.

Islamic State and Al Qaeda are exclusively fundamentalist Sunni Muslims, whose sectarian hatred of the Shia minority makes Iran, Syria and Hezbollah the natural allies not just of Orthodox Christian Russia but also of the nations of the West in the fight against Islamist terrorism.

Alternative media operations such as Rebel Media and the *New English Review* no doubt include individuals who are sincere patriots, often Christians, who are motivated by genuine and very justified concern about the threat of Sunni Wahhabi extremism.

But the Zionist ‘big boys’ who fund and promote the Counter-Jihad have their own agenda, an agenda which is most definitely not in the best interests of the peoples of Europe or the New World. The same is also true of their subsidiary scheme to help anti-Muslim ‘gays’ to homosexualise the entire ‘right’.

This is not the work of “the Jews”, as the wild fringes of the extreme right allege. But it is a well-organised campaign organised by two overlapping factions within Zionist politics – the ultra-religious zealots inspired by Meir Kahane and the secular Jabotinsky Zionists in Likud.

Tommy Robinson, a victim of modern education system, knows nothing of British history let alone history and geo-politics, so he certainly won’t have the faintest idea that he is being manipulated and used by people who hero worship the fanatic who helped incite the mass murder of more than a million Christians by the Muslim Turks in 1915, and who continues to inspire the most ruthless elements of secular Zionism – hardnosed cynical politicians who see ISIS as a ‘useful tool’.
The widespread tendency of sections of the Alt-Right to ‘normalise’ homosexuality is not confined to the liberal or civic nationalist side of the ‘movement’, sometimes termed the Alt-Lite. The same apparently systematic hijacking is going on in the overtly nationalist and ‘racist’ wing of the Alt-Right as well.

RICHARD SPENCER
The very term Alt-Right first really hit the headlines immediately after the election of President Trump, when the liberal media seized on the use of the phrase ‘Hail Trump’ by the organiser of an American hard-right conference as a way of demonising the newly elected POTUS.

The ‘Heiler’ was Richard Spencer, an online publisher and organizer of the overtly ‘pro-white’ National Policy Institute. Spencer is an atheist, although he has also described himself as a “cultural Christian”.

Spencer married Nina Kouprianova, a Russian-American political analyst on modern and contemporary Russia, culture, and U.S. foreign policy, and has a child. Now widely reported as separated he has opposed same-sex marriage. He also, however, described this very important symbolic attack on heterosexual normality as a “non-issue.”

Heimbach was widely criticised by the Usual Suspects for commenting on the habit of some homosexuals purposefully infecting people with AIDS. He reportedly said that homosexuals need to be put in “re-education” camps to cure their “mental illness.” Because of these statements, Spencer decided that he should be banned from the NPI conference.

In a statement on his website, Radix Journal, Spencer ‘justified’ the ban thus:

“Our conferences will include people who hold many different views on religious, social, sexual, historical, and political matters. We do not exclude anyone for, say, being a Buddhist, Pagan, Catholic, or atheist, or for being passionate about gay issues or thinking that they are not important. We hope that such questions can be discussed respectfully at our conferences.

“NPI will, however, exclude those who show reckless disregard with the media, or those who’ve made morally indefensible public statements. Such people make our movement look bad. We choose not to grant them a platform. It’s as simple as that”.

He has never explained how his own ‘Heil Trump’ action, which gave a small group of Jewish Alt-Righters at the conference the opportunity to give the Nazi salutes which were covered extensively in the mainstream media in their reflected attack on Donald Trump, could possibly make the movement look anything other than bad.

Matt Heimbach and the Limits of Free Speech
Spencer barred Traditionalist Youth Network’s Matthew Heimbach (above to the right, with his wife and baby) from the 2015 NPI conference. Heimbach is a traditionalist Christian who helped to found the Townson University White Student Union before forming Trad Youth.

But it seems that there is one sort of ‘bad’ which Spencer finds especially unacceptable: ‘Homophobia’. The man who delights in attracting the wrath of the liberal elite through crass references to Hitlerism, or on questions such as race and
inherited IQ, simply will not tolerate anyone being nasty about homosexuality.

He claims that this because the “war has been lost” and that a younger generation is completely unwilling to indulge in vigorous homophobia in the way that they will still adopt racial and gendered ‘bigotry’. The question more and more people on the (still in fact very traditionalist) right are asking is whether this position is indeed simply a tactical choice, or whether there is something more personal about it.

Heimbach and his Traditionalist Workers Party attended Charlottesville, citing the need for experienced street activists to counter the threat of leftist violence. The TWP says it supports traditional, heterosexual marriage as the cornerstone of society. It refers to homosexuality as an "anti-social behaviour" and proposes state programmes to help homosexuals and drug addicts “overcome their addictions”.

**JACK DONOVAN – THE ALT-RIGHT’S FAVOURITE GAY SATANIST**

Speculation about Spencer has continued to grow as he has not merely allowed notorious advocates of homosexuality to attend NPI conferences, but has gladly given them a platform to air their views:

Among the open homosexuals Richard Spencer allowed to use the NPI to air his repulsive views and prowl for ‘fresh meat’ is queer writer and advocate of “male tribalism,” Jack Donovan.

Donovan is notorious for – among other things – his book *Androphilia*, in which he advocated that “homosexual men” drop the gay identity because it is associated with effeminacy, leftist politics, and feminism. Today he moves in Men’s Rights circles, fuelling the destructive sex-war created by man-hating feminists by talking down women and advocating ‘reclaiming’ masculinity by forming all-male gangs.

The term Androphile describes a man whose obsession with ‘masculinity’ includes sex with other men. Here is Donovan boasting about his sordid sex life:

“Yeah, I’ve been a top for years. I basically fuck men like they are women – but I’m glad that they’re not. I’m banging this jacked rich liberal right now. Probably the best piece of ass I’ve ever had. I’ve had sex with women, but I’ve been with one guy for 14 years, so there is no question of...switching teams...in any meaningful way. He’s a good guy who supports me and my work and always has. I have a dude on the side, but it’s all on the level, and betraying Lucio – who became blood-brothers with me for my second book, and has my initials tattooed (by me) on his arm -- because I decided to ‘explore other ideas for philosophical reasons’ would be the homo version of ‘Eat, Pray Love’!”

Donovan’s preference for macho men is much more than intellectual, as he himself explains:

“When people hear that gay men are the sexiest to me, they think I mean the cultural cliché of a gay man. No, I adore the hyper-masculine man who is man enough to admit he is top dog and the rest of the world is composed of his bitches. I adore my drag queen friends, but the macho man is what I get weak in the knees at.”

“When you get right down to it, when it comes to sex, homos are just men without women getting in the way.”

Having formerly been a committed Satanist, Donovan states repeatedly in *Androphilia* that “masculinity is a religion,” or words to that effect (see especially pp. 65, 72, 76, 80, 116).

As part of his push for ‘masculinity’ Donovan heads a gang, the Wolves of Vinland (pictured next page). This is based on a club started near Lynchburg, Virginia, by brothers Paul and Matthias Waggener, a pair of avid bodybuilders who love blackmetal
bands (a.k.a. National Socialist Black Metal bands). The sons of an Orthodox priest, the Waggeners have said in interviews that they experimented with drugs, Satanism, and “gangster shit” before discovering neopaganism, also known as “heathenism”, which became the foundation of Donovan’s gang.

Greg Johnson published a sympathetic interview with Paul Waggener on Counter-Currents.

Previously a “dancer” at gay clubs in New York, Donovan went on to become an ‘ordained’ priest in the Church of Satan, using the alias ‘Rev. Jack Malebranche’.

Donovan resigned from it in 2009, issuing a press statement which read, in part:

“REV. JACK MALEBRANCHE” RESIGNS FROM CHURCH OF SATAN

“Please be advised that today, August 19, 2009, I received word from High Priest Peter H. Gilmore at the Church of Satan’s Central Office. My resignation from the Church of Satan and the Priesthood of Mendes has been officially accepted.

“I sent in my Red Card and my Priesthood certificate for strictly philosophical reasons. I left the organization on good terms and with mutual respect, from and for, the Church of Satan administration.

“Many of the ideas that resonated with me in Satanic philosophy continue to drive my creative work. Furthermore, I have received advice, encouragement, constructive criticism, and support from members of the Church of Satan hierarchy and membership that has been of inestimable value.

“I have no desire to offer a public criticism of Satanism. It was right for me until it wasn’t. Satanism changed me, but eventually it pointed me in a direction that caused me to evolve into a man who could no longer in good faith identify himself as a Satanist.

“If I had not been a “public Satanist,” I might simply have held onto my card and my title as keepsakes. But as I have represented The Church of Satan several times in the media, it is necessary to make a clean break so that I can explore new directions without constantly having to justify that association.

“I will always be something of a “fellow traveler.” But I have reached a point where I have to go my own way and bid Satanism farewell. I’ll be sure to tip my hat whenever Old Scratch crosses my path.

“I will certainly continue to associate with and follow the lives and the work of those Satanists who have earned my friendship and respect.” [53]

Donovan’s Satanically inspired ‘creative work’ most notoriously includes the obscene and blasphemous ‘Jesus Christ Bukkake’. We picture it here reluctantly, and after careful consideration, having seen comments online from decent but naïve Alt-Right sympathisers who have heard it described but refuse to believe it.

This is a problem, because only by seeing it can one truly understand the depths of the evil and sordid decadence of Donovan, and of the wrong done by those who give him a platform or credibility. So,
with sincere apologies, here it is:

Spencer himself has discussed homosexuality with Donovan (pictured below with his insane and pathetically inadequate hero) many times; believing gay marriage is a “non-issue”, the Alt-Right front man says that we should just ‘move on’ from the issue – in other words, accept this central part of the cultural Marxist sexual war against the Christian tradition which is the very basis of the identity of the West which he insists must be defended.

Nor can this be seen as an invitation, and tacit approval, given in ignorance, because the facts about Donovan were widely publicised on forums widely used by AmRen sympathisers, but the video of Donovan’s speech continued to be promoted on the organisation’s website.

The tolerance and endorsement of him and his poison from the high-profile Alt-Rightist Spencer, and indeed other luminaries of the American ‘racist right’, has helped Jack Donovan be regarded as more ‘acceptable’ in other parts of the movement too.

Also guilty in this regard is American Renaissance, headed by Jared Taylor. Despite Taylor being married with a daughter, and despite AmRen frequently bemoaning the fact that the white race that it idolises is being swamped by fast-breeding non-whites, he still thought it appropriate to have the homosexual Satanist Donovan as a speaker at the 2014 AmRen conference.
The endorsements from major players on the American far-right have helped to make Donovan seem to be a legitimate guest in European Identitarian circles as well. Hence, early in 2017 he was invited to speak to the Institute für Staatspolitik in Germany. While there he met and interviewed young Identitaire activists who are straight and Christian. They have said that they had heard only rumours that Donovan was homosexual, but had no idea of the full scale of his degeneracy. This shows how ‘tolerance’ opens doors which should stay slammed shut. [54]

The IfS is run by well-known German nationalist intellectual Götz Kubitschek (pictured). As the father of seven children, Kubitschek certainly appears not merely to understand the central importance of demographics in the struggle to preserve European identity and civilisation, but also to have the rare virtue of living what he believes.

That being the case, we must hope that he was unaware of the deeply unsavoury nature of his guest; and blame the American ‘hard-liners’ who know all about Donovan, but chose to ignore the truth.

This tolerance of the intolerable has to stop! People who claim to stand for the defence of European culture and values realise that in giving this evil creature a platform, they mark themselves out as collaborators.

ROOSH

Providing a sympathetic platform for Donovan highlights Spencer’s way of contradicting his less than supportive comments about gay marriage with his deeds in ‘normalising’ homosexuality among the Alt-Right. It is also surely the worst example of his very selective idea of “making the movement look bad”. Shutting out the ‘homophobe’ Matt Heimbach, while welcoming Donovan as a main speaker really takes the (limp) biscuit.

The same ‘logic’ should applied to Johnson’s decision to provide a platform for Roosh. Real name Daryush Valizaheh, Roosh is an American of Iranian and Armenian Muslim stock. [55] He has for a decade made a lucrative living blogging and giving lectures to inadequate men who cannot find girlfriends and who turn to him as a self-styled ‘pickup artist’.

Roosh has self-published more than a dozen sex and travel guides, most of which discuss picking up and having sex with women in specific countries. His advice, his videos and his writings have received widespread criticism, including accusations of misogyny and the promotion of rape.

His first book, Bang: The Pickup Bible That Helps You Get More Lays (2007) was followed by Bang Estonia, Don’t Bang Latvia, and Bang Lithuania. All met with a deservedly hostile response in the respective countries, where he was described as a “sex tourist”.

POLLUTING GENERATION IDENTITY
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The IfS is run by well-known German nationalist intellectual Götz Kubitschek (pictured). As the father of seven children, Kubitschek certainly appears not merely to understand the central importance of demographics in the struggle to preserve European identity and civilisation, but also to have the rare virtue of living what he believes.
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In 2010 he wrote that “I’ve had two experiences which, if you remove all context, could be considered rape.” This admission was seized on by feminists and liberals and, together with his ‘pick-up artist’ view of women as semi-animate sex objects, makes Roosh a hundred times more ‘toxic’ to normal, decent and right-minded people than any ‘homophobe’.

Interestingly, Roosh has since begun to send out strong signals on his blog and website that he is changing his mind:

“Even the form of game that I taught early in my career, of one-night stands, was compatible with the depopulation agenda since reproduction would often not result from it. This meant that I was a useful idiot for many years. I can’t help but notice that attacks against me have increased in intensity as I move away from teaching sterile sex and anti-family ideas to promoting more traditional values that are far likelier to result in reproduction.

“I’m coming to the conclusion that the only way to defeat the evils of liberalism, feminism, social justice, and progressivism is to have huge families and create tribes that are free-thinking, self-reliant, and, most importantly, armed. The men of the future who can resist totalitarianism and unjust government authority come from the wombs of the women living today, and those women must at some point be convinced that being stay-at-home mothers who raise strong men is superior to becoming zombie consumers who poison and sterilize their own bodies.”

Roosh has also begun to criticise the ‘gay rights’ lobby: “When Brussels accepts a new country into the European Union, the first thing they do is mandate a gay pride parade on the capital streets.” And to describe homosexuality and trans-freakery as “sterile human relationships” which harm “traditional family formation” and fit with the elite agenda of population reduction.

Much more of that, and Roosh will find himself barred along with the people who have always been traditionalists!

That said, he continues to send out mixed signals, and Roosh will not be honoured with an NPI ban if he keeps on producing nonsense like this:

No! Milo was not the victim of a ‘hit-job’ for the crime of being a ‘dissident’. He lost a book deal and a job for being an apologist for pederasty! Anyone who defends him is complicit in this crime.

**MGTOW – BIRDLIME FOR PEDERASTS**

---

Image: Return of Kings - Censorship

Image: The Milo Yiannopoulos Scandal Is A Coordinated Hit Job Against An Establishment Dissident (UPDATED)

Image: MGTOW... in a nutshell:

Men are not women’s protectors, providers or baby-makers.

MGTOW reject culturally imposed sexual stereotypes, roles, prejudiced laws and negative attitudes toward men.

MGTOW is a stance of self-protection, and self-respect.

NO FAIR PLAY? WE GO OUR WAY.
Even when Roosh was at his most cynically predatory, however, he was still clearly on the side of heterosexuality. The same cannot be said of MGTOW – “Men Going Their Own Way”. This subset of the Alt-Right is much more concerned with the ill-effects of feminism than with the questions of Islam, race and immigration which make up its usual concerns.

It is certainly true that feminism has had – and was clearly always intended to have – an extremely harmful effect on Western society in general and on men in particular. The injustices done to men by feminist-oriented liberal states have justifiably been highlighted by campaign groups such as Fathers4Justice.

But while some of the male victims of the liberal feminisation of society have responded with active protests, others have reacted by withdrawing from female company altogether. This is taken to extremes by the largely online ‘community’ known as MGTOW, pronounced ‘mɪɡtaʊ’.

This uses websites and social media to urge young men against romantic relationships with women, especially marriage.

According to columnist Martin Daubney, followers of MGTOW believe that legal and romantic entanglements with women fail a cost–benefit analysis and risk–benefit analysis. Jeremy Nicholson, writing for Psychology Today, similarly described MGTOW as “guys who have been frustrated and punished to the point that they see no further incentive to relate [to dating] […], they focus on making themselves happy”.

Business Insider reporter Dylan Love wrote a “fully-realized MGTOW (there are levels to it) is someone who shuns all relationships with women, short-term, long-term, romantic, and otherwise. He eventually shuns society as a whole.” Some MGTOW engage in sex with prostitutes. Celibacy, however, is also an option. A MGTOW who chooses celibacy over relationships is said to be “going monk”.

What mainstream media, Wiki and others commenting on MGTOW phenomenon feel more comfortable to ignore is the homosexual option, and the fact that most – if not all – older MGTOW devotees have a very strong personal interest in turning impressionable adolescent boys off girls. They use MGTOW in the way rural Spaniards still use sticky birdlime to catch song thrushes as edible delicacies.

It is instructive to watch the reaction on MGTOW forums when a woman, especially an attractive, straight, young one, makes a rightist video. Young, rank-and-file MGTOW often respond favourably, asking if this doesn’t show that not all women are evil, man-hating, liberal witches. Such comments are immediately shot down by the moderators: “it’s a trap, all women are feminists and natural leftists, don’t be fooled, stay with us, we’re the only people with your best interests at heart, how about meeting up….”

MGTOW’s chickenhawk zealots have even suggested that suicide is a good way for unhappily married men to ‘hit back’ at their wives (see next page).

MGTOW is part of a broader tendency, referred to as the ‘manosphere’. As already noted, this draws its appeal from the hysterical anti-male hatred and injustices promoted by feminism, but then becomes more and more a mirror image of it, thereby compounding its divisiveness and the damage feminism does to a normal, healthy society.

The ‘manosphere’ criticism of feminism and its major role in the undermining of traditional values and of modern society is overwhelmingly correct. But it is utter madness to respond to feminism by proposing or accepting the homosexualist agenda to feminise men.

RICHARD SPENCER & FRIENDS – HAS ANYONE SEEN THE MORAL COMPASS?

Returning to Richard Spencer, he is very well aware of his crucial role in the ‘gaying’ of the Alt-Right. When he participated in an online “Ask Me Anything” session on Reddit. One user noted that Spencer has a “large following in the gay community” and asked him how he felt about that. Spencer responded succinctly: “The gays love me.”

[56]
Heavily influenced by Nietzsche, Spencer openly admits to being in favour of abortion. [57]

Perhaps taking his cue from his 19th century, VD-ridden crackpot mentor’s megalomania, Spencer’s increasingly camp ‘vision’ for the future of the Alt-Right certainly doesn’t lack ambition. His latest venture is a plan to establish himself as the key public face of a ‘consolidated’ Alt-Right.

When first unveiled in March 2017, the plan was to unite Spencer’s operation with Main Alt-Right publisher Arktos Media, together with the far-right Red Ice Radio operation of Swede Henrik Palmgren. All were to be brought together under a new umbrella organisation – altright.com.

JASON REZA JORJANI – ANTI-CHRISTIAN INTELLECTUAL

In a speech at the event Jason Reza Jorjani, a bachelor American-Iranian academic and editor-in-chief of Arktos Media, hailed the unification of the alt-right as “momentous”. Having met for the first time at the conference, the two quickly formed a bond, and are now joining forces to brand themselves as the intellectual leaders of the alt-right.

The Spencer-Jorjani hook-up (see photo to the left) has since gone even further, with the two men now
jointly renting an apartment in a townhouse in Alexandria, Virginia, \[58\] supposedly to act as a ‘hub’ for their take-over of the movement:

“One important element of the work Richard and I are doing together is a consolidation of these kinds of rubrics,” Jorjani said, speaking of the various intellectual ideas to be found in the wide range of authors published by Arktos. “So that we will see hopefully in the next few years, maybe sooner than that, a total integration of the European New Right and the North American alt-right.”

Speaking about his plans for Arktos at the NPI conference, Jorjani said that, under his leadership, it would place more emphasis on “eastern Indian religions” and Nietzschean ideas. In other words, side-lining even further the Christianity which is at the root of the traditional identities of the indigenous peoples of Europe and their New World offshoots.

Jorjani’s plan advanced further in February 2017, with a major conference in the Swedish capital Stockholm.

The event, called Identitarian Ideas IX and organised by leading alt-right figure Daniel Friberg, saw over 300 people attend from the UK, Sweden, America, Canada, Australia, Estonia, Finland, Germany, Poland and the Netherlands.

It was billed as bringing together far-right groups from across the US and Europe. Simply called Altright, it merges Arktos, Red Ice TV and Spencer’s National Policy Institute. \[59\]

Also present was leading “counter-jihadist” Ingrid Carlqvist, who co-runs Dispatch International. She was formerly a prolific writer for the US-based Gatestone Institute. The more she explored the issue of the mass immigration into Europe, however, the more she started first to note, and then to comment critically upon, the central role played by radical leftist Jews and anti-Christian Talmudists in the process. When she refused to keep silent, she was sacked. Just as no-one at the conference thought it necessary to express concern over the presence of homosexuals (including Millennial Woes) and homophiles, so there was also seeming total ignorance of the deeply sinister origins and funding of Gatestone.

Another speaker was Constantin Hoffmeister, a German with a set of utterly bizarre political ideas based on militant atheism, hostility to Christianity, racism, the destruction of all buildings predating 1800, eugenics, support for Zionism and the murder of old people. \[60\]

As with all these events, the majority of those attending, and even some of the speakers, appear to have no idea of the very un-nationalist agendas of some of those participating.

The Board of Directors for the new organisation includes Daniel Friberg, Jason Jorjani and Tor Westman of Arktos, Henrik Palmgren of Red Ice, William Regnery and Richard Spencer of the NPI.

Richard Spencer and Greg Johnson were both billed as speakers, but Spencer was banned from entering the EU, while Johnson pulled out at the last minute.

A speech by Millennial Woes did go ahead. Real name Colin Robertson, he once described himself as 25% homosexual and 75% heterosexual.

Despite this, Jorjani told the conference, the merger “represents nothing less than the integration of all of the aforementioned European right-wing
schools of thought with the North American vanguard movement ...."

When not trying to create a ‘new’, non-Christian intellectual base for the entire ‘right’ Jorjani is an active member of the circle of secular Iranian exile organisations that use CIA funds to try to undermine the government of Iran.

“Islam is certainly our enemy,” says Jorjani, thereby condemning the hundreds of thousands of Muslims, both Shia fundamentalists and moderate Sunnis, who have fought and died in the Syrian and Iraqi armed forces in the struggle to save those countries, and especially their Christian minorities, from the Al Qaeda and Islamic State head-cutters. Remember that these ‘rebels’ are armed and trained by the same Washington elite that backs the Iranian exiles (and, incidentally, the promotion of ‘gay rights’ in ‘homophobic’ traditionalist countries such as Hungary, Poland and Russia.)

Two other comments made by Jorjani are also worthy of note:

“The hundreds of hyper-intellectual millennials that I encountered at the 2016 National Policy Institute conference … included a number of Jews that I had the pleasure of spending more time with than anyone else over the weekend. In fact, three of them were among the seven (yes it was only seven!) people out of the three hundred attendees who were caught on film or in photographs giving the “Hail Victory!” Roman salute.”

He fails to explain why it was such a pleasure to spend time with the idiots or provocateurs who proved so useful to the liberal media in demonising both the Alt-Right and Donald Trump. But then this piece of candour:

“As Editor-in-Chief of Arktos I intend to increase the dialectical tension between the already exceptionally wide range of thinkers published by our press. We publish people who have nothing less than diametrically opposed viewpoints. For example, I am currently editing Alexander Dugin. Although we have common points of departure in the ontology of Martin Heidegger and the political theory of Carl Schmitt, our interpretations and developments of these thinkers could not be more sharply opposed. If Dugin were to read ‘Prometheus and Atlas’, he would probably consider me the incarnation of the coming Anti-Christ that he evokes in his books.”

Quite so! A number of European traditionalists – including Russians and organisations in the anti-Brussels Visegrad 4 states of central Europe – know enough about Western politics to have heard about the ‘Alt-Right’, and have assumed that its ideas and priorities, must be similar to and compatible with theirs.

Hopefully, this document will reach far enough to put them straight on this. Because there is a vast gulf between the sickly, pink-washed, over-intellectual sterility of the West’s ‘Alt-Right’ – which is increasingly being manipulated as a useful tool by Washington and New York globalists and special interests – and the genuine traditionalists and multi-polar patriots of the centre and east of Europe.

That said, it is only fair to point out that the latest book to be published by Arktos, Andrew Fraser’s Dissident Dispatches – An Alt-Right Guide to Christian Theology, takes the most traditionalist Christian line of any work so far released in the publishing house’s extensive list.

This is at odds with the anti-Christian position of Jorjani, noted in The Traditionalist blog, which reviewed his lecture Against Perennial Philosophy, delivered to a group of anti-Islamic Iranian intellectuals, i.e. liberals:

“In identifying Christianity as an obstacle to free thought, he [Jorjani] is following many people, including Evola. In placing Islam in front of Christianity as an enemy, he is following Guillaume Faye – and abandoning Traditionalism”. [61]

As with much else in this study, we are left with the impression that the homosexualist agenda – together with the anti-Christian one which often runs together with it - is actually being promoted, by stealth, by a minority of those involved, with the rest ‘going with the flow’ because they haven’t actually thought things through. Where that is the case, it is our sincere wish that this work will cause
them to think, long and hard, and to back away from the slippery slope of homosexualism and the attack on Christian tradition of which it forms an important part.

Fortunately, regardless of how many more rightists come to their senses, the attempt to turn the Western sick-joke Alt-Right into the dominant intellectual force within the 21st century ‘right’ is likely to run into the buffers of reality. It is not just that genuine Christian patriots and nationalists are waking up to what is going on and will fight back. It is also simply impossible to import homosexuals into any group without them bringing with them their scratch-your-eyes-out, handbags at dawn hostility to people with whom they fall out.

Friberg fired his former right-hand man John Morgan, who promptly defected to Counter-Currents. Friberg has slammed both Morgan and Johnson as homosexuals (Johnson has been openly gay for years, Morgan denies the charge) and the two have hit back with accusations of embezzlement. [62]

Given the close connections between Johnson and Richard Spencer – the Counter-Currents boss is a regular speaker at NPI events – it remains to be seen what will happen to Arktos if Friberg and Jorjani fall out over the former’s increasingly strident condemnations of homosexuality and the latter’s acquiescence (to say the least) in the pinkwashing of the movement.

Greg Johnson is also a significant figure in this process in Western Europe, to which he frequently flies as a speaker at a variety of rightist conferences. Recently these included the Scandza Forum in Oslo (although in the event he was banned from entering Norway) and the London Forum

Although he has made no secret of his homosexuality, Johnson has opposed the noisily aggressive victim-culture of the ‘mainstream’ gay lobby. In his book *Taking Our Own Side* he criticised advocates of gay marriage:

“Why can’t we have a society in which homosexuals accept that they fall short of the norm, rather than tearing down norms merely to feel good about themselves? Why can’t we have a society in which homosexuals are grateful to the heterosexuals who gave them life and glad that others are carrying on their families and their race as a whole?”

Any such attempt to advocate open acceptance of homosexuality in the ‘right-wing’ scene would until just a few years ago have been unthinkable, whether in Europe, the UK or especially the heavily Christian USA.
That was when groups like NPI, American Renaissance and Counter-Currents Publishing, and started putting out the welcome mat. Since around 2010, some (though by no means all) groups in the leadership of the ‘white nationalist movement’ have been inviting openly gay men to speak at their conferences, write for their magazines, and be interviewed in their journals.

Greg Johnson, despite his relative personal discretion (although that assessment is challenged by Forney), has played a key role in the gradual normalisation process. He included a chapter about abandoning ‘homophobia’ in his book Confessions of a Reluctant Hater.

In 2016 he wrote an article titled “Gay Panic on the Alt Right” addressing the trend for ‘out’ homosexuals to join the Alt-Right:

“My view is that White Nationalism should be ‘straight but not narrow,’ meaning that we should uphold and defend heterosexuality as the norm but also recognize that not everyone fits that norm,” Johnson wrote. “As long as homosexuals uphold healthy norms and have something positive to contribute, they can and do make our movement stronger.”

What, however, does he mean by ‘healthy norms’ and ‘positive contributions’? We can get an insight from observing Johnson’s sympathetic attitude to one Jack Donovan.

Counter-Currents sympathetically reviewed Satanist Donovan’s works, particularly his Androphilia:

“In essential terms, what Donovan argues in Androphilia is that homosexuals should reject the ‘gay culture’ of effeminacy and reclaim masculinity for themselves. Ironically, gay culture is really the product of an internalization of the Judeo-Christian demonization of same-sex desire, and its insistence that homosexuality and masculinity are incompatible. Donovan wants gays to become ‘androphiles’: men who love men, but who are not defined by that love.”

American manosphere blogger Matt Forney, posted this attack on the Alt-Right’s “homosexual coven” complete with allegations against Spencer and various other individuals.
JAMES O’MEARA – BLAMING CHRISTIANITY & HOMOPHOBIA FOR THE ‘FAILURE OF THE RIGHT’

Even more evidence of the Counter-Currents pinkwashing of the ‘right’ is provided by Johnson’s decision to publish *The Homo and the Negro – A Masculinist View of the Futility of the ‘Right’*, by ‘queer white nationalist’ James O’Meara (above).

“I want to locate the peculiar futility of the Right, especially its American version [“AR” for short] in a more general sexual-cultural critique. I locate the futility factor in two related areas: its Judeo-Christianity, and its consequent homophobia. Whether a movement sans these features could be recognized as “The Right” is not really a problem for anyone interested in praxis rather than mere taxonomy ….the Right’s futility is rooted in what is indeed, a fear of homosexuality.

“In a nutshell: the AR, or the Republican Party, cannot be a vehicle for the preservation and expansion of White Culture, since its Judeo-Christian element leads it to oppose the culture creating and culture sustaining element of homoeroticism, while ultimately embracing, in the name of equality and multiculturalism, its opposite, the Negro.

“While obviously not all Aryan cultural figures are homosexual, we may take the Homosexual as the ideal type in a masculinist, homoerotic system”.

Nor is it ‘only’ a matter of encouraging the sale of such Satanic deviant filth. Johnson also paid all Donovan’s expenses as a guest speaker for NPI conferences, a large number of whose other guests are young millennial males. It’s like collecting a flock of chickens and then introducing them to a skulk of foxes.

MILLENNIAL WOES – “WHAT’S WRONG WITH BESTIALITY?”

Scandza cannot claim they were ignorant of the deviant sex-obsessed nature of ‘MW’. Their event was filmed by Red Ice TV, who also did the video recording of the 2016 NPI conference. The footage later released, complete with the Red Ice logo/watermark, included Robertson saying:

Another self-confessed homosexual speaker at NPI conferences is the vlogger Millennial Woes, real name Colin Robertson, seen here addressing the Alt-Right Scandza Forum in Oslo.
“Bestiality is a subject that fascinates me (titters from audience)…. Because you can’t explain what’s wrong with it”. [63]

Scottish Daily Record and he felt the need to flee from his parent’s house in Linlithgow, Spencer launched an emergency appeal to help the unemployed, 34-year-old, ex-student relocate to the USA.

THE LONDON FORUM – REHABILITATING PEDERASTY

Millennial Woes/Robertson also personifies the growing links between the pro-homosexualist ‘Alt-Right’ in the USA and their counterparts in Britain. The UK’s ‘far-right’ also has a long history of rejecting homosexuality; the few gay individuals who did get involved were tolerated provided they kept their personal tastes very much to themselves.

The former National Front national activities organiser Martin Webster was obliged to keep his homosexuality in the closet for most of his career. The open (among other key members) secret was finally made public in 1980 and, although the attempt by John Tyndall to force him out over a pederastic letter to a young teenage activist ended in failure, Webster was expelled from the NF by the group of young radical traditionalists (the current author included) who took it over at the end of 1983.

Homosexuality thereafter remained frowned on in the NF, and its successor, the British National Party, until about 2014. However, in the time since the effective elimination of the BNP, a concerted effort by a small group of still largely closeted homosexuals and morally liberal neo-Nazis has turned the most active grouplets on the British far-right scene into a gay-friendly zone and happy hunting ground for pederasts.

The mental state of Spencer’s NPI audience can best be judged by the fact that no-one called Robertson out and no-one walked out. In fact, to be fair, Millennial Woes went on to implicitly recognise that bestiality is wrong. The problem is thus more that, lacking the moral compass of traditional Christianity, he does not know why. And that his audience merely tittered when he appeared to excuse it.

Spencer is so enamoured of Millennial Woes that, when the latter’s identity was exposed by the
The principle vehicle for this U-turn has been the London Forum. The personal life of its founder and principle leader, former British Signals Intelligence (SIGINT) officer Jeremy Bedford-Turner, aka Jez Turner, is shrouded in mystery. Even close associates are not allowed to know his home address, let alone what goes on there. His entry in the sympathetic, far-right Metapedia reveals that Turner was a student at the London School of Economics before spending twelve years working in SIGINT, during which time he learnt Pashto. He also speaks Arabic. It is clear that Turner did not spend his time in the military repairing radios, but in actual intelligence work.

This has led to concerns in eastern European intelligence operations that Turner’s involvement in funding radical right groups in Poland is an example of British intelligence trying to manipulate ‘far right’ organisations as instruments of foreign policy.

If this is the case, it would suggest that the pro-EU, anti-Russian coup in the Ukraine will not be the last operation in which neo-Nazism is weaponised by the Anglo-American Deep State.

Turner speaks at a protest organised by Polish nationalists living in Britain. He is known to have paid airfares and other expenses for Polish patriots, who would surely be horrified if they knew of the homosexualist under-current in the London Forum.

It is worth noting here that the Hungarian government explained its 2014 expulsion of Richard Spencer on the grounds that its security services had concluded that he was a CIA agent seeking to embarrass Viktor Orban – exactly as he has repeatedly done in spectacular style to Donald Trump. Many of the speakers at Turner’s London Forum events also speak at events organised by Spencer (who is banned from Britain) in the USA.

Despite supposedly working as a car park attendant, Turner somehow has the financial resources to subsidise nationalists not only in Britain but also in Eastern Europe and in the USA. And, at every opportunity, he uses the cash he inexplicably has to splash around to encourage counter-productive extremism.

Invited to a BNP protest against immigration outside the Home Office building Lunar House, Turner brought with him a group of young men who he encouraged to give Hitler salutes, and then himself spoke about blowing up immigrants.

Similarly, several people who have spoken at London Forum meetings have separately revealed that, when they asked him what sort of tone they should take, Turner told them to “be as extreme as you think possible”.

More and more people, however, are seeing a pattern here. For example, an article on the European Knights Project website, entitled ‘Is Jez Turner a cop? The London Forum a state operation?’ [87] noted that:

“People who hang out with Turner often find themselves locked up. It is common knowledge that it was Turner who landed Josh Bonehill in jail for anti-Semitism, when Turner – the man behind the anti-Shomrim fliers Bonehill got done for – walked away scot free.”

Just doing his job? Turner (left) stands with arms folded, totally unconcerned as a young activist is arrested and manhandled away at an event he helped promote and organise.
The article continues: “Jez Turner is flush with cash, able to pay out ridiculous amounts of money to see that relatively unknown British nationalists can travel to speak at his poorly attended events. This is in spite of the fact that Turner claims he’s on the dole, working off the books for a pittance as a parking garage attendant and generally destitute.

“Turner has literally shelled out thousands in expenses for speakers to travel into London to speak at the London Forum, when his events admittedly run a financial loss. At one event one of our contacts spoke at, there were fewer than 70 people in attendance, yet Turner was able to bring in two international speakers and pay for the rental of a swanky West London hotel suite out of pocket.”

Sen says that Turner started to attack him after he refused to give Turner the names of people he had met at British Democratic Party and English Democrat Party events.

“Initially I worked with Turner because I was naïve and believed in the man, in spite of the fact most of the decent people I trusted were sceptical. It’s also fair to say that it was beneficial to the work I was doing. At the end of the day, everything that enters the London nationalist scene, passes through Turner, therefore if you want in, you have no choice but to play. If you don’t, your work perishes.

“Then there’s the financial assistance he gives activists. In my case he has given me several thousand pounds, as well as scores of hours of his time to assist me with my work, he has sold hundreds of copies of my book at his events and appeared to be on side, until he turned on me.”

PayPal statements showing two of the ‘donations’ that Turner sent Jack Sen. In total Sen says that that Turner funded him to the tune of £2,500 between May 2015 and February 2016 -paying for hotels, food, travel costs, and other expenses.
The EKP article also reveals an example of Turner sending funds to nationalists in the USA as well:

“Sen added that Turner sent him money to try and smuggle banned nationalist Matthew Heimbach into Britain via southern Ireland. Heimbach, who was due to speak at Sen’s inaugural British Renaissance Policy Event, had been banned from entering Britain by then Home Secretary, Theresa May. Although Sen sent Heimbach the money allocated for his expenses by Turner, he decided not to get involved, adding:

‘I had a feeling Turner was trying to trap me. He sent me the money, asked me to travel to Ireland and meet Heimbach, which I refused. I agreed to transfer the money to Heimbach but that was all I would agree to. Thankfully Heimbach got cold feet, backing out at the last minute.”

The article’s conclusion? “Although we were initially reluctant to accept the widely held notion that Jez Turner was in the employment of the British State – in spite of what we were told by Troy Southgate, as well as the information we received from former London Forum co-founder Chris White and former members of the London and Yorkshire Forums who work with him on a regular basis, at this juncture it is fair to say that the EKP is certain Jeremy ‘Jez’ Bedford Turner is a British intelligence officer.”

This, then, is the man who has spent years beavering away to drip-feed the acceptance of homosexuality into the ‘far-right’ in Britain, and whose provocations and bad advice have literally helped send more than a dozen young activists to prison, while he himself has always walked free.

Turner is very close to Greg Johnson, who has set up the New York Forum as one of the various groups around the world which have copied the London Forum model of holding regular events with a wide range of speakers of interest to nationalists, entertaining and preaching to the converted, without actually doing anything useful.

BAIN DEWITT
Turner’s right-hand man at the London Forum is Bain Dewitt, who acts as his young secretary. DeWitt also writes for Greg Johnson’s Counter-Currents as well as appearing on podcasts with Millennial Woes podcasts. [64]

Militant Alt-Right warrior DeWitt is very careful to avoid publishing any photos of himself, preferring to use this avatar instead.

One of DeWitt’s Counter-Currents articles is a rambling, pseudo-intellectual ‘justification’ for accepting open homosexuals as members of the ‘right’ and condemning the normal status quo as “vacuous” and “uninviting”:

“A choice in this context exists for the Right – we can posit a racial ecology where whites afflicted with gender identity disorder can exist and prosper as part of the white race as a parent identity, sympathetically recommending they manage their impulses in a moderate, private manner or even going so far as to provide a specific social role for them to do so, or to close the door and return to a vacuous, hypermasculinist, heterosexual-or-die attitude that is simultaneously uninviting and politically self-defeating. An acceptance of GID persons and provision of an alternative means of expression would redefine the Right from an exclusionary club based on ideological alliance and political doctrine, to an inclusionary movement based on a macro consensus of European conservatism. A creative energy directed at expanding Rightist thought to account for genuinely differentiated whites (of any socio-sexual stripe) would indicate a willingness to compromise personal taste in favor of wider social traction, and
thus transform the Rightist from the “outsider” and “basement dweller” to the “man of the people.” The mythology of the White Republic deserves messianic figures willing to be Christ-like in their acceptance of differentiation (such as dealing with the Centurion and his pais), whilst maintaining with the utmost integrity that the furthering of whites and white Identity is our supreme good, and our primary yardstick of social inclusion and trust.”

Further, according to DeWitt:

“Currently, the Right is in a highly dynamic stage of ideological fission. Rightists are grasping at any straws that will allow them to hold onto comfortable prejudices instead of synchronizing with a wider, biologically Eurocentric message that is inclusive of all Europeans, rather than ones of particular cultural or ideological mores. If man is a biocultural being, then our chances of success are greatest if we have a culture of making best use of everyone in our racial in-group, regardless of their biology. I believe it is essential that the Right offer pragmatic accommodation of sexual difference, not out of egalitarian frivolity but out of a recognition that civilizations are super-organisms. All other things being equal, the race that survives is the one best optimizes its members through civilization. This means finding a parity and purpose with differentiated individuals, within an enduring racio-cultural affiliation.”

The article received a sufficiently negative response for Counter-Currents readers, who recognised it as self-serving, pseudo-intellectual posturing by a self-obsessed homosexual, for it to be deleted. It may, however, still be found on the StormFront forum, complete with the original link. [65]

Despite the subtle and not-so-subtle attempts by the leadership to make the Alt-Right ‘gay-friendly,’ the project is so unnatural, ideologically and morally deviant, and simply wrong, that it still meets with resistance every time its advocates try to push things a little too far too fast.

Most people realise that, in a struggle which is at root demographic, the answer is to encourage, not homosexuality, but heterosexual families having large numbers of children and homeschooling them.

Like many (though not all) on the gay Alt-Right, DeWitt also allows his political stance to be pushed off course by personal hostility to Vladimir Putin, his efforts to put Christian values at the centre of Russian national life and, in particular, his refusal to allow homosexualist propaganda to be directed at school children.

In another DeWitt article, still available on the Counter-Currents website, he argued that “The Russians Are Not Our Guys”: 

Unlike the vast majority on the right, but like Barack Obama and the Washington elite, DeWitt sides with the Islamist ‘rebels’ against the Syrian and Russian governments
“In this article, I will put forward why I think the Syrian missile strike was A Good Thing, and why the Alt Right reaction was not merely self-defeating, but actually exposed critical flaws within the movement. The extreme negativity in response to the Syria strike was, at its peak, ridiculous, and showed a brittle inability to compromise with reality.

“For those that read the Saker, who rail against ‘AngloZionists,’ the good news is that we are the AngloZionists.

“Access to Qatari gas is a very real concern in the UK as it breaks a dependence on Russian supplies – a country that has struck at Europe’s heart before, and considers Eastern European states to be de facto Russian property by virtue of its military reach and former colonization.

“A Syria led by Assad that has defeated ISIS and settled into peace is also no friend to the West, having established military independence through cooperation with Moscow and become a no-fly zone to it.

“The ‘red line’ in the region has nothing to do with chemical weapons. It’s the red line where Russian expansion sabotages trade deals that could otherwise be negotiated. Assad has been put under enormous pressure by Putin to remain stable and refuse the West, otherwise Russia’s economic mainstay would suffer. Yes, Russian and Iranian gas might be cheaper, and yes, the Turks might be trying their damned best to demographically destroy us…. But the Turks don’t supply the Taliban with IEDs to blow up British soldiers. Russia does.”

Here DeWitt displays his total ignorance of matters military, since Improvised Explosive Devices, by their very nature, are not ‘supplied’ to anyone by anyone, they are made from unexploded shells and landmines.

Sounding like no-one more than Douglas Murray, DeWitt concluded his stunningly ill-informed liberal-interventionist rant: “Sometimes war is necessary to secure future prosperity, or even basic survival. Without Middle Eastern wars on the neocon hitlist, American hegemony is threatened, and our ‘way of life’ – read: First World luxury – is directly threatened.”

This article also sparked a horrified and hostile response from the vast majority of Counter-Currents readers who commented on it, setting out all the facts about the reality of the Obama/Clinton policy of using Jihadis in both Syria and Libya in an effort to impose political changes which – while devastatingly dangerous for Europe – were intended for the benefit of the military-industrial complex and other powerful elite lobbies.

Despite his clear signs of shockingly ‘un-nationalist’ political as well as moral deviancy, however, DeWitt remains in position as a young favourite of the older men trying to push the ‘movement’ towards homophilia. It is interesting that the supposed ‘extreme anti-Semite’ Turner appears happy to overlook his secretary declaring himself an “Anglo-Zionist”.

Portrayed as ultra hardline and ‘principled’, the London Forum is being used systematically to promote the acceptance of homosexuality on the far-right, although this agenda has only been advocated openly by DeWitt.

“BY THEIR FRUITS...”

Still, “by their fruits shall ye know them”, and among the other fruits and pro-homosexualists paraded as role models at Turner’s London Forum have been the rehabilitated self-confessed pederast Martin Webster, Millennial Woes, Greg Johnson and Richard Spencer.

Turner and Webster have been observed a number of times in long and friendly discussions at the Victory Services Club, of which Turner is a member.

Another regular speaker at Turner’s events is the homosexual Peter Rushton. This is another
rehabilitation job, since he was expelled in disgrace from the BNP as a Marxist spy, and has since teamed up with Mark Cotterill, expelled from the BNP by John Tyndall having been caught red-handed spying for the Communist Gerry Gable’s *Searchlight* operation. Together they run the black propaganda magazine *Heritage & Destiny*, gathering money and intelligence from the UK far-right by posing as being onsite.

Even weirder-looking than Peter Rushton (who can always afford to buy a victim a drink, despite decades as a ‘freelance journalist’ with no known outlets for articles) is the London Forum speaker who calls himself “Dave Yorkshire” and edits the ‘illiberal cultural magazine’ *Mjolnir*.

A long-term sniper at nationalists who actually try to do politics in the real world with ‘ordinary’ people, self-styled ‘pagan’ Yorkshire insists that he is not filmed when speaking at meetings and on wearing a mask for his offerings on YouTube. [66]

**WESTERN SPRING**

Finally, the London Forum also works closely with Max Musson – real name Larry Nunn – and Matt Tait, who together run the would-be pyramid-membership-scam Western Spring and hold physical training camps for young men.

Western Spring variously promotes Nietzschean fantasies about white ‘Supermen’ and the ‘new religion’ of Cosmotheism. Thus it falls into the same trap as all those who talk endlessly about the need to ‘save the West’, without understanding the truth of Hilaire Belloc’s revelation that “The Faith is Europe and Europe is the Faith”.

Married to a non-white immigrant, Nunn was formerly a none-too-successful local organiser in the BNP, but became one of those sniping against the then leadership when his proposal to create a pyramid membership scheme (with himself in position to receive a significant cut) was rejected.

Nunn/Musson played a particularly central role in inciting young members of National Action to be as extreme as possible, advising them that they were safe to do so under UK law. Yet when they were duly banned and several of them were thrown in jail, his Western Spring operation at no point offered any form of practical support or help.

Having encouraged, Western Spring did not even publicly recognise their existence on its website, let alone call on people to support them.

Tait was a speaker at the 2016 NPI conference and hosts Spencer when he visits Great Britain. There was a time when he used literally to break down in tears at his inability to find a girlfriend. There is no firm evidence that he is now “on the other bus”, although why any normal young man would associate with such known degenerates is a mystery.
NAZI SEX OFFENDERS & WOMAN-HATERS

Working “from left to right” as this study has broadly tried to do, we now arrive at the extreme end of the ‘Alt-Right’ spectrum, concluding with a look at the attitudes of the most notorious self-proclaimed Nazis in Britain and the United States.

NATIONAL ACTION

Members of the now-proscribed British neo-Nazi organisation National Action (above) have frequently been either speakers or guests at the increasingly gay-friendly London Forum. In a similar vein, NA leader Benjamin Raymond did a long interview with Counter-Currents’ Greg Johnson in February 2016.

NA’s guilt in the acceptance of homosexuality, however, goes far beyond a question of the company they keep on the far-right.

Raymond himself is fixated on images of homosexual rape and violence, with a large collection of Nazi abuse pornographic drawings including the one in the right column.

There is a pattern developing here. National Action interviewed on their website a member of a Lithuanian group, ‘Ivan the Graffiti Artist’. This particular individual has talked about how he has been influenced by ‘pederast writers’.

In keeping with occult-influenced pagan Nazis in Scandinavia, the National Action leadership were initially favourable to the pro-EU, neo-Nazi coup in Ukraine, although they back-peddled on this when even their own members objected and pointed to the fact that the anti-Russian coup was backed by George Soros and the CIA.
RYAN FLEMING – SATANIST PERVERT
Far worse than mere political error, they promoted, as a speaker and role-model, one Ryan Fleming – a convicted homosexual sex offender.

Fleming, who spoke at one of the group’s rallies in Newcastle in January 2017, has a conviction for the false imprisonment and for a sustained and revolting sexual assault on a teenage boy in 2011.

Fleming was jailed for 26 months for what was described as a “degrading and humiliating” attack on a teenager with special needs. The victim was assaulted, shaved, cut and sexually assaulted at a house party in Leeds in front of onlookers.

According to a report in the *Yorkshire Evening Post*, Judge Christopher Batty said of the victim’s two-hour ordeal: “There aren’t many cases that genuinely shock. This is one.”[67]

One of the things the Judge found most shocking was that Fleming pushed his penis into his terrified young victim’s mouth, apparently particularly enjoying the fact that he had an audience.

Every organisation that recruits members of the public runs the risks that one of its members turns out to be some sort of criminal or deviant. But if they genuinely do not know, and if they act to remove the offender and to break all contact, then it would be dishonest to use the misdeeds of the individual to blacken the name of the organisation as a whole.

In the case of Fleming and National Action, however, this did not happen. Urged to condemn this and to disassociate themselves from Fleming, National Action’s collective leadership refused to do so. Instead they tried to make light of the matter, portraying it as a bit of harmless horseplay. Indeed, it was reported that Benjamin tried to spin the incident as evidence of NA’s ‘revolutionary potential’.

Exactly what the National Action leader meant by this is unclear, but the dark truth is that he and other key members were well aware that, in addition to being a bisexual predator and paedophile, Fleming is also a hardcore devil-

[67]
Fleming writes under the pen-name of A. A. Morain. The online advert for his first book, *Codex Aristarchus*, gives an insight into the warped minds of those disseminating the poison he produces:

“From the blood-stained moors of West Yorkshire, England, comes a genuinely amoral vampiric praxis melding the black arts of predatory astral vampirism with the harsh ordeal-based approach of the Sinister Seven-Fold Way.

“In Codex Aristarchus, A.A. Morain presents the definitive collective works of the Drakon Covenant, including vampiric theory, rites and methods by which the reader themselves can step upon the black path of the Wamphyri – feeding upon the human herd and taking the trecherous road to confrontation with the bleak Ascended Masters, the Undead.

“Unlike the many books penned by arm-chair occultists presently flooding the market, *Codex Aristarchus* bears the distinction of authorship by one actually treading evil ground with documented criminal and ultra-right political bona fides.” [68]

The phrase ‘blood-stained moors’ is a sick reference to the torture, rape and murder of five children by the so-called Moors Murderers Ian Brady and Myra Hindley between July 1963 and October 1965, a series of appalling crimes which Fleming finds inspirational.

Fleming’s latest book, *Scithain*, is promoted on the same publisher’s website:

“To open a gate to these dimensions requires a tremendous effort on the part of the witch. For this reason, they historically bonded into covens whose collective efforts could, for a time, open a nexion into the limitless darkness and bring forth these ageless ones who would anoint them, and write their names in the books of black earth, so that their deeds would not be forgotten and they would be remembered when they called again.

“Revealing for the first time the intimate workings and rites of the founding coven of the infamous Yorkshire nexion, *Scithain: Vampyric Witchcraft of the Drakon Covenant* offers serious advancements and detailings of the unique vampiric current within the Sinister Tradition of the Order of Nine Angles.

“In contrast to the polemical and harsh practises and message of *Codex Aristarchus*, A. A. Morain and other several contributors outline the esoteric teachings of the coven; from the particular veneration of entities unique to the Drakon Covenant to the mechanics behind acausal sorcery as well as the dark and obscure lineage from which the book itself takes its name.

“Within its pages readers will find the detailed threads of a dim and distant past, steeped in grim superstition and beliefs and which threads echo forth to the present, connecting the ancient shamanic past of the British Isles to the modern machinations of vampiric witches who are tirelessly working to presence very ancient powers to bring about a new era in human evolution.”

While still on licence after his release for kidnapping and homosexual assault, Ryan
contacted a 14-year-old girl on Facebook. Pretending to be only 18, the 26-year-old pervert had sex with her several times.

In July 2017 he was jailed for three years for these offences. Judge Jonathan Durham Hall QC described him as “boastful and arrogant” and made a Sexual Harm Prevention Order for 10 years banning Fleming from having any future contact with his victim, or any unsupervised contact with children under 16. [69]

News reports of Fleming’s second jail term prompted the following Facebook post from long-standing nationalist activist and author Mark Collett, who also lives in West Yorkshire. Note his complaint that, despite Fleming’s disgusting homosexual abuse record and well-known Satanism, ‘many’ members of National Action did not merely defend the indefensible, but “attacked and ridiculed” him for speaking out.

It is not necessary for everyone in an organisation to be a homosexuals or a Satanist for it to stand condemned as part of the push to homosexualise or Satanise wider society around it. Simply to refuse to condemn such tendencies is enough.

Quite apart from the total immorality of the refusal of other National Action leaders to condemn Fleming, there is also a very practical reason why their failure to do so should alarm even the few who might agree with their ‘policies’ and campaigns:

When people are so totally unable to discern between right and wrong, or refuse to choose between the two, then this means that, in the event of an opportunity arising for them to profit in some way from betraying their fellow members, one can be certain that they would have no moral scruples to prevent them from doing so. Whatever his Cause may be, the amoral man is a traitor just waiting for his opportunity to benefit from betraying it.

THE PINK SWASTIKA

Obsessed with Hitlerism, it appears that at least some in National Action (and presumably its Panama-registered successor, the National Socialist Network) take their lead not from the National Socialist policy of putting homosexuals in concentration camps but from the lesser known, but extremely pervasive, practice of homosexuality among some Nazi officials.

This was something which led their wartime Italian fascist allies to mock what they called “the beautiful Germans” and post-war researchers Scott Lively and Kevin Abrams to produce the devastating book *The Pink Swastika*. 
DAILY STORMER – EQUAL OPPORTUNITY HATERS

At the furthest end of the Alt-Right spectrum in the USA is Andrew Anglin, the self-proclaimed Nazi who runs the Daily Stormer. Until being shut down after Charlottesville, this was the most popular Hitlerite website in the world.

Anglin frequently posts material which is very critical of homosexuals, transgenders and so on. Indeed, his favourite phrase those who do not share his views is that they are ‘faggots’.

Personally, Anglin tends towards agnosticism, but has condemned the tendency of some hard-line and ‘pagan’ nationalists to attack Christianity, writing: “Our Race has a long history of drawing strength from the Christian doctrine. It is a shame on your ancestors to speak of this faith in foul terms.”

An ardent supporter of the idea that ‘white genocide’ is a deliberate plot by “the Jews” to obliterate the white race, Anglin is nevertheless unusually (for a white supremacist) unconcerned with the demographic problem. He has even argued that, since robots could in the future do most of the work, and because the offspring of some well-known nationalists have grown up to become liberals, it is not important to have children.

The Daily Stormer argues that “(((feminism))) is an ideology whose purpose is to destroy the White race by decreasing White birth rates and making White men and White women incapable of having normal relationships with each other.”

But Anglin then goes on to publish screeds showing extreme contempt for and hatred of women, to the extent that any young women reading it would inevitably be repelled from the far-right, while impressionable teenage boys taking the message in can only – whatever his intentions – be pushed along the road towards MGTOW.

There is no logic at all in making ‘anti-gay’ noises while pointing adolescent males down a road lined with predatory older homosexuals.

The Daily Stormer regularly reports on nationalist and racist marches and riots, but never features the family events put on every summer by most ‘extreme-right’ parties in Europe. Its coverage of National Socialist Germany focuses on war and the persecution of the Jews, rather than the encouragement of large families, which would seem to be the most obvious point for latter-day Nazis to seek to emulate given their concern about the “rising tide of colour”.

This position reflects Anglin’s personal position of extreme contempt, if not actual hatred, for women. They are ‘sluts’ and ‘whores’, natural-born liberals who are to blame for the collapse of “white male society”.

Anglin (pictured left in what he wrote was his bedroom) has frequently admitted to a preference for Asian women.

As we have already made it clear, there are very good reasons for being concerned over the current marked trend for young women to become ‘spokespersons’ for a movement explicitly concerned with the survival of European peoples and the civilisation they produced – especially at the very time when they are at their most fertile and, if they are true traditionalists, should be setting an example and doing what nature primarily designed them to do, namely settling down with a good young man and having children.

But one can make this point either as an admirer of
the beauty and utterly unique life-giving abilities of young women, in a chivalrous way which sets them on their own special and God-given pedestal, or one can do so with crude vulgarity which can only fuel the feminists’ fake, alien and bitterly destructive ‘sex-war’. Unfortunately, Anglin and his collaborators see fit to do the latter.

Further, despite his repeated denunciations of homosexuality, Anglin comments favourably on various ‘Alt-Right’ organisations, activities and parties which are notoriously ‘gay friendly’, including the London Forum. Above, for example is a screen shot of a supportive Daily Stormer report on the Scandza Forum.

WEEV – FANTASIES OF VIOLENCE AGAINST ‘LASCIVIOUS’ WOMEN
The depths of the Daily Stormer’s editorial hatred for women are highlighted in particular in an article by regular contributor ‘Weev’, which Anglin referred to in May 2017 as “an essay which is sure to go down in history as one of the most important ever written in the White Nationalist community”.

Entitled ‘Just What are Traditional Gender Roles?’ this is a staggeringly ugly rant in support of the idea of ‘White Sharia’ – a piece of tactical lunacy as well as moral depravity which is so obviously such a ghastly error as to prompt many saner voices on the far-right to ask if it is not in fact the work of agents provocateurs?

The phrase ‘White Sharia’ is of course a propaganda gift for mainstream journalists working to encourage or justify liberal state repression of nationalists as being “the mirror image of ISIS”.

Weev’s real name is Andrew Alan Escher Auernheimer. Ethnically Jewish, he now lives in Ukraine, a failed state created by a coup d’état by gangs of neo-Nazi thugs funded by Zionist oligarchs. He is an outspoken supporter of Ukraine and its EU-funded ethnic cleansing murders of Russian-speakers, praising Ukraine’s “totally awesome death squads” on his own blog.

Unpleasant reading though it is, we quote extracts from Weev’s “most important essay” at length, because it illustrates very clearly the depths of the problem he has with women and sexuality. Note too his implied anti-Christianism and, in particular, the hysterical fear of the supposed ‘lascivious natures’ of all women, coupled with fantasies of psychotic violence against them. One doesn’t have to subscribe to the crackpot theories of Freud to wonder what’s
going on inside his head:

I’m in a traditional marriage”. “I’m all for traditional gender roles”. “I want gender norms to be like the old days”

These are refrains I’ve heard endlessly repeated as the discussion over WHITE SHARIA has advanced. They are coming from women and a few weak men counter-signaling the WHITE SHARIA meme.

Rape is a property crime and nothing more. First a crime against the property of the father, and then a crime against the property of the husband.

…hitting a woman out of her head was seen as benevolent and a universal necessity in every marriage until the sixties, and even portrayed positively in movies and film. Regular slapping and the occasional vicious beating of a woman was a necessity in every household

… manipulative whores

… white men had a fool’s compassion in their hearts and lost the good sense to shove their faces into a countertop and give them a swift kick to the gut as hard as they can when these skanks had it coming to them.

A final word to offended “traditional” female readers:

Despite all your assertions of being a good traditionalist, you fight against the implementation of traditional gender roles wherever they begin to be discussed. You’re not a traditional woman and you don’t want a traditional relationship…. You speak traditionalism with your Pinterest and Instagram posts, but your actions scream of your lascivious natures. You agitate only for the “rights” of modernity: to deny your fertility, to destroy families, to rot at and injure the lives of good men who have acted with honor and decency in all their dealings to you.

You’re a whore.

That would normally be a forgivable thing. I’ve found the company of many prostitutes quite amicable, and whatever gods may be know it is impossible to meet a woman that isn’t one in this era. However, that you would sully the good name of European tradition, that you would run around using it as a cloak for your harlotry makes you the an entirely contemptible whore. Your blasphemy against the history of Europe is to a level unforgivable through words alone, and you need to have your face bashed in by the fists of good men before a great horned shrine. On the far precipice of life, as a palsied chill ascends fast to put cold grasp upon those streams that pulse beside your throat you may beg the apologies of your ancestors.

Be honest about what you are. Don’t sit here and pretend you’re a nice traditional girl when you fight against any implementation of traditional values. Say aloud what you are, on the streets, to your families, on social media: “I’m a despicable whore.” Do it before it is too late, because I swear to whatever gods may be that when the purge comes if you have been using traditionalism as a cloak for your revolting degeneracy your name is going on a list and we will be coming to make you pay for it. You will feel the punch to your throat first, but the hours afterwards at the hands of a WHITE SHARIA gang will make that seem as just a brief and gentle touch against your skin. Your ribs will be broken. Your face will be broken. Some of you will not live to tell about it. This I promise: a much needed correction is coming for you soon, you disgusting skanks.
CHARLOTTESVILLE – THE STRANGE CHARACTERS BEHIND THE DISASTER

The vast majority of normal American patriots immediately recognised Charlottesville as a monumental disaster.

There are, however, a minority who still find the brief moments when avowed nationalists dominated the streets, and especially the torch-lit procession, as some sort of symbolic and aesthetic triumph.

They need to remember that political actions should not be judged by how they make those who attend them feel, nor by whether a tiny and totally powerless minority thinks they are successful. The only sane and constructive way to assess an event or campaign is to ask: Did it advance the Cause? Not in our heads, but in the real world? Who is more powerful as a result – us or our enemies?

Charlottesville opened the door to a wholesale purge of ‘right-wing’ sites, organisations and financial lifelines from the Internet, it helped perhaps the largest ever expansion of the ultra-violent far-left, and it further demonised President Trump, making it even harder for him to do things that are right. If that is not a disastrous outcome, what would be?
This is a good point at which to recap on points already noted in order to show how the organisation of the Charlottesville disaster was the work of the sinister elements this study has exposed. Look at the list of speakers on the official flyer for the event. When you know their recent histories, the whole thing actually looks like an ‘Occupy the Right’ black op by Obama supporters!

We have already met most of them, but some shocking new facts have emerged:

**AUGUSTUS INVICTUS**

A self-confessed Satanist who freely admits to having slaughtered a goat and drunk its still warm blood as a ‘sacrifice’. Invictus was a member of notorious Satanist Alistair Crowley’s Order of the Temple of the East for eleven years.

He is a close associate of homosexual Satanist Jack Donovan, one of the main ‘intellectual’ heroes of the Alt-Right and a regular platform speaker at Richard Spencer’s National Policy Institute. Donovan, whose works of ‘art’ include revoltingly blasphemous images of Jesus Christ in a homosexual orgy, tattooed a fascist symbol onto Invictus.

**JASON KESSLER**

Jason Kessler was openly an Obama and Occupy activist right up until Donald Trump was elected in November 2016. On his own blog he admits to being in favour of the murder of unborn babies and an atheist. As he says, “I am far from a card-carrying conservative”:

“Where is the party for free thinkers? I consider myself a free speech, pro-abortion, anti-affirmative action, environmentalist, non-interventionist, anti-Cultural Marxist, vegetarian, pro-equality atheist who has close Muslim friends but also recognizes the existential threat of Radical Islam & who recognizes the value immigrants bring to the United States.”

So we are not the only ones to smell a rat when Kessler collaborates with the Communist-inspired, George Soros-funded ACLU to ensure that the ‘Unite the Right’ protest went ahead. Because it became the biggest PR disaster for Donald Trump since the Alt-Right’s Richard Spencer (also a key figure among those pushing for the counter-productive confrontation at Charlottesville) pulled his extremely damaging ‘Heil Trump’ stunt. Cui bono?

Once people started to ‘dig’ into Kessler’s background some other remarkable facts emerged. Thanks to Internet sleuths, a CNN report on Occupy Wall St. from five years ago was uncovered, which credits “CNN Assignment Editor Jason Kessler.”

Here are a few things you need to know about Jason Kessler.

First, he supports gun control.
Kessler hated Republicans so much that he even compared them to gay serial killer Jeffrey Dahmer:

He claims he was “red pilled” by the “media instigating the Baltimore riots.” However, an Advanced search of his Tweets only reveals one Tweet raising questions or assigning blame for the Baltimore Riots. That Tweet was sent a month after this so called “red pill” or change of heart. In nearly 5,000 Tweets, Kessler never mentioned the Baltimore Riots prior to his alleged ‘change of heart’.

Most damning of all, Kessler used to work as a reporter for CNN. And now he turns up and organises a PR disaster which gave CNN and the rest of the Fake News Media a giant stick with which to beat Donald Trump every time he tries to make a stand for justice for the American majority. Isn’t that just way too convenient?

Put all these things together. Then consider the disastrous outcome of the protest that Kessler organised, with the help of the far-left ACLU. How can anyone conclude that the whole thing wasn’t a set-up from start to finish? And, in the light of this, why should anyone trust any of the other so-called ‘Alt-Right’ personalities who claim that they used to be leftists or liberals but have been ‘red-pilled’ – yet still continue promoting the liberal agenda on key issues such as ‘gay rights’ and abortion? [71]

BAKED ALASKA

Real name Anthime ‘Tim’ Gionet, this Libertarian rapper is another ‘former leftist’. At the start of Trump’s campaign he was working for leftist news outlet Buzzfeed, advocating the legalisation of drugs and playing an active role in Black Lives Matter demonstrations. He went on to be employed as Tour Manager on homosexualist Milo Yiannopolous’ “Dangerous Faggot” tour.

He also admits to having tortured his pet cat with duct tape and to being an atheist.
CHRISTOPHER CANTWELL

Describes himself as an “anarchist and atheist”. Despite all his bold talk before the event, Cantwell cried like a frightened child in a video (see still above) he released on YouTube whining about his fear of being arrested for his part in organising the rally.

Given that many of them were stupid enough to think that the people who organised the Charlottesville farce were genuine, he may be right to think that the crackpot Nazi fringe will turn a blind eye to his hero worship of Jewish-American ‘neo-reactionary’ political theorist Mencius Moldbug, or his handing the running of CounterFund to Peter Belau, who brags about how right-leaning Jews such as himself are important figures in the Alt Right. [72]

MATT HEIMBACH

Heimbach explains his conversion from pro-Israel Christian campus campaigner into hardline neo-Nazi by saying he was ‘red-pilled’ by the extent of hostility from Jewish groups even to his initially moderate message. It is all too common for white nationalists to fail to see that Zionists often deliberately provoke anti-Semitism as a tool to prod assimilated Jews towards their own radical and racist ‘Greater Israel’ agenda.

PAX DICKINSON

Originally another libertarian, Dickinson now describes himself as a ‘Redneck Zionist’. What on earth is he doing running with Nazis? Perhaps he thinks they’re dumb enough to pour money into his patently corrupt CounterFund pyramid money-raising scheme?

JOHNNY MONOXIDE

Real name John Ramondetta, he is yet another former Occupy activist. This self-confessed drug abuser, who has two counts for trafficking cocaine, suddenly ‘switched sides’ in 2015 to join the Alt-Right and become a hardcore neo-Nazi.
BASED STICKMAN
Real name Kyle Chapman, Based Stickman is a career criminal with three prison sentences behind him. Notorious as a user of cocaine, LSD and meth, Chapman was put in charge of the Alt-Lite gay-friendly Proud Boys ‘Alt-Knight’ wing, which he set about organising with Satanist Augustus Invictus as his right hand man.

Although McInnes first pulled out of, and then condemned, the ‘Unite the Right’ protest, both his Proud Boys and Alt-Knights were among those who let themselves get caught in the Charlottesville Trap.

RICHARD SPENCER
Although not listed in advance as a speaker, the NPI’s Richard Spencer played key roles not only in organising Charlottesville but in encouraging people to attend. He also acted as a spokesman over the weekend, and as a sort of reverse lightning conductor, ensuring that the embarrassing impact of the whole sorry affair continued to hit Donald Trump long after the event itself was over.

White nationalists Richard Spencer and Evan McLaren ‘planned Charlottesville rally from Trump Hotel in Washington, DC’ was the international news headline fully two weeks later. McLaren is the Executive Director of Spencer’s NPI and the individual whose tweet broke the story: When McLaren was contacted by a reporter asking for comment, he told her that he was ‘too busy planning a rally’ in Charlottesville. [73]

The whole thing was, of course, typical liberal media Fake News. After all, Donald Trump has no control over who books themselves into one of his hotels. But the liberal-left have always worked on the principle of “throw enough mud and some of it will stick”, and Spencer would have known full well how this stunt would be exploited by the media.

Curiously, although the liberal media constantly promote Spencer as the face of the Alt-Right, they have so far failed to expose the deeply twisted nature of some of his associates, or to publicise the increasingly widely known facts about the Alt-Right scene in places such as San Francisco as dens of pederastic vice. This goes beyond Invictus and Donovan.
While the Daily Stormer promoted Charlottesville heavily, Weev has turned against Richard Spencer since. He has in particular attacked Spencer for employing an individual known as TheBigKK to run his Discord chatrooms, and for keeping him in position even after he was repeatedly exposed as a ‘furry’. This is a fetish subculture where twisted men attempt to lure autistic or emotionally damaged teenage boys with mascot-style animal costumes and sexually abuse them.

‘LED’ INTO A TRAP

The many ‘fine people’ who went to Charlottesville thinking it was a genuine, grass-roots protest against far-left bigotry were led into a trap. Ever since, we’ve seen the far-left and anti-white racists running amok, tearing down statues and desecrating the graves of Confederate war heroes.

And – their primary aim – they and the Fake News Media have backed President Trump into a corner. If he now tries to carry on with his stand for real equality and justice by abolishing racist ‘affirmative action’ programmes, they’ll hammer him into the ground with shrieks of “KKK” and “Nazi”.

Just as the same “very bad people” did with the fake Russiagate story, they’ve pushed America’s elected President into a position where he can only do the right thing by the American people at the cost of enormous political damage to himself.

This is all due to the stupidity or worse of the ‘leaders’ of the hardcore Alt-Right. And now you know who they are: Occupy activists; Satanists; Nazis; homosexualist collaborators; supporters of baby-murder. These are the individuals who led thousands of well-meaning and decent patriots into the far-left trap at Charlottesville.

These are the people who have empowered the far-left to roll out its war of cultural extermination against the heritage of the Southern States. A war which, once over in the former CSA, will roll on to be a war against the frontier heritage of the entire USA, because that too was ‘racist’ and is a great stick with which to beat all Christian European-Americans.

If majority Americans in the old CSA today are guilty over slavery, then their fellows in the North and West are equally guilty over the far worse brutality of the way the Washington government answered the Indian question. And ALL will pay the price in terms of being discriminated against, taxed, demonised and dispossessed.

Just because these people call themselves ‘right’ doesn’t make them so. Just because the unspeakable repulsive far-left attacks them doesn’t make them our allies, and they are not.

From ‘Heilgate’ to Charlottesville, they have done and continue to do immense damage to the cause of the Real Right with their anti-Christian ideologies and fundamentally liberal attitudes on core issues such as abortion and the homosexualism that is the spear-point of the cultural Marxist war on the family and on Christian tradition.

By accident, stupidity or design, they are perverting our message and subverting our sacred Cause.

If you find this message uncomfortable, please read it again, check our facts for yourself and sit down and think about it. If you then realise that we are right, welcome aboard!

If you are still not ready for these truths and for our uncompromising analysis, it’s probably better that you go away and learn the hard way. You’ll probably
be back and “there is more joy in Heaven over one sinner who repents…”

AFTER CHARLOTTESVILLE – THE LUNACY CONTINUES...
After Charlottesville, any normal or genuine person would surely have realised that such events were only giving Antifa and the anti-Trump left the opportunity to build momentum and increase their dominance of the streets. So it is worth looking at the organisers of two supposed ‘free speech’ events in the San Francisco area:

Joey Gibson announced a ‘Freedom’ rally by his group, which has the reassuringly conservative name ‘Patriot Prayer’.

But speaking after his abortive rally had seen thousands of leftists swamp and batter the handful of grassroots Trump supporters who turned up, Gibson revealed that he is not a conservative at all:

“I’m Japanese. We have three black speakers, a couple Hispanic, an atheist, a transsexual. We’re extremely diverse. It’s really irresponsible for the leaders to call me a white supremacist. It’s completely unfounded.”

Reporting on their interview, KTVU revealed that “He said he wouldn’t be preaching a conservative message and that in fact, many conservatives don’t even like his message. For the record, Gibson told us he’s pro-gay rights, against the Patriot Act and against the War on Drugs.”

“You can’t put me in a box. You can’t say I’m a conservative. You can’t say I’m a progressive.” [74]

Another rally, loosely linked to Gibson and his fake Christian group, also helped the left whip up a fresh storm of anti-Trump street violence. The ‘No to Marxism in Berkeley’ rally was hosted by Amber Cummings, a transgender woman who likes to pose with a rainbow Gadsden flag.

Does it not strike you as strange that this militant supporter of the cultural Marxist ‘gender’ war on Christian values should suddenly decide to organise an ‘anti’ Marxist rally?

Having called the event, Cummings pleaded for no one to go to because ‘she’ feared there would be violence and was scared for her own safety.

Both these fake patriots and anti-Christian cranks gave the anti-Trump left the excuse for a mass mobilisation and for a fresh wave of violence that further consolidated their grip on the streets in California.

The left’s aim is to build these protests until – just as in the mass demonstrations funded and organised by the Washington Deep State and George Soros in Ukraine – the legitimate, elected President is forced from office. From Charlottesville onwards, we have to assume that anyone who helps them build momentum is being paid to do so and is really on their side.
WHY – WHO WANTS TO HOMOSEXUALISE THE ‘RIGHT’?

There are various agendas at work in the chaotic jumble dubbed the Alt-Right. The central one in this study remains, however, the ‘pinkification’ of the entire thing, from one extreme to the other. So what is it all about? Who is pushing the worldwide homosexualisation of the ‘right’, and why?

At one level, it can be seen as a mere side-effect of the well-publicised extreme hostility of Islamist terrorists and hate-preachers to homosexuality. It was surely inevitable that, faced with such antagonism, some homosexuals would conclude that the weak-kneed liberal-left response to the threat must be replaced with something much tougher.

With appeasement of Islamism the order of the day even on the mainstream right, an attempt to shift the ‘Islamo-realists’ of the Alt-Right into an acceptance of homosexuals must appear to some gays as the only option they have other than to wait to be thrown off tall buildings.

While this may account for some of the ‘infiltration’, however, it does not account for the fact that many – including not a few heterosexuals – already involved in the Alt-Right have gone along with the shift.

Some, no doubt, are themselves closet homosexuals, who are simply encouraging an opportunity to ‘come out’ in an area of politics and opinions where previously they could not.

Others appear to be following the ‘pinkwashing’ strategy. ‘Pinkwashing’ is a compound of the words ‘pink’ and ‘whitewashing’. In LGBT sub-culture, it is used to describe “marketing and political strategies aimed at promoting products, countries, people or entities through an appeal to queer-friendliness, in order to be perceived as progressive, modern and tolerant.”

As a tactic, this could perhaps be said to make sense for the ‘Alt-Lite’ section of the movement, because in order to grow it needs to appeal to people who have previously been to its left. But it makes no sense at all for the harder core Alt-Right to follow suit, thereby losing its identity, its cutting edge and its raison d’etre as the defender of ‘traditional values’.

Those making this shift risk offending their own support base, particularly among working class men and serious Christians. To look specifically at Britain, for a moment, who do the pinkwash advocates want on their side “when the balloon goes up”? Hundreds of thousands of tough, militantly heterosexual and inherently ‘racist’ Poles, or a gaggle of mincing right-wing Julian Clary look-alikes?
There is here an eerie parallel with the left, which reached out to homosexuals as part of a strategy to build a ‘coalition of the disaffected’; only to find that the ‘gays’ have taken over and imposed their own agendas. The left has already wasted years, and made itself absurd and irrelevant to millions of working people who used to support it, by getting bogged down in trans-rights and other cultural Marxist sexual grievance agitation of interest only to a tiny minority.

It is the 21st century version of the medieval debates about how many angels could dance on a pinhead. The hijacked left’s obsession with discovering the total number of genders – and being welcoming and inclusive to them all – has done it immense damage. The ‘right’ is in danger of treading the same worn-out, dead-end road.

To some extent at least, this is the fault of no one in particular. Rather it is simply a reflection of the spirit of the times – an age of almost unparalleled degeneracy in which even many good people have lost their way.

We hope that the glimpse of the truly sordid nature of the homosexualist presence within the ‘right’ will encourage more of these folk to search for and find their moral compass.

In particular, there is a question to be answered by those who believe that the future holds a Europe-wide civil war between Islam and the indigenous peoples: To turn in favour of homosexuality and social liberalism means automatically to forfeit the future support of traditionalists and Christians in places such as Poland, Hungary and Russia.

What will win the coming Long War between the Wahhabis and Christendom – rainbow flags, carnival floats and childless intellectuals, or Kalashnikovs, tanks and a new generation of young fighters?

**PUSHING FOR ENDLESS WAR**

There is one more vested interest group pushing the ‘right’ to homosexual tolerance. We have already examined the clique of North American-based billionaires funding the Counter-Jihad movement and integrationist policies in the West, while backing extreme Zionists – including some designated ‘domestic terrorists’ by the Israeli government.

We noted in particular the role of heiress Nina Rosenwald, but the Counter-Jihad movement, fronted and organised by individuals such as Pamela Geller and Frank Gaffney (together above), has also received vast sums from Sheldon Adelson, the late Irving Moskowitz and Rabbi Raphael Shore, who for more than a decade now has been central to the effort to push America into a new war in the Middle East on behalf of Likud’s war criminals and their terror-funding Saudi Arabian allies.

Their aim is not to help protect Western nations from Islamic extremism, but to use the legitimate fear of that extremism to fan support for sanctions and war against Iran.

This despite the fact that Shia Iran, as already seen in Syria, is one of the most effective forces in the worldwide fight against the Sunni Wahhabism which is at the root of Al Qaeda and ISIS and Islamist extremism in the West. [75, 76]

At first sight it is strange that the Counter-Jihad movement funded as part of this operation should adopt the pro-gay position typified by the comments already noted of Pamela Geller. Most of the financial backers hold conservative positions, while the Zionist fascist fringe groups they support in Israel are virulently anti-gay.
The Jewish Defense League, for example, which was closely linked with Tommy Robinson’s English Defence League, accurately condemns homosexuality as “detrimental to the perpetuation of Jewish life”.

The JDL greatly offended the powerful Canadian gay lobby in May 2011. In a story in *The Extra* headed ‘Jewish Defense League likens gays to Nazis’, it was reported that “The Jewish Defense League (JDL) is attempting to organize an April 15 protest at the offices of Pride Toronto (PT). ‘During the Nazi era, many high-ranking Nazis were gay,’ the JDL Facebook invitation reads in part.

“We are taking the gay community that runs this parade to task,’ says Meir Weinstein, national director of the JDL’s Canadian branch. ‘We are speaking out against this vile, anti-Jewish, anti-Israel group.”

The ideological guru of the Hilltop Youth (a body of extremist Zionist settlers who are backed by several of the Counter-Jihad supporting billionaires) Rabbi Yitzchak Ginsburgh, describes homosexuality as a ‘sin’ rooted in selfishness in his book *The Mystery of Marriage*.

Founded by the grandson of Meir Kahane, the assassinated leader of the JDL, the Hilltop Youth are notorious for so-called ‘Price Tag’ attacks against Arabs, Christians (see picture) and gays, and even members of the Israeli army.

The attacks were condemned in the *Jerusalem Post* in an op-ed entitled ‘The New Jewish Underground’:

“For the past several weeks Israel has been inundated with news of attacks on Palestinians, gays and Christian places of worship, all apparently the work of Jewish religious extremists.” [77]

Produced at the very start of 2012, this 46 page document collated a mass of evidence already in the public domain to provide a detailed and damning portrait of exactly who created the EDL, and why
This violence comes from the same strand of Zionist fascism and religious fundamentalism which is strongly supported by the people pushing the “Anti-Sharia = Pro-Gay” line in the Counter-Jihad movement in North America, Britain, Europe and Australia.

Thus we see very clearly that their ‘pro-gay’ position when it comes to gentile Counter-Jihadists is insincere and hypocritical. We are therefore entitled to ask why are they doing it?

There appear to be only two possible answers: The first could be that they feel that the Counter-Jihad struggle is as much in need of ‘pinkwashing’ as the rest of the Alt-Right. Given its appeal to the enormously powerful Christian lobby in the USA, however, this would be tactically foolish, since going out on the pro-gay limb makes them look like leftist liberals – the very people that the American right most detest.

That leaves us with just one realistic explanation: That these people know that, in fanning the flames of popular opposition to immigration and Islamisation, they are also fanning the embers of the old ‘far-right’ extremism which proved so terribly disastrous to millions of innocent Jews last time they flared up. They surely remember what happened after Hitler’s rise to power was financed partly by Zionist Wall Street bankers, who hoped the Nazis would force European Jews to flee to Palestine. [78]

It makes perfect sense for these people to promote social liberalism and the tolerance of homosexuals as a way to inoculate the growing anti-Islamist movement against radical nationalist extremism. They are investing vast amounts of time and money to create a powerful Western street movement to promote conflict with Islam, but the last thing they want is for that movement to run out of control and turn into a genuine nationalist opposition.
It is inevitable that their artificial promotion of the Counter-Jihad movement will tend to spill over into an organic rise in far-right nationalism in general, so homosexualisation has a valuable role to play in ‘taming’ not just the Counter-Jihad movement, but also the far-right as a whole.

Since this study deals specifically with the Anglosphere Alt-Right it is not the place to examine the parallel – and even further advanced – Zionist campaign to capture Europe’s populist electoral right. Informed European readers will, however, inevitably have spotted the similarities between the developments detailed here and what has been done to hijack older far-right parties such as the Front National and Austrian Freedom Party, or to form and direct newer ones such as the Sweden Democrats and Alternative für Deutschland.

I have spoken [79] and written [80] elsewhere on the approach these people made to me while leader of the BNP.

They offered (and showed evidence that they could deliver) massive sympathetic media coverage if we would only agree to dance to their tune. When I refused, they launched a war of political extermination against the party, similar to that now being waged against any nationalist or Christian organisation which likewise insists on policies and activities designed to benefit their own people, rather than the Zionist agenda.

**FULL SPECTRUM DOMINANCE**

So the drive to capture the Alt-Right we have documented is not a one-off phenomenon, but part of a far bigger picture. Rather than being an isolated case it is more or less the last piece of the jigsaw.

Having spent decades trying to destroy every last scrap of patriotic or Christian resistance to their globalist agenda, these people are now trying to harness and use such ‘right-wing’ sentiments to their own ends. Not just here and there, but right across the board, from liberal homosexuals such as Milo right through to neo-Nazis from Ukraine to Charlottesville.

The aim is full spectrum dominance, and what is being done to the Alt-Right in the Anglosphere has already been done to most of the populist parties and identitarian movements in Europe, ranging from the Sweden Democrats to Casa Pound in Italy.

**Reproduced in at least a dozen Youtube videos, Nick Griffin's speech in Strasbourg exposing the Coudenhove-Kalergi plan for the elimination of all European peoples is now the most widely watched speech ever made in the European Parliament [81]**

Marine Le Pen together with the FN’s openly homosexual Deputy Leader, Florian Philippot. French traditionalists have also been alienated by Marine’s refusal to support the Manif Pour Tous campaign of huge rallies in favour of traditional marriage and the rights of families.

In every case, homosexuals play an important role as the shock troops of the liberalisation drive. They have group cohesion and a self-interest in ‘modernisation’ which marginalises or drive out traditionalists. The civil war in the Front National between the old school nationalists and Tridentine Catholics around Jean-Marie Le Pen, and the clique of pro-Israel ‘gay’ technocrats around Marine Le Pen, is a classic case study.
Nor are the Zionists the only ones keen to co-opt the belated but growing Western popular resistance to suicide-by-immigration. The same tactic is logical too among the WASP libertarians who see white working class street gangs as a necessary block both to Islamists and their far-left allies, just as capitalists and NATO strategists saw fascism as a weapon to beat down Communism.

They too want to use nationalists, but precautions have to be taken to keep them from getting ideas above their station and becoming a threat to the established order. Stuffing their leading cadres with ‘gays’ is a good way to keep them on the liberal straight and narrow.

**LIKUD – EVERYONE GETS PINKED!**

That said, in as far as much of the promotion of the Counter-Jihad movement comes from North American followers of Likud, they are simply being consistent, because their favoured Israeli party has adopted the same pinkification policy.

“Pride in the Likud”, a political advocacy group of LGBT conservatives affiliated with the party, was founded in 2011. Following the appointment of Amir Ohana as the Likud’s first openly gay member in the Knesset, in December 2015, Netanyahu said he was “proud” to welcome him into parliament. [82]

The opening of the movement to “cisgender gay men” is a radical change, “one of the biggest changes I’ve seen on the right in 40 years,” says Chip Berlet, co-author of *Right-Wing Populism in America*, who could just as well be talking about the situation in Britain as well.

The Alt-Right includes and unites a remarkably wide spectrum of ‘dissidents’, from Jewish libertarians and militant homosexuals right through to hardcore ‘Nazis’. But, as well as sharing a justified concern about radical Islam, a significant and growing proportion of the leaders have several closely related things in common:

They hold women in utter contempt. And they either say nothing about the demographic problem or claim that it doesn’t exist or that it doesn’t matter. While there are exceptions, the leaders of the Alt-Right are, all too often, as childless, as short-sighted and as selfish as their liberal counter-parts.

We have also seen that a number of different interest groups share the homosexualist agenda and are pushing the same process, albeit it for different and sometimes even conflicting reasons.

Does it matter? Indeed, if the ‘pinkwashing’ of the right could help make it more attractive to the public and hence more able to win elections, would it not be a good thing?

No! Absolutely not!

**IMMORAL MEANS CANNOT WIN A MORAL WAR**

First, the backbone of the real resistance – both to Islamisation and to the liberal disease of which it is merely a symptom – is fundamentally traditionalist and Christian. Before the struggle against liberalism and its disorders is political, cultural or physical, it is a moral and spiritual war.

One cannot win a moral war using immoral means, and homosexuality and its promotion are immoral. One cannot defeat evil using tendencies which are, at root, themselves Satanic.

Homosexuality, at the spiritual level, it is a sort of sexual Black Mass – the deliberate mockery of...
the love and sexuality between man and woman which is the well-spring of all human life. The fascination of elements of this cult with human skulls, the occult and the political necrophilia of Hitlerism are further heavy hints as to the deeply unhealthy forces at work with these people.

I do not label this ‘Satanic’ for propaganda reasons, but in order to be accurate. No good can come of it, for it is innately evil.

That said, there is a very down-to-earth and practical reason why the ‘pinkwashing’ strategy is fundamentally flawed. This is because, in as far as some people genuinely think it is a clever tactic to win public support and elections, they are sorely mistaken.

Why? Because even if this were true, it would be a tactics suitable for winning the last war, not the coming one. Because the time for politics is over. Liberalism, through its imposition of immigration, abortion and birth control, has already driven Western society past the demographic point of no return.

It is over; the mess cannot be undone. Particularly with the unholy alliance death grip of profit-hungry big business and the PC left on the levers of power and the mainstream media, there is absolutely no chance of a peaceful political solution.

THE LONG WAR

The West is on the high road to indigenous population collapse [83] and an explosion of the immigrant, and in particular the Muslim, population. [84] This can now only end in civil war.

Those aware of this fact therefore have a duty not to waste their and others’ time and resources organising to fight the party political conflicts of the liberal past, and to concentrate instead on preparing for the totally illiberal war to come.

Massive pro-life demonstration in Dublin. The Christian real right is a thousand times stronger, and a million times more healthy, than the homosexual fringe being courted by many on the ‘Alt-Right’
This war, the war of Resistance and Reconquista, will be a Long War. It will at root be a demographic struggle, a war not just of the gun but also of the cradle.

If the peoples of Christendom are to win it, we can’t afford to lose ANY ‘breeding pairs’. The loss of one young man to homosexuality, or of one young woman to Islam, can easily leave our people short of a dozen or even more adults forty or fifty years hence.

The promotion of homosexuality, or even its portrayal as something ‘acceptable’, is thus a part of the ethnocide of the European peoples and the cultural Marxist war on Christianity. So let us not mince words about the promoters of homosexuality, whether they mince or pump iron – they are our mortal enemies.

Fortunately, they remain a tiny minority. This study has necessarily concentrated on homosexualists or ‘gay’ fellow travellers, so it may give the impression that they are everywhere and too well entrenched to challenge. Fortunately, neither is the case. The ‘right’ is overwhelmingly straight, strongly traditionalist and generally Christian, in its roots and its culture, even if not at present in terms of practice.

The problem is caused not by huge numbers or irresistible force, but a highly organised minority in the obsessive pursuit of its own sexual agenda – and the fact that, in the decadent and essentially Godless West, they are kicking at a rotten door.

Let us resolve to work together so that, when they try the same thing on the real right, they break their toes on gates of steel!

Traditionalists and nationalists in the West invariably look on central and Eastern Europe with awe, or even a degree of envy.

They share video clips of the annual Polish Independence Day march by well over 100,000 young patriots. They wish that their own governments would follow the example of Hungary and build a migrant-proof wall on their borders. They applaud the courage, vision and action of Putin’s Russia in stepping in to save Syria from the gangs of Islamist head-cutters unleashed with the help of their own globalist elites.

They look at the central and eastern European nations as models of patriotism and resistance to globalism. But many do not understand the fundamental reason why these countries are the way they are. This is that Christianity permeates
every facet of their nationalist expression, collective memory and identity. They still have the religious ‘glue’ which the West has largely lost. Which is why they stick together and fight while the West falls apart and surrenders to every evil from Islamisation to homosexualism.

Of course, there are good people involved in the ‘right’ who are not and may never be Christians. But even these individuals must understand that Christianity has a vital and irreplaceable role to play in the coming war for the survival of European civilisation and identity. Forget for a moment all questions of theology and faith, and consider the issue from the point of view of modern materialist science.

The socio-biologists much admired on the ‘ethno-aware’ side of the right have established that humans are hard-wired for religion. Especially in times of trouble, belief in divine power provides not just social cohesion but also a vital psychological prop for individuals. Religion is part of the human condition (you must have noticed that atheists invariably hold their conviction that God does not exist with religious intensity).

Hungarian patriots blocking Soros-backed ‘Pride’ march in Budapest, 8 July 2017

Human beings and societies need religious faith. So where Christianity is removed, it creates not a faith in secularism but a vacuum waiting to be filled by another religion. And while Buddhism, Odinism, paganism, wicca or belief in extra-terrestrials may fulfil that need in a few individuals, there are only two faiths that are serious contenders to be the dominant religion of Europe: Christianity or Islam.

Thus the anti-Muslim atheists we have met time and time again in this book are deeply confused and fundamentally illogical. Because, by undermining Christianity, they open the door for Islam to fill the void they help create.

Trying to explain all this to Western conservatives when they have no real, live, practical experience of the cultural rootedness provided by widespread and living faith, is like trying to describe the colour purple to a blind man.

That which makes Eastern European countries strong and connects national identity and resistance is the very thing that the liberals are most determined to destroy. It is bad enough that they are doing so through our society as a whole. It is even worse to see the cancer of liberalism spreading through the very ‘rightist’ forces that should understand the danger and resist it with every power at their disposal.
TIME TO MAKE A STAND

Even among the individuals who have cropped up in this study, there are probably some who have ‘gone with the flow’ of ‘tolerance’ while personally finding the whole ‘gay’ thing creepy to say the least. The stench of the rotting corpse of liberalism is so pervasive in the 21st century West that it is really not surprising if perfectly decent people at times become desensitised to a whiff of lavender among those who claim to be on the same side.

To give a few possible examples: Steve Bannon of Breitbart, is a man of genuine stature, and he is backed and is part of a serious effort by conservatives in the Catholic Church to reclaim the political initiative right across the West. If successful, this effort could change history.

Given that the rival liberal wing in the Vatican is wall-to-wall homosexual, is it logical for the conservatives to try to cuddle up to what will always be a minority among the ‘gays’, or to work to mobilise the far larger numbers of Bible-true Christians and ‘straights’ of all sorts who do not wish to see their children homosexualised any more than Islamised? Wake up, man!

William Regnery, the founder and funder of the National Policy Institute, has spent much of his adult life warning of the demographic crisis that is now really starting to bite. Not so long ago he even proposed the creation of an online nationalist dating agency, with the specific purpose of encouraging young patriots of the opposite sex to meet, fall in love, marry and have children. Preferably lots of children.

Does he actually know what is going on at the top of the NPI? Quite apart from the demographic implications, has he thought through the way in which a creature such as the Satanist predatory homosexualist Donovan automatically puts the organisation and the cause it is supposed to advance into a box labelled ‘Extremely Toxic – Do Not Touch’ to millions of ordinary Americans? Wake up, man!

Overwhelmingly traditionalist, these people have been more than half awakened by the Trump insurgency and are ripe for ‘radicalisation’ – but not by a movement that gives house room to freaks like Donovan, Augustus Invictus or Ryan Fleming.

Nor is this just an issue in the USA. The exact same legitimisation of homosexuality, counter-productive extremism and nonsense about Men Going Their Own Way – or rather, young men going the way of a clique of older mentors who are either pederasts, paid provocateurs, insane, or perhaps all three – is also the hallmark of the London Forum, Western Spring and their associates in Great Britain. The same poison is also being spread in other European countries.
The Alt-Right’s Millennial and later followers are interesting, the first significant number of middle class youngsters to rebel against the left for an entire lifetime. They deserve better than ‘leaders’ who daren’t even use their own names or let even their supposed colleagues know where they live.

Born to be the unlucky ones who bear the full brunt of the coming Collapse of the West, Generation Z deserve better than ‘leaders’ whose ideas of ‘action’ centre on pseudo-intellectual waffle and KYJelly.

This is no way to fight back. The dark times ahead do not call for an ‘Alt-Right,’ in which ‘alt’ means ‘alternative sexuality.’ They call for men who are willing to fight and know how to do it. And for men who understand that women are not ‘sluts’ but a vital part of that same fight. Warriors in their own right too, and the mothers of warriors.

THE WAY AHEAD
As we have already noted, those who would lead the ‘right’ down the left-hand path of homosexualism, and Satanism are in fact only a noisy minority. Absurd, obscene, quarrelsome, and innately wrong at every level, their present influence will evaporate as soon as the coming catastrophe hits. There is no place for effete intellectuals in war, and war is coming.

The serious men have already seen this. This is why the serious men have already largely abandoned the ‘alternative’ political parties and ‘right-wing’ intellectual circles which were the proper fields of struggle in the last century, in the days when there was still perhaps time to turn things around.

Now that there is not time, it is the very fact that the real men are leaving these old and irrelevant institutions that has created the space in which the formerly closeted deviants, money-grabbing crooks and third-raters have come out to play and pushed their way to the top.

Where there remains a role for political parties and think-tanks (and even now they do have some subsidiary uses) genuine traditionalists in them need to organise to resist and reverse the homosexualist take-over.

But as the intertwined crises of demography, liberalism and Islamist aggression deepen, the good men and women who still hope for salvation through the broken tools of political endeavour will increasingly join the exodus of the far-sighted and will also ‘put aside childish things’.
Among the most ‘childish things’ that needs to be put aside is the notion that a war which is fundamentally demographic can be won by a side which promotes, or even accepts, the twin evils of homosexuality and feminism. Or that those who would save and restore the nations of Christendom can do so by allying with Talmudic racists whose hatred of Christianity [85] and of Europeans exceeds that which they feel for Muslims. [86]

Additionally, there is a common ideological factor at work in the misshaping of much of the Alt-Right, particularly in the USA. This is the pervasive and baneful influence of Ayn Rand and her ultra-libertarian ideal of ‘Objectivity’ – summed up in the oath at the very centre of Atlas Shrugged: “I swear by my life and my love of it that I will never live for the sake of another man, nor ask another man to live for mine.”

The Alt-Lite has bought into Rand’s powerful, but deeply flawed ideas. It is difficult for Europeans (including Brits) to grasp just how influential her writings and cod-philosophy are on the ‘right’ in culturally hyper-individualist America. Rand’s followers are not in general bad people; in fact most of them are much better people than the greedy, envy-filled socialists they oppose. But her totally outdated and shallow materialist ‘philosophy’ is completely unsuited to the times in which we now live – and even more so for the Dark Times we are about to enter.

The follies of liberalism have plunged us into a world in which the central point of history is now a life-and-death struggle not between individuals or classes over material goods, but between ethnic and religious groups over possession of land and cultural identity.

Individualism grew from a very different era, and it has died with that era. This is true of the economic individualism on the ‘right’ of the old political spectrum, and it is also true on the fixation with the social and sexual ‘individualism’ that has infected the ‘left’ and has more recently spread (often with the help of people who have their own very strong collective loyalty to a tribe that may not be mentioned) to the ‘right’ in the way we have seen.

‘Left’ or ‘right’, these childish individualisms have to be put aside for the duration of the coming war. The struggle for the preservation of European nations and culture must be based on the foundation stone of the original Europe – muscular Christianity cleansed of the taint of liberalism – and on heroic collective sacrifice and effort for the survival and triumph of our civilisation.

The Reconquista will not be won by those who think in terms of ‘I’, ‘me’ and ‘mine’ but by those who act in terms of ‘we’, ‘us’ and ‘ours’. An ideology founded on a refusal even to live for someone else is worse than useless in an era in which the survival of our civilisation, freedom and faith will depend on the willingness of untold numbers of Christian heroes to die for them.

What has been said about the followers of Ayn Rand also applies to the straight members of the wider Alt-Right. Many of them are well-meaning, even if the ideas promoted by some are abhorrent to right-thinking people.

In accepting the homosexualisation of the ‘right’, some will merely have been ‘going with the flow’, welcoming the possibility of new allies and hoping to relieve the pressure of mass media demonisation, without really thinking it through. In other cases, they will not even know of the sexuality and agenda of their new associates. “Forgive them Lord, for they know not what they do”.

Well, they know now. Collaboration with the homosexualisation of the ‘right’, whether through turning blind eyes or through active engagement, must stop from this moment on. And the same is true of Satanism, Zionism or Nazism.
Homosexuals will always exist, and what they do is wrong at both moral and purely practical levels. But the Christian injunction to “hate the sin, not the sinner” is incumbent upon us all.

So I am not arguing for the persecution of homosexuals. There was much to commend the old military adage of “don’t ask, don’t tell.” But it is necessary – if the nations of the West are to survive the coming ‘perfect storm’ of demographic collapse and Islamist aggression – for homosexuality, alongside various other selfish habits, to be pushed firmly back into the closet.

What must be done is very simple: A ‘No Platform’ policy ruthlessly enforced in each and every organisation. Do not give homosexualists a platform. Do not share a platform with homosexualists. Do not advertise platforms run by or favouring homosexualists. And publicly admonish those who break this rule.

This is a matter of personal responsibility for every single individual on the ‘right’. Because people with our views are generally kind and polite, it can be tempting to stay quiet, to avoid criticism, to refuse to ‘judge’. But we have a duty to speak out, for what is right and against what is wrong.

We all have a duty to make a stand on this issue, and to expose and to criticise those who refuse to do so, particularly the ‘straights’ who fail to uphold the virtue and central value of heterosexual love, marriage and families.

And it is most certainly essential that the vanguard movements of the fight back – whether youth groups, street fighting bodies, campaign networks, cultural organisations, churches or political parties – are all 100% committed to doing everything possible to maximise the number of young men and women marrying and rearing large families.

The Reconquista will not be won by those who think in terms of ‘I’, ‘me’ and ‘mine’ but by those who act in terms of ‘we’, ‘us’ and ‘ours’.
The heterosexual family is the foundation stone of the coming Resistance and Reconquista, and anything which threatens, subverts or diminishes its status must be seen as anathema.

We noted at the very beginning of this study that the ‘Alt-Right’ covers a very broad spectrum of political and religious views. It is therefore neither realistic nor practical to expect or demand that everyone involved in it should come to agree on the tactics or the ideology needed for the salvation of the West.

But, from the most civic nationalist liberals right through to the most hardline National Socialists – however much we disagree with each other – every single person who actually holds their views seriously and sincerely must recognise that the promotion or tolerance of homosexuality or feminism is harmful to their own version of the cause, regardless of where on the ‘right’ it may be.

THE ETERNAL RIGHT
As for us, revolutionary traditionalists and Christians, we do not accept the label ‘Alt-Right’ at all. We are the ETERNAL Right. We have a worldview based not on ‘alternative’ lifestyles, on some sickly, self-obsessed set of ‘choices’, but on an unchanging set of traditional values, rock solid certainties which would have been instantly recognised by our ancestors who painted those wonderful pictures in caves even while ice still covered much of our old continent.

We must hold to the traditional values which guide us and which will, God willing, guide our descendants through the Reconquest of the lands that liberalism has given away, and through the Great Rebuilding which will follow the Age of Darkness which it is our fate, and our honour, to resist.

There is nothing ‘alternative right’ about the things in which we believe and for which we stand. They are, simply, right. And all other interpretations or lifestyles are wrong. The ancient traditions of our people and our Faith are right. We are right. Eternally Right. Deus Vult!

Be ye not unequally yoked together with unbelievers: for what fellowship hath righteousness with unrighteousness? and what communion hath light with darkness?

And what concord hath Christ with Belial? Or what part hath he that believeth with an infidel?

And what agreement hath the temple of God with idols? For ye are the temple of the living God; as God hath said, I will dwell in them, and walk in them; and I will be their God, and they shall be my people.

Wherefore come out from among them, and be ye separate.

2 Corinthians 6:14-17 King James Version (KJV)

And have no fellowship with the unfruitful works of darkness, but rather reprove them.

Ephesians 5:11-11
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